WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:30:00.2560000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.567$ everybody. Welcome to our session on behalf

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}00{:}12.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.117$ of Yale University and Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.136$ I'm pleased to have you with us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:00:20.136 --> 00:00:23.679 part of the Yale Engage Cancer series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.450$ This session is entitled defining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.575$ Mechanisms and biomarkers of sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}00{:}28.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.430$ and resistance to anti cancer treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.878$ I'll be your moderator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}00{:}32.878 \to 00{:}00{:}34.326$ I'm I'm Barbara burtness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.258$ I'm a medical oncologist and have a interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:37.258 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.390$ in drug development and head neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.046$ And we have a phenomenal panel of Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:44.046 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.669$ faculty members and Anna corporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:00:46.669 --> 00:00:49.369 guest Susan Galbraith from Etsy.

 $00:00:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.555$ And hope to have a very very

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:52.555 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.465$ interactive session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.154$ I'd like to start with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:57.154 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.996$ few housekeeping items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:00:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.568$ The program format as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.826$ is going to be each of our panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:01:04.826 --> 00:01:07.977 members giving a brief about 5 minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:07.977 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.009$ introduction to the work that they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}01{:}11.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.818$ What they see is as key questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.061$ Will have all of the panel presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:18.061 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.808$ 1st and then move on to the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.662$ The question and answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}01{:}23.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.440$ We know that to attack cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:26.528 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.328$ we need team science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}01{:}28.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.660$ We need collaborations within our

 $00:01:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.990$ organization and across different sectors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468 00:01:32.990 --> 00:01:33.419 Academic, NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:33.419 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.422$ public and industry and Yale engage was

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:36.422 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.048$ designed to build these connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:01:39.050 --> 00:01:40.445 particularly between Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:01:40.445 --> 00:01:42.305 scientists and industry leaders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.079$ To keep the discussion lively, we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:45.079 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.396$ We welcome questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:01:46.396 --> 00:01:49.030 Some have been submitted ahead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:49.111 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.974$ time and you'll have the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:51.974 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.549$ submit them through the Q&A function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.126$ On the Web and R we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:01:58.126 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.298$ enormous amount of expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:00.298 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.808$ among our panelists and will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.660$ Monitoring those questions as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}02{:}05.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.705$ come up and and try to get to as

00:02:08.705 --> 00:02:10.992 many of them as possible and I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:02:10.992 --> 00:02:12.854 want you to know that this web,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.028$ nor is being recorded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:14.028 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.177$ so now I'm really pleased to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:16.177 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.847$ able to introduce Charlie Fuchs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.390$ He's the secular professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:02:19.390 --> 00:02:20.930 medicine and medical oncology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:20.987 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.537$ a professor of chronic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00{:}02{:}22.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.777$ Epidemiology here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:02:23.780 --> 00:02:25.598 He's the director of the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

00:02:25.598 --> 00:02:27.504 Cancer Center and Position in chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468

 $00:02:27.504 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.768$ at Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850683850901468 00:02:28.770 --> 00:02:29.080 Charlie. NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.615$ Forever thank you and thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:32.615 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.046$ your leadership on this and welcome

 $00:02:35.046 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.122$ to all the attendees to what is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}02{:}38.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.612$ our third Yale Engage cancer event

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:40.612 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.480$ and it's really been an exciting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.505$ incredibly productive series of forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.940$ So please it could join us

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.560$ for this third one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}02{:}49.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.798$ You know, we we all recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:02:51.798 --> 00:02:54.244 that despite the fact that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:02:54.244 --> 00:02:56.439 dealing with a global pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.088$ the consistent impact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:02:58.088 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.736$ cancer on public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}02{:}59.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.925$ And the morbidity and mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:01.925 --> 00:03:04.110 and costs on our population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.666$ Or considerable an it remains one

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:06.666 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.350$ of the great challenges in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.409$ And it also is one of the

00:03:12.409 --> 00:03:13.283 largest investments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}03{:}13.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.216$ I think that goes on and health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:16.216 --> 00:03:18.321 research and drug development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:18.321 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.023$ our our our efforts at Yale is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:21.023 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.777$ to really tackle this challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.629$ Yeah Liz had a long legacy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:26.629 --> 00:03:29.019 Cancer Research and cell biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:29.020 --> 00:03:29.896 genetics, pharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}03{:}29.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.648$ immunology, among other elements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.880$ And I think a lot of the history of success,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:35.880 --> 00:03:37.664 including four Yntema therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}03{:}37.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.340$ come out of this University were

