WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:31:37.4510000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.952$ You know the areas that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:03.952 --> 00:00:07.288 focus on today, or two critical ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.896$ namely mute on Koleji,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.553$ for which we really committed you centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:11.553 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.530$ and resources as well as computational work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:14.530 --> 00:00:17.761 which I think is critical in this next phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:17.761 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.958$ of Cancer Research in the 21st century.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:20.960 --> 00:00:23.366 Anile open with our first speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:23.370 --> 00:00:25.380 as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.236$ doctor Marcus bosenberg is a leader in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:28.236 --> 00:00:30.608 Cancer Center professor of dermatology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}00{:}30.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.948$ pathology and Immunobiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:31.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.624$ Co leader of the genomics genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:34.624 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.068$ and epigenetics research program.

00:00:37.070 --> 00:00:40.766 Director of the else boren kins skin cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.787$ as well as a very active member

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:43.787 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.309$ of the faculty in theology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:46.310 --> 00:00:48.194 Enough leading nationally internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:48.194 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.078$ recognized amount of pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:50.078 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.320$ and most most recently serving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.146$ really quite brilliantly as our interim

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:00:55.146 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.780$ leader and director of the L centerview know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:00:58.780 --> 00:01:02.497 Cology is really part of that launch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.850$ Marcus is research has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:04.850 --> 00:01:07.190 as you know, prolific, focused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.696$ I pour it on the genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}09.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.950$ cellular changes that result in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.166$ Melanoma while concurrently building

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:14.166 --> 00:01:16.382 innovative new laboratory models

00:01:16.462 --> 00:01:18.917 animal models to understand cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:18.920 --> 00:01:21.260 to define our immune response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.132$ responding and also even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:23.132 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.536$ launching new centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:24.540 --> 00:01:25.650 Precision oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}25.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.425$ precision cancer medison to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:28.425 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.381$ us define models to further the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

00:01:31.381 --> 00:01:34.195 research of many of our faculty so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.568$ Marcus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00:01:34.568 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.408$ thank you for volunteering to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9024154

 $00{:}01{:}36.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.270$ speak at our virtual form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:01:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.830$ Great, thanks so much Charlie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}01{:}41.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.630$ Thanks for the kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}01{:}43.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.507$ Just some one give me an odd that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:01:46.507 --> 00:01:49.977 they can hear me and see the alright

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}01{:}49.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.750$ sounds good great so I'll start.

00:01:52.750 --> 00:01:56.320 Today's topic will be targeting innate

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:01:56.320 --> 00:01:59.336 immunity to enhance anti cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:01:59.336 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.060$ immune responses and I think you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:03.060 --> 00:02:06.260 What we've seen, even in the last decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.135$ has been a remarkable transformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:08.135 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.059$ about how we think about treating cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.260$ A decade ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:12.260 --> 00:02:14.420 You know, aside from area snow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.199$ if you look around Yale and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:17.199 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.460$ institutions, and there are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.860$ number of other people as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.860$ But there wasn't that much

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:23.860 --> 00:02:25.460 interested in on Koleji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:25.460 --> 00:02:27.028 There have been longstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:27.028 --> 00:02:29.860 efforts in a couple of cancer types,

00:02:29.860 --> 00:02:31.860 including Melanoma, such as IO2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:31.860 --> 00:02:32.688 systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:32.688 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.344$ and adaptive transferring to

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:34.344 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.586$ Myrtle trading lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.257$ On both of those sort of pioneered

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:38.257 --> 00:02:40.766 by Steve Rosenberg at NCI and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:40.766 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.221$ over the early 2000s CLI four and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:02:43.221 --> 00:02:45.849 PD one PD L1 checkpoint blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}45.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.828$ the rapies were developed and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:47.828 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.131$ you look at the impact that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}02{:}50.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.171$ is had in terms of the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:53.171 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.752$ cancer types where these are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:55.752 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.584$ standard of care therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:02:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.271$ you could argue that this is amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:00.271 --> 00:03:02.224 the greatest advances ever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:02.224 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.069$ cancer the rapeutics and resulted in

 $00{:}03{:}04.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.569$ sort of the first large decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}06.570 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.545$ And cancer mortality over the last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.670$ especially with the effects in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:11.670 --> 00:03:13.366 lung cancer attributed to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:13.370 --> 00:03:15.920 for instance, the PD one PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.140$ L1 blockade and this breakthrough

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.019$ was awarded the Nobel Prize in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:21.020 --> 00:03:22.608 There's a nice video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:22.608 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.700$ the PBS that's made about Jim Allison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.876$ Related to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:26.876 --> 00:03:29.228 That's just been out and following

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:29.228 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.219$ on that initial success,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}31.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.391$ a lot of companies change their portfolios

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}34.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.929$ to try to do PD one blockade plus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.471$ Other drugs as the new sort of

 $00:03:40.471 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.586$ standard clinical trial that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:42.586 --> 00:03:44.017 instituted in Disappointingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:44.017 --> 00:03:46.402 the success of these approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.378$ was not really what had been anticipated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.348$ PD one blockade continued to have low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:03:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.385$ but real levels of effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:54.385 --> 00:03:57.010 in a variety of cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:03:57.010 --> 00:03:59.761 but the addition of 2nd drugs almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}03{:}59.761 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}04{:}01.930$ overwhelmingly did not have significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:01.930 --> 00:04:04.215 benefit beyond PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.146$ so there's a lot of interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:07.146 --> 00:04:09.220 developing combination therapy approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:09.220 --> 00:04:10.084 In which cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:10.084 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.100$ me know therapy is a component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}12.159 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.599$ that and will focus a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:14.599 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.327$ about targeting components of the