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}03{:}40.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.717$ privileged to work at one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:42.717 --> 00:03:44.851 national one of the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:44.851 --> 00:03:46.456 National Cancer Institute,

00:03:46.460 --> 00:03:47.765 designated Cancer centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}03{:}47.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.810$ and has been a really an area

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:50.892 --> 00:03:53.503 that research that is as built a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}03{:}53.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.179$ legacy of great innovation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.854$ Smilow cancer hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:03:57.854 --> 00:03:59.528 Our clinical center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:03:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.805$ Is celebrating its 10th anniversary

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:01.805 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.848$ and is a robust operation that now

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:04.848 \dashrightarrow 00:04:07.384$ sees about 48% of every newly diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:07.384 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.530$ cancer patient in the state of Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036 00:04:10.530 --> 00:04:11.306 And really, NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:11.306 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.634$ we view that through the science

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:13.634 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.833$ and through this robust clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:15.833 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.983$ operation we really are committed to moving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:04:18.990 --> 00:04:20.259 discovery scientific discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:20.259 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.528$ into the clinic.

 $00:04:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.640$ Really pleased with the team

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.328$ that's been assembled today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.291$ our first and Yale engage cancer was

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:04:28.291 --> 00:04:30.350 focused on immunobiology, our second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.325$ Was focused on novel therapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:04:32.330 --> 00:04:35.386 and the third really ties it all together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.300$ which is to understand now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.988$ given these efforts to develop new drugs,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.871820628643036 \\ & 00:04:39.990 --> 00:04:40.754 \text{ new targets,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:40.754 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.664$ how do we understand resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:04:42.670 --> 00:04:44.580 How do we understand sensitivity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.100$ And how do we further enhance our

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:04:47.100 --> 00:04:48.790 approaches to cancer therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}04{:}48.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.088$ Integral to this fight is our

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:51.088 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.237$ collaboration with industry,

 $00:04:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.026$ and we're so pleased to have Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}04{:}55.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.360$ Susan Galbraith join us as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.135$ industry partner on the panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:04:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.376$ and we realize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:00.376 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.748$ So many of you in the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:05:02.748 --> 00:05:05.394 come from the biotech and pharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.566$ An really part of this effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.250$ Beyond hearing from these experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}05{:}09.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.401$ in their insights is to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:11.401 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.637$ begin a conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}05{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.674$ Because one thing we really welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.698$ here at Yale is to collaborate with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:05:17.700 --> 00:05:19.935 We want to build strategic

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:19.935 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.170$ partnerships with all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.060$ Because ultimately this fight against cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.375 \text{ Yes},$

 $00:05:24.375 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.950$ it requires each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.210$ domains on the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.785$ but it requires a community

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:28.785 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.045$ focused on every aspect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.310$ and that includes academia

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.570$ and industry in biotech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.572$ So one thing I want to invite

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:34.572 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.659$ you today is to ask questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.920$ but after this form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.495$ please reach out to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.070$ will reach out to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

00:05:41.070 --> 00:05:42.960 And let's think about ways we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00{:}05{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.905$ can work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.054$ I think we have a lot of resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.871820628643036

 $00:05:46.054 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.227$ we can bring here at Yale to

 $00:05:48.227 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.797$ partner with all the great

NOTE Confidence: 0.889435529708862

 $00:05:49.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.734$ things you're all doing and we look forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889435529708862

 $00:05:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.992$ To continuing this conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.889435529708862

 $00:05:53.992 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.852$ long after this form, so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889435529708862

 $00:05:55.852 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.357$ thank you for joining and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889435529708862

 $00:05:57.357 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.328$ I'll turn it back to Barbara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861892461776733

 $00{:}06{:}01.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.970$ Thank you Charlie. I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861892461776733

 $00:06:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.510$ that's a great introduction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00{:}06{:}07.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.513$ to what we're trying to do here I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