00:04:16.327 --> 00:04:18.439 innate immune system to enhance that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.864$ and I would argue here is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:20.864 --> 00:04:22.888 mechanism of how these drugs work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.212$ and in general how anti cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:25.212 --> 00:04:27.293 immune responses happen is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:27.293 --> 00:04:28.670 not well understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.782$ Just to go back a little bit in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:30.782 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.854$ terms of what's innate immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:32.854 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.818$ and what's adaptive immunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}34.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.130$ Most aspects of immunity have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:37.130 --> 00:04:39.060 strong basis in haematopoiesis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.980$ the cell types that are derived,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}40.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.316$ at least in part from bone marrow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:43.316 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.284$ going from pluripotent stem cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:04:45.284 --> 00:04:47.489 to mile wooden lymphoid precursors,

00:04:47.490 --> 00:04:50.024 pretty much everything in the myeloid side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00{:}04{:}50.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.894$ so the mass cells and all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:52.894 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.605$ guys over here on the right are

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:55.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.965$ part of the innate immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:04:57.965 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.125$ and T cells and B cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

00:05:00.130 --> 00:05:02.405 Make up the primary component

NOTE Confidence: 0.876604

 $00:05:02.405 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.680$ of adaptive immune immunity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}04.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.948$ So what are the characteristics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}07.948 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}05{:}10.499$ adaptive immune system to sort of to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:10.499 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.962$ get that out of the way while we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:12.962 --> 00:05:14.970 talking about innate immunity, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:14.970 --> 00:05:17.770 somatic hypermutation of the T Cell Receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.020$ an amino globulin loci and recombination

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.738$ of those loci allow for billions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:22.738 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.648$ different clones within every human

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:24.648 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.773$ that have distinct reactivity set

 $00:05:26.773 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.365$ allow for the recognition of almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}29.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.108$ countless and diverse sets of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:31.108 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.867$ Antigens for which one can have a response

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:33.867 --> 00:05:36.057 that's either Pisati Seller B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:36.057 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.338$ mediated and these responses typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:38.338 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.813$ associated with what's called memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.896$ which typically means that after an

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:42.896 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.499$ initial exposure to a particular antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.840$ something that's recognizable by these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.295$ there's an increased response the

 $00:05:50.295 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.850$ next time that Amazon is encountered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:53.850 --> 00:05:56.298 How do you know whether T cells are B?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}05{:}56.300 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}05{:}57.388$ Cells are actually important

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:05:57.388 --> 00:05:58.748 in any of these processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:05:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.375$ What you're looking at here is a

 $00:06:01.375 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.927$ Kaplan Meier plot of a pre clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}06{:}03.930 --> 00{:}06{:}04.660 \ \mathrm{Tumor\ experiment},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.580$ in which a line that we have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:07.654 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.810$ number one point 7 is in Grafton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.114$ subcutaneously in a mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:11.114 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.227$ and if a mouse succumbs to in a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:14.227 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.037$ tumor that's resulting survival law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.161$ So there's no mice alive in mice

00:06:18.161 --> 00:06:20.190 that have had tumor outgrowth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999 00:06:20.190 --> 00:06:20.536 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:06:20.536 --> 00:06:23.650 if you have this line extend out the side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.050$ as it does here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.229$ that means that the mouse was cured of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:06:28.229 --> 00:06:31.259 tumor and lived life span up to 60 days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.331$ as illustrated here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:32.331 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.116$ Well, what can be done?

 $00:06:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.808$ In mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:34.808 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.528$ which is not really typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:06:36.528 --> 00:06:37.560 ethical in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.636$ is that you can actually deplete

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:39.636 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.415$ certain components of the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:41.415 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.055$ system or in graph tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.321$ In mice that are deficient for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:45.321 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.190$ components of the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.171$ In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:48.171 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.133$ what you can see is treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:50.133 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.202$ with the drug that was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:52.202 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.760$ here at Yale by Aaron Rings Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.496$ This is a cloud of project that's now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:57.496 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.919$ press in nature through owners group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:06:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.296$ This drug annihilating derivative

 $00:07:01.296 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.423$ result in about a 30% cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:03.423 --> 00:07:06.244 depleting with CD8 anti CD 8 antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}06.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.427$ Prevented that cure rate and CD four

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:08.427 --> 00:07:11.170 also did at a little bit longer latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.420$ while blockade of NK cells didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.580$ result in any extended survivals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:15.580 --> 00:07:17.892 So what I'm kind of bringing up now

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:17.892 --> 00:07:20.940 is a concept that if you really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:20.940 --> 00:07:23.480 to understand how these things work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.472$ it's typically useful to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}25.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.262$ system to evaluate what functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:27.262 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.227$ components are at play here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.384$ and that's been a difficulty with

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:31.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.820$ the innate immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.752$ which will talk about second quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:34.752 --> 00:07:37.359 segue here to B cells and anti

 $00{:}07{:}37.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.019$ cancer immune responses which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}39.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.396~\mathrm{Had}$ a big splash earlier in the year

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}41.396 \to 00{:}07{:}43.491$ where there were three papers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:43.491 --> 00:07:45.286 nature in January suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}45.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.746$ the cells have a role in anti cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:47.746 --> 00:07:49.796 immunity and I would say that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:49.796 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.140$ issue is still not really fully resolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:52.140 --> 00:07:53.844 All of those patient papers tended

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:53.844 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.829$ to be a correlative and weren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00:07:55.829 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.619$ really functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:07:56.620 --> 00:07:59.036 Studies now show example of that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}07{:}59.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.522$ bit what is known and has been known

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

 $00{:}08{:}01.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.824$ for a while is that when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.889917499999999

00:08:03.824 --> 00:08:06.211 elevated number of T cells and cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.406$ you tend to have elevated B cells as well.

 $00:08:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.345$ Uh, that correlation coefficient from

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:11.345 --> 00:08:14.568 an RNA POV is about a row of about .7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.207$ so it's a pretty high correlation in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:17.207 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.066$ be selling T celko infiltration into tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.128$ but that doesn't necessarily say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:22.128 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.860$ they're actually doing things there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.816$ and clinically we typically it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:25.816 --> 00:08:28.670 common to use a drug called Rituxan Mab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}28.670 --> 00{:}08{:}31.290$ which is a CD20 anti CD 20 in a body

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:31.367 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.511$ which results in depletion of B cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:34.511 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.270$ the patients that are treated that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:37.270 --> 00:08:39.020 And typically these patients don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.178$ have really much higher rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:41.178 --> 00:08:42.578 cancers you might anticipate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.960$ If that were a primary method of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}44.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.324$ restraining that particular arm

 $00:08:46.324 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.816$ of the immune system, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}08{:}47.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.308$ I think there's still more work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:50.308 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.328$ hopefully will be done in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.493$ This is an experiment that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:08:54.493 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.803$ referring to in which you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:56.803 --> 00:08:59.167 graph the same kind of tumor into

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:08:59.167 --> 00:09:00.777 a B cell deficient mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.758$ Here at LAX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:01.758 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.714$ the heavy chain that's needed prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:03.714 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.764$ to class switching of these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:05.764 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.258$ and in a normal mouse say with PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.880$ one therapy or spontaneous rejection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:09.880 \dashrightarrow 00:09:12.950$ This is this curve here, or black sticks in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}12.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.925$ Black them you empty mice which lack B

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:15.925 --> 00:09:18.508 cells actually reject as well or better,

 $00:09:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.518$ while rag mice that lack both B&T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.425$ So a second way of evaluating whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:24.425 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.081$ lymphocytes more generally are

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:26.081 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.139$ needed results an outgrowth of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:28.139 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.538$ tumors so that you don't have that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.760$ This is a collaborative project with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.049$ Harriet clickers lab by Bill Damski,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:35.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.522$ who is going to be a new faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}38.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.570$ member in dermatology in July.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.000$ So what are the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.430$ of the innate immune system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.238$ So it's typically a rapid response

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:09:49.238 --> 00:09:52.694 of system in which it's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}09{:}52.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.179$ hard wired to wrecking sentries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.650$ certain pathogen or pathogen molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:09:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.120$ patterns that viruses or bacteria.

 $00{:}10{:}00.120 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}02.135$ Might happen or not typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}02.135 --> 00{:}10{:}03.344 \ \mathrm{present \ in \ eukaryotes},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.370$ so it allows for almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:05.370 --> 00:10:07.390 like a barrier or reflex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.410$ If response to these type

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:09.410 --> 00:10:11.026 of molecules one recognize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:11.030 --> 00:10:13.490 but also the innate immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:13.490 --> 00:10:15.130 can regulate enhance activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}15.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.086$ of the adaptive immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:17.090 --> 00:10:19.708 This has been known in vaccine biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:19.708 --> 00:10:22.198 and it's also known or understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:22.198 --> 00:10:24.353 the role within dirt excels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.006$ Play Witcher view to be part

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:27.006 --> 00:10:29.490 of the innate immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:29.490 --> 00:10:31.518 And their activation of T cells

 $00:10:31.518 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.870$ and T cell responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}32.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.040$ So the question really is is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.389$ the role of these various components

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:10:37.389 --> 00:10:40.077 in anti cancer immune responses and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.104$ It's useful to have an idea of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:42.104 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.744$ we're talking about here in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.358$ of what the components might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.292$ There's a lot of confusion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:47.292 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.429$ a lot of debate as to what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.314$ Sort of subsets of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}51.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.570$ are related to macrophyllus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}52.570 --> 00{:}10{:}54.768$ I'm not going to get into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.654$ It's not enough time to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}56.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.910$ fully go into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:10:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.690$ In this session there's different

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}10{:}59.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.470$ subsets of dendritic cells which

 $00:11:01.524 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.246$ a few of which are labeled here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00{:}11{:}03.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.490$ Neutrophils are granulocytes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:11:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.350$ the bottom here and then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

 $00:11:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.110$ are some components of cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90716255

00:11:08.110 --> 00:11:09.250 are derived from lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:09.307 --> 00:11:11.724 precursors, but kind of have some aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:11.724 --> 00:11:13.963 of innate immunity in that they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:13.963 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.112$ or may not have the memory response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:16.120 --> 00:11:18.034 It's debated with some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:18.034 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.338$ and also they have the ability to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}20.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.090$ Rapid respond to certain common

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.490$ molecular signatures which typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:23.490 --> 00:11:25.249 B&T cells don't do as regularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.126$ so these are kind of a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:27.126 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.195$ bit in between depending on what

00:11:29.195 --> 00:11:30.795 aspect you're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}30.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.768$ might fall in between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.822$ Errands group has also found some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:34.822 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.190$ really interesting therapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:36.241 --> 00:11:37.666 stimulate NK cells the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.626$ When I was talking about you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.786$ wait for his talk to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:41.786 --> 00:11:43.230 more and more depth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}11{:}43.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.732$ and he may have talked a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:45.732 --> 00:11:48.139 that during this grand rounds recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.144$ But I think there's a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:50.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.950$ of a story there that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.266$ And certainly can follow up with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.520$ So the question with innate immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:11:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.487$ has been for awhile as is it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:11:59.487 --> 00:12:01.575 fighting cancer or is it promoting

 $00:12:01.651 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.527$ cancer with certain aspects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.057$ and I think most people would view

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:06.057 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.433$ most components of the innate immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:08.433 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.473$ system to be promoting cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.410$ at least in some level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:12.410 --> 00:12:14.720 And how might we know that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:14.720 --> 00:12:15.056 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:15.056 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.408$ in certain cancer types where as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:17.408 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.634$ pathologist one sees something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:19.634 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.678$ metaplasia. So at the junction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:21.678 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.000$ Of the posterior aspect of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:24.084 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.479$ vagina and cervix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}25.480 \to 00{:}12{:}27.778$ There's typically there can be inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.078$ depending on the status of HP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.000$ The other things like that,

 $00:12:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.025$ which results in inflammation being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}34.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.050$ chronically present at that site

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:36.119 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.623$ and for gastroesophageal reflux

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:37.623 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.879$ once he's also these changes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:39.939 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.729$ inflammation and alteration of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}41.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.216$ cell types that are there that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:44.216 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.956$ associated with higher rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}45.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.129$ cancer in those particular spots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}48.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.433$ Also in a variety of models where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:50.433 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.360$ when you induce inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}12{:}52.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.748$ it tends to be cancer promoting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:12:54.750 --> 00:12:57.000 And the thought process that few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:12:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.497$ people feel is is at at work there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:00.497 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.940$ that some of these inflammatory cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:02.940 --> 00:13:05.280 like macrophages, secrete things like veg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}05.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.793$ F or other factors that are associated

 $00{:}13{:}07.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.689$ with growth or angiogenesis which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:09.689 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.300$ then allow cancers to Co op that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.901$ and then grow out and myeloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}14.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.197$ derived suppressor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.540$ Or the probably related M2 quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.692$ Unquote subset of Macro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:20.692 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.790$ Fages and in certain cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:22.790 --> 00:13:23.180 neutrophils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.508$ which might also be viewed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:25.508 --> 00:13:26.672 the granulocytic MDC's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.232$ have been described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:28.232 --> 00:13:29.396 being potentially tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}29.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.012$ promoting by growth restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:31.012 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.854$ but also that they actively suppress the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:33.854 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.008$ function of the adaptive immune system.

 $00:13:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.350$ And there are ways you can test this ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:39.434 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.626$ and looking at T cell proliferation assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:42.630 --> 00:13:44.832 zan secretion of cytokines, things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:44.832 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.290$ these cells might do against tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.290$ It's well established that natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.690$ killer cells have a large role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:51.690 --> 00:13:52.012 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

00:13:52.012 --> 00:13:53.944 in eliminate ING cells that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}53.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.925$ have MHT class one expressed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}13{:}55.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.137$ their surface and this is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:13:58.201 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.756$ bit variable in terms of the balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00{:}14{:}00.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.548$ between inhibitory and activating receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:02.548 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.956$ But there are thought to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:04.956 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.610$ primary way where this occurs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.966$ and obviously they're called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:07.966 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.000$ natural killer cells for a reason.

00:14:10.000 --> 00:14:12.704 They actually kill in a variety of Contexts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.738$ so some of those contexts can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131504

 $00:14:14.738 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.752$ be against cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.839$ and there's also this thought that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:17.839 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.616$ certain subtype of macrophages can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:19.616 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.696$ also participate in killing responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:21.700 --> 00:14:23.996 Either through respiratory burst

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:23.996 --> 00:14:26.866 activity or secretion of cytokines

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}26.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.507$ locally in the micro environments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.994$ And so it's been attractive hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}31.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.772$ for a while to try to target cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:35.772 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.268$ seem to be promoting cancer formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:38.268 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.715$ and a few ways of doing that have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:41.720 --> 00:14:43.760 It's been known for awhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.380$ but the colonist stimulating

00:14:45.380 --> 00:14:46.595 factor 1 pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}14{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.700$ so CSF one and its receptor CSF one R are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:14:49.784 --> 00:14:53.120 very very important and Macrophiles Biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.510$ One way this was known as there is the so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:14:56.602 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.249$ called osteopetrosis model of the opi model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:00.250 --> 00:15:03.026 In which CSF one is an inactive illegal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:03.026 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.694$ in my so my Sutter home was I get for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:06.694 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.417$ that particular allele oven on fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:09.417 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.812$ functional CSF one Lac macrophages?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:11.820 --> 00:15:13.810 They also lack macrophage related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.004$ cells like osteoclast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.368$ that remodel bone and teeth so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:17.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.199$ these are hard nice to keep around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}20.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.671$ Then I'll talk about them in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:22.671 --> 00:15:25.418 a second a little bit more but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:25.418 --> 00:15:27.824 that's one idea about how this

 $00:15:27.909 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.795$ pathway is relevant for Macro Fages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.176 \text{ Um}$?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:31.176 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.432$ And so there are small molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.722$ inhibitors that this is a receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:35.722 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.900$ tyrosine kinase that it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.916$ inhibited by small molecules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:39.916 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.896$ it's also antibodies that block

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

00:15:41.896 --> 00:15:43.696 this receptor tyrosine kinase an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:43.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.567$ We've used both of these in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}46.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.697$ of preclinical modeling and I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:48.697 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.097$ about a clinical trial at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.888$ It's currently underway at Yale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}15{:}52.888 \to 00{:}15{:}55.364$ you could have either of these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:55.364 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.996$ activities that's actually inhibited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:15:56.996 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.490$ and somewhat disappointingly CSF.

 $00:15:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.569$ One R inhibitors as single agents have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}00.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.790$ really not been particularly effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}02.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.610$ There's one indication which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.430$ believe their FDA approved for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:06.497 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.464$ it to so called giant cell tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.468$ which is really composed of macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.798$ But I think they've been negative in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:12.798 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.476$ or nearly all other single agent indications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.320$ There typically also negative in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00:16:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.529$ combination with anti PD one blockade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}19.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.985$ and one of the issues with studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082676

 $00{:}16{:}21.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.166$ this type is did the drug actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.555$ Affectively inhibit macrophages or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}28.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.455$ deplete macrofossils were typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:30.455 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.442$ very hard to deplete and so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}33.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.136$ also called pharmacodynamics to see

00:16:35.136 --> 00:16:37.166 if your drug had the intended effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}37.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.858$ and I think sometimes it's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:39.858 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.171$ little less clearer that it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:42.171 --> 00:16:44.705 full effect as opposed to a partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:44.782 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.026$ effect for some of these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:47.030 --> 00:16:49.846 So can we use preclinical models to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:49.846 --> 00:16:52.710 define a role for makefiles in cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:16:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.531$ I had described an approach before with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}16{:}55.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.130$ those Kaplan Meier plots where we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:58.130 --> 00:16:59.093 Antibodies to deplete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:16:59.093 --> 00:17:01.020 for instance CDA, positive T cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}01.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.571$ CD 4 positive T cells, or NK cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}03.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.156$ Well, those approaches don't tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:05.156 --> 00:17:07.438 to work very well for macro fibers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.248$ and even using the anti CSF one R and

00:17:10.248 --> 00:17:12.899 nobody even with the right type of IgG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:12.900 --> 00:17:14.820 that would be typically more depleting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.388$ doesn't really tend to work in this subset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.985$ The genetic models which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:18.985 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.920$ actually probably not bad for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.304$ and the Mets it off lab and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:23.304 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.740$ have used these in a cancer context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.595$ These are very hard models to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:27.595 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.959$ with as I mentioned before because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:29.960 --> 00:17:31.658 Even the teeth don't form properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}17{:}31.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.075$ they don't breed particularly well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:33.075 --> 00:17:34.490 suited to feed themselves Chow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:34.490 --> 00:17:36.464 You have to really, really baby them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.964$ like a watch them very closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:37.964 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.651$ to actually do a full experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:39.651 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.559$ and then doing cohort type work.

00:17:41.560 --> 00:17:43.258 It is difficult 'cause they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:43.258 --> 00:17:44.680 tend to live particularly long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.680$ even postnatally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:46.680 --> 00:17:48.468 And you can deplete in macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:48.468 --> 00:17:50.010 from spleen and peripheral blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.094$ but within the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:51.094 --> 00:17:53.350 if you look at them pretty carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.168$ they tend not to have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:55.168 --> 00:17:56.380 depleted in those areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.198$ so this is an area obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:17:58.198 --> 00:17:59.410 of interest in growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:17:59.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.335$ so it's hard to know what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:01.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.350$ real role of these things are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.068$ but we have done some work looking at CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}06.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.310$ One R Inhibitors and we published a few

00:18:08.310 --> 00:18:10.620 years back with Mark Smith from Brisbane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.490$ Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:12.490 --> 00:18:15.185 A drug that Plexxikon had developed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:15.185 --> 00:18:17.290 wasn't specific just for CSF one R,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.348$ but that was its highest potency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:19.348 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.720$ towards that particular receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:20.720 --> 00:18:23.256 And one thing I'd like to bring your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}23.256 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.506$ attention to is that wouldn't it be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}25.506 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.903$ great if there were human models where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:27.903 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.231$ you could actually see an effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}30.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.714$ anti cancer immune response and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:32.714 --> 00:18:34.418 could actually deplete macrophages?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.218$ And we think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:35.218 --> 00:18:37.080 and we hope that we may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:37.147 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.047$ developed something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}39.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41.129$ And this is with my colleague vision

00:18:41.129 --> 00:18:43.167 with zombie who directs the Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}43.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.339$ for precision cancer modeling at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:45.340 --> 00:18:47.657 sort of preclinical testing core at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.646$ in which we've taken tumor fragments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.300$ And we were seeing full

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:18:51.300 --> 00:18:52.290 checkpoint inhibitor response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.666$ including elimination of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:53.666 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.730$ cells within four or five days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:55.792 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.257$ in a fully indietro model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:18:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.910$ this has been mouse first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}18{:}58.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.136$ but we're trying to build this up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00{:}19{:}01.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.513$ and towards a human setting an the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:19:03.513 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.518$ overall goal is to, for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:19:05.518 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.110$ Flow sort the cells that make up these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:19:08.181 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.677$ tumors and deplete macrophages that way,

00:19:10.680 --> 00:19:12.710 which will work in terms of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

00:19:12.710 --> 00:19:14.950 rid of those and putting back the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768581

 $00:19:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.906$ components that you think will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:16.974 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.666$ important for these anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:18.666 --> 00:19:19.935 cancer immune responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:19.940 --> 00:19:21.655 So stupid too and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:21.655 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.370$ that will be something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.090$ Hear more about with overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}19{:}25.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.407$ So one thing I talk about briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:27.407 --> 00:19:30.212 now too is CD 40 as a target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:30.212 --> 00:19:31.942 which is on dendritic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.344$ macrophages and to some extent other cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.610$ including in the filial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.978$ And CD 40 Los results in a B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:38.978 --> 00:19:40.939 cell class switching defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:40.940 --> 00:19:43.467 But it's been developed as an agonist

00:19:43.467 --> 00:19:45.633 CD 40 antibody, not a blocking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:45.633 --> 00:19:46.716 Anybody want it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.803$ Stimulates this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:47.803 --> 00:19:49.247 receptor and Bob Vonderheide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.130$ Who is the Cancer Center director at Penn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.018$ has been developing this for over 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.795$ For pancreatic cancer and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:19:56.795 --> 00:19:58.215 the former colleague Sukach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:19:58.215 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.180$ and also with Catherine Miller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:00.180 --> 00:20:01.158 And more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:01.158 --> 00:20:02.462 we've published preclinical models

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:02.462 --> 00:20:04.150 looking at Agona CD 40 therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:04.150 --> 00:20:06.590 and I'd say at this point in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.110$ the mechanism isn't entirely clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.028$ Although we went into that a little

 $00:20:10.028 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.079$ bit with both of these manuscripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.730$ But one thing that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.430$ see here is that agonist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}15.430 --> 00{:}20{:}17.630$ CD 40 plus anti PD one blockade in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}17.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}20.021$ CSF one R blockade works a lot better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:20.021 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.448$ than any of the other drugs alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.885$ so it has almost 80% cure rates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:24.885 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.020$ this is the younger model as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}27.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.976$ And then the doublet the rapies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:28.976 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.398$ PD one plus CD 40 and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:31.400 --> 00:20:34.040 Also, don't work as well as the triple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.070$ although in humans will see in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:36.070 --> 00:20:38.330 second that may be slightly different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:38.330 --> 00:20:40.640 but we're seeing this is pretty promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:40.640 --> 00:20:42.650 Prickly on clinical evidence to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.270$ using combination therapies with CD40.

 $00:20:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.268$ One of the things that striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:46.268 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.600$ with this particular therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:20:47.663 --> 00:20:49.218 relative to PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.200$ or PD1 plus ETA four blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.970$ Here's the T sne plot of a single cell RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}20{:}54.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.810$ seq experiment where you have two samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.555$ one of which is a mouse which had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:20:59.555 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.047$ injection subcutaneously of a tumor model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}02.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.521$ Seven day or eight days before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:04.521 --> 00:21:07.309 then one day prior to this harvest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.566$ mice for either treated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}09.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.070$ three drug the rapeutic protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:11.070 --> 00:21:12.950 This-is Agassi, 40 anti PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.118$ one anti CSF 1R versus not treated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:16.118 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.715$ for those of you who look at TI sneak lots.

 $00:21:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.600$ What's striking here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:21.600 --> 00:21:23.104 there's almost no overlap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.735$ the T cell areas are down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:25.740 --> 00:21:27.956 You can see by the Vijay areas over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:27.956 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.065$ here and here that there's really huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}31.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.053$ expression profiling differences between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.925$ The various components of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:34.925 --> 00:21:36.417 tumor micro environments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00{:}21{:}36.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.438$ we're currently chasing that down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.968$ There's also differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:39.968 --> 00:21:41.114 clona type representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.359$ which I won't have time to go into here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.978$ And so just to show a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:47.978 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.849$ bit of pathology as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:49.850 --> 00:21:51.720 PD one treat tumors don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.590$ look that different from this,

 $00:21:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.718$ which is one day after initiation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:21:55.718 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.025$ there might be some slightly increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

00:21:58.025 --> 00:22:00.689 lymphocytes but not really extensive death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.940$ but with the CD 40 agonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.690$ containing therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.851$ we see Thromboses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:04.851 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.786$ We see extensive cell death

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:06.786 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.169$ even within one day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.534$ and the regression profile is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:10.534 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.679$ can see over here on the right is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8683355

 $00:22:13.679 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.905$ different from what we see with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:22:15.910 --> 00:22:17.821 Uh Anti CTF War anti PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}17.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.280$ sort of combination the rapies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.260$ So there's something that's unique here

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.610$ which also seems to have a vascular

00:22:23.610 --> 00:22:25.554 component which we don't see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:25.554 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.447$ typically with those other therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.898$ So an interesting thing too is that we tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:29.898 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.596$ to think about effects of immune therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.532$ We tend to think mostly on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:34.532 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.498$ adaptive immune therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.747$ This is an image and a rag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.682$ My switch when we gave CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:22:39.682 --> 00:22:40.970 40 agonist therapy issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.175$ we actually saw more toxicity in rag

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}43.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.479$ mice then we saw on while typing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:22:45.480 --> 00:22:48.378 I'm trying to figure out why that might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.306$ including in Forks in the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.445$ and so he's her F 480 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.498$ kupfer cells in the control rag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:22:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.852$ mouse liver and one day after treatment

 $00:22:56.852 \dashrightarrow 00:22:59.835$ with Agnes CD 40 you can see that extensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}22{:}59.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.036$ A mini granuloma formation of discover

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:02.036 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.648$ cells was slightly larger granulomas as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:04.650 --> 00:23:06.206 Interesting high dose steroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:06.206 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.151$ treatment prevents this from happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:08.151 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.199$ even in the absence of lymphocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.420$ so there's a innate immune dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}12.420 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.530$ aggregation of histiocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:13.530 --> 00:23:15.465 Also seeing large differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:15.465 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.013$ the histiocyte expression profiles

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:17.013 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.340$ on a single seller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.309$ and I see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}23{:}19.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.410$ but I'd say that's a work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.021$ One of the things we do see

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:25.021 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.339$ systemically is you can see here's

 $00:23:27.339 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.950$ cry about a 1000 to 10,000 fold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.897$ Increase in the chemo kind CX CL-10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.483$ which is a factor that recruits lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:35.483 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.611$ to the tumor microenvironment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:37.611 --> 00:23:40.473 you're seeing a large extension that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.350$ with the triple therapy and so some

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:43.350 --> 00:23:46.369 mechanism for the CD 40 agonist therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.475$ it's more rapid than what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:48.475 --> 00:23:49.738 we're seeing elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.631$ We see a real big up regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:52.631 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.790$ and systemic cytokines from Serum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:54.790 --> 00:23:58.158 We're not sure exactly which cell type yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:23:58.160 --> 00:23:59.480 although macrophages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:23:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.800$ Dicesar certainly candidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.576$ We're interested in the vascular effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:02.576 --> 00:24:04.699 were seeing next to endothelial cells,

 $00:24:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.193$ and I would say that this sort of suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}07.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.248$ that cytokine cycling is obsolete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}09.250 --> 00{:}24{:}09.575 \ \mathrm{Very},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:09.575 --> 00:24:11.200 very important in these responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:11.200 --> 00:24:13.264 and that we will be getting a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:13.264 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.267$ you 01 grant with Catherine Miller

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:15.267 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.811$ Jensen as the contact P and me

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:17.811 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.899$ as a secondary API to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:19.899 --> 00:24:21.273 single cell cytokine secretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:21.273 --> 00:24:22.888 So RNA levels don't typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:22.888 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.530$ aren't very accurate for these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}24.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.896$ An actual looking at each cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}26.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.890$ what cytokines it makes will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.025$ helpful in the last minute or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.486$ I will briefly discuss.

00:24:32.486 --> 00:24:35.336 This is part of spore project for in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}24{:}35.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.696$ our skin support and this is a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:37.773 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.869$ that as led by Harriet cougar and Sarah Wise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.574$ in which an agonist CD 40 therapy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:43.574 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.605$ combined with anti PD one and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:45.605 --> 00:24:48.075 an anti CSF one R therapy and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:48.075 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.307$ is in patients that have progressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:50.307 --> 00:24:52.720 on PD one blockade in Melanoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.191$ also non small cell lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:24:55.191 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.620$ renal cell carcinoma and I will kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:24:57.687 --> 00:25:00.207 of go through this so we make sure we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00{:}25{:}00.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.458$ have enough time for the second talk as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

00:25:03.460 --> 00:25:04.832 Here's a brief description

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:25:04.832 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.890$ of the cohorts that are here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:25:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.417$ and we're going to move through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89505094

 $00:25:09.417 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.791$ relatively rapidly and get to some of

 $00:25:11.791 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.627$ the neat stuff and mucosal Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:13.693 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.468$ is notoriously hard to treat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.522$ tends not to have really high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:17.522 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.582$ mutation burdens, and here is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:25:19.582 --> 00:25:21.640 patient who had progressed on C5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.350$ four plus PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.606$ and you can see multiple liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:25.606 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.772$ lesions that actually cleared by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:25:27.772 --> 00:25:29.865 addition of giving an agonist CD 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.670$ so the two patients I'm showing here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:25:32.670 --> 00:25:35.079 didn't necessarily have the anti CSF 1 R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.624$ It had very clear responses after a PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.174$ one failure or PD1 Pussy clip for further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.679$ So here's a couple more cases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:42.679 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.046$ there's a lesion here that's disappeared

 $00:25:45.046 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.888$ in a couple other lesions here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}47.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.156$ are not present at a later time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.631$ So this is a trial again by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}25{:}52.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.620$ Harriet cougar and Sara Weiss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.480$ Part export project for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.340$ phase one is moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:25:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.818$ I think the decisions now or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:00.818 --> 00:26:04.172 or not to have the CSF one R inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:04.172 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.270$ around for the next phases of the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.615$ But one thing that was interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}09.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.478$ that we are seeing a similar cytokine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.783$ Profiling is what we see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}14.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.570$ mice with dramatic elevations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.958$ Avxl 10 in the triple therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:18.958 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.550$ group with some elevations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.811$ In Co works that happened to have

 $00:26:22.811 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.759$ higher levels of agonist CD 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.384$ and so these are the conclusions that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:27.384 --> 00:26:29.949 I've already mentioned to you along the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:29.950 --> 00:26:31.924 and one thing I'd really briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:31.924 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.463$ like to say is that as part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:34.463 --> 00:26:36.750 the Yale Center for me on Koleji,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.862$ we're starting a list of a set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:38.862 --> 00:26:40.653 working groups which are smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:40.653 --> 00:26:42.257 groups around particular complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.204$ and we're trying to be inclusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:44.204 --> 00:26:45.500 in these working groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}45.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.182$ and I would suggest that you go to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}48.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.559$ website through Yale Cancer Center and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.272$ Elisa Matthews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:26:51.272 --> 00:26:52.340 which was ALLYSIA,

 $00:26:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.818$ is the person who is a scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}26{:}54.818 --> 00{:}26{:}55.526 \ \mathrm{program \ director}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.864$ She can get you set up so you can join some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:26:58.864 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.916$ of these groups should you be interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.086$ And with that I'll just acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}04.086 \to 00{:}27{:}05.830$ especially arena quick by Eva,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.066$ who's in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:07.066 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.800$ who has done a lot of the pre clinical work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.924$ All of the trial work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:12.924 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.986$ writing and managing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:27:13.990 --> 00:27:16.120 That's all Harriet Kluber Inserra wise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:27:16.120 --> 00:27:18.542 Earlier work with Sue Kevin I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00{:}27{:}18.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.100$ vision with Asami as part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.556$ center precision cancer modeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.345$ And I'll stop there and just for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

00:27:24.350 --> 00:27:25.048 I guess,

 $00:27:25.048 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.793$ brief minute we can potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:26.793 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.340$ take a question or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776111

 $00:27:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.740$ Work

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.925$ is thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}29.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.295$ That's a terrific body of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.676$ Yet let me ask a somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:34.676 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.468$ complicated question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:35.470 --> 00:27:37.050 Instead of multiple parts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.684$ which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:38.684 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.794$ you've clearly shown that targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}40.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.078$ an innate immunity for this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}43.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.290$ of PD one PD L1 responsive cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}27{:}45.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.739$ potentially moves the needle higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.910$ realizing that within that cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:49.910 --> 00:27:52.502 there are patients who may respond

00:27:52.502 --> 00:27:55.262 to just PD one alone or PT1 hippie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:55.270 --> 00:27:56.966 or things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:27:56.966 --> 00:27:59.086 And so how do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:27:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.568$ How do you see the work you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:01.568 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.020$ doing help differentiate that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:28:03.020 --> 00:28:05.162 Or do we just give everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:05.162 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.590$ sort of the combination?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.172$ Then Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:07.172 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.500$ is a related note for the tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:28:09.559 --> 00:28:11.459 are not actually really benefiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00{:}28{:}11.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.359$ and meaningfully from the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

00:28:13.424 --> 00:28:15.160 checkpoint inhibitors you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.729$ Where do you see this approach working

NOTE Confidence: 0.88490915

 $00:28:17.729 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.150$ in that subset of tumors as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:21.290 --> 00:28:23.467 But I I think right now the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:23.467 --> 00:28:24.789 difficulty in evaluating new

 $00:28:24.789 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.404$ combinations of immune therapies is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:26.404 --> 00:28:28.897 that if you do a standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:28.900 --> 00:28:30.881 so your drug plus PD one blockade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:28:30.881 --> 00:28:32.699 versus PD one blockade alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.087$ those trials 10, and that's the reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:35.087 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.458$ trial that one might use at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.532$ take a very long time to complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.259$ and it takes awhile with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}41.260 \to 00{:}28{:}43.796$ Follow up to know what those results are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.949$ Sort of the scenarios that I've just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:45.949 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.895$ sort of illustrated at these anecdotal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}47.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.156$ cases give one much better indication of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}50.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.520$ whether there's some activity of an agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.711$ And that's basically in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:28:54.711 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.898$ failure of response to existing therapies.

 $00:28:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.301$ So in these cases it was PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}28{:}59.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.619$ one plus ETA 4 in one case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.574$ which we use more commonly in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.060$ But also just with PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.836$ one failure in and of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.320$ So in those clinical context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.800$ which regrettably are still pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.280$ common in many cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.450$ you have the opportunity to add on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.419$ something like agonist CD 40 to evaluate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.540$ Weather is what would really be nice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.794$ have a biomarker to know when it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:20.794 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.229$ be useful to use these other therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.281$ and that's sort of lacking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:25.281 --> 00:29:27.368 at this time point I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:27.370 --> 00:29:28.965 but having a better understanding

00:29:28.965 --> 00:29:31.117 of how these things work would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00{:}29{:}31.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.853$ one step in the second step might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:32.853 --> 00:29:34.916 be doing a more careful evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.980$ Immediately after you started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.775$ this new therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.084$ do you see the site of kind of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:39.084 --> 00:29:41.550 that you would expect to see in a patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.116$ That's going to benefit and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

00:29:43.116 --> 00:29:44.737 him earlier cut off if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:44.737 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.315$ not going to along those lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.910$ but I think those are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162716

 $00:29:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.970$ of the thoughts that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

00:29:48.970 --> 00:29:51.090 people are having at this one time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:29:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.983$ one other question that you sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:29:52.983 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.060$ alluded to at the end of your talk.

00:29:55.060 --> 00:29:57.412 I know you had a recent publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:29:57.412 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.794$ sort of characterizing the sort of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:29:59.794 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.434$ traditionally son exposed class of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:30:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.270$ With respect to the biology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:30:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.159$ also their potential benefit or lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

00:30:05.159 --> 00:30:06.684 of benefit for checkpoint editors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:30:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.762$ can you just to share a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064048

 $00:30:08.762 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.708$ bit of insight from that work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

00:30:10.710 --> 00:30:13.110 Yeah, I mean this was kind of nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}13.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.795$ here 'cause the mucosal Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:14.795 \dashrightarrow 00:30:17.512$ that was the first case that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:17.512 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.430$ shown in this would be an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}19.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.192$ of a relatively low mutation burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

00:30:21.192 --> 00:30:23.380 form of Melanoma is a pretty clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.965$ at least correlation with tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:24.965 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.550$ with higher mutation version being

 $00:30:26.599 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.069$ a little bit more responsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:28.069 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.245$ to mean checkpoint hitters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.300$ but it turns out that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

00:30:31.300 --> 00:30:33.463 There's a number of people in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:33.463 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.614$ venues that are looking for tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:35.614 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.549$ that might have chromosomal changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.240$ which are typically more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}39.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.307$ in low sun damage melanomas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}41.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.882$ those might induce trans locations

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}42.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.179$ and sort of not like transcripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}30{:}45.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.082$ That sort of have random proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:47.082 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.752$ that are expressed at reasonably

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:48.752 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.762$ high levels that might be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:50.762 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.809$ good targets for immune therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.892$ so it's not just whether you

 $00:30:54.892 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.630$ have mutation burn or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.094$ it just whether you have antigens that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:30:59.094 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.668$ your T cells can recognize or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.056$ And right now we're not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:03.056 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.659$ great in any level of recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:04.659 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.209$ which cancers those might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00{:}31{:}06.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.545$ and it'll probably be different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:07.545 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.346$ for every patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

00:31:08.350 --> 00:31:09.946 so you can't just say well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.285$ this person has this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

00:31:11.285 --> 00:31:12.620 peptide expressed or so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.440$ It's also their HLA haplotype and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.890$ a lot of things along that that go into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.360$ Whether or not they'll be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.88166106

 $00:31:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.830$ to form a productive response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00:31:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.490$ Well, thank you and thank you for that talk.

 $00:31:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.296$ Why don't we will turn it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00:31:26.296 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.089$ now to our second speaker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00{:}31{:}28.090 --> 00{:}31{:}29.590$ As I mentioned, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00{:}31{:}29.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.494$ clear area of priority for the Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00:31:31.494 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.333$ Center has been in computational biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00{:}31{:}33.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.594$ and were really very fortunate to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102248

 $00:31:35.653 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.447$ doctor more convene speaking to us.