00:06:10.513 --> 00:06:13.739 I just had a brief opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:13.739 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.022$ to scroll through the list of 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00{:}06{:}17.022 \to 00{:}06{:}19.638$ participants an it's a formidable group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.820$ including GAIL scientists, industry partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.050$ Alumni are supporters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.920$ so I think that we can anticipate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:25.997 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.272$ some some pretty hard hitting

 $00:06:28.272 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.978$ questions from that group. So we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00{:}06{:}30.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.490$ We've tried to arrange these talks so that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.314$ We hope that there's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:36.314 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.131$ bit of a natural progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:38.131 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.247$ in the scientific questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00{:}06{:}40.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.693$ and Dan the approaches that are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:42.693 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.470$ taken to understanding resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391 00:06:44.470 --> 00:06:45.577 As I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

00:06:45.577 --> 00:06:47.791 every speakers been asked to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:47.791 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.997$ of reflect a little bit on what's her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.215$ his core expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:52.215 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.835$ What questions drive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00{:}06{:}53.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.989$ research and how they hope to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.758$ or Yale hopes to work with industry partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:06:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.212$ To address cancer cancer

 $00:07:03.212 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.938$ treatment resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00{:}07{:}04.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.974$ And what kinds of capabilities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:06.974 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.388$ resources need to be brought to bear?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.679$ So each of those speakers has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:11.679 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.519$ asked to go only for about 5 minutes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.970$ I've been told that I should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.968$ ruthless and and cut you off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

00:07:18.970 --> 00:07:21.539 If you go over and and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:21.539 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.289$ will be hard to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.090$ 'cause I know the talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.890$ are going to be great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.050$ but let me start by introducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.130$ Doctor Katie Palitti.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

00:07:30.130 --> 00:07:32.290 She's an associate professor of pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

00:07:32.290 --> 00:07:34.809 and Medicine leader in our Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.250$ Through those answering signaling

00:07:36.250 --> 00:07:37.690 cancer signaling networks program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.497$ as well as a leader of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

00:07:40.497 --> 00:07:42.679 lung spore program and Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85655349890391

 $00:07:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.868$ Think it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:07:43.870 --> 00:07:45.860 Thank you very much, Barbara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:07:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.326$ And I'm really delighted to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:07:48.326 --> 00:07:50.735 the opportunity to speak here today

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:07:50.735 --> 00:07:53.157 and tell you about some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:07:53.157 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.377$ things that we're interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}07{:}55.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.851$ I have a cancer biology lab here

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:07:57.851 --> 00:08:00.756 really with a focus on lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.007$ one of the areas that we are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}08{:}04.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.815$ interested in studying is working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:06.815 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.934$ resistance and resistance to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:08.934 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.578$ cancer therapies including targeted

 $00:08:10.578 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.887$ therapies and also immuno therapies and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}08{:}12.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.664$ Some of the things that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:15.664 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.040$ about a lot and work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.164$ I'm really approaches to discover new

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:20.164 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.041$ mechanisms of resistance were interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:22.041 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.649$ in understanding the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:23.649 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.350$ between tumor genotype and drug sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.646$ We study the influence of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}08{:}28.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.123$ micro environment on sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:30.123 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.288$ to different therapies and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:32.288 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.670$ investigate mechanisms of drug tolerance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:08:34.670 --> 00:08:37.246 So why do some cells die when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:08:37.246 --> 00:08:39.610 apply a therapy and instead other

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:08:39.610 --> 00:08:42.584 cells do not die and stick around

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:42.584 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.664$ and serve as the fertile ground for

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:45.664 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.951$ the emergence of drug resistance?

 $00:08:47.951 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.753$ And then we also investigate new

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:50.753 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.254$ approaches based on the science that

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:53.254 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.166$ we discover to overcome and or to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:56.166 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.776$ prevent the emergence of drug resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:08:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.303$ And we do these studies by really integrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:02.303 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.508$ information from various different systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:09:04.510 --> 00:09:06.715 various different models and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}09{:}06.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.920$ a variety of different technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:08.920 \dashrightarrow 00:09:12.007$ We use specimens and data from patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.530$ so we have a very robust biopsy program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665 00:09:15.530 --> 00:09:15.971 Here, NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:15.971 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.500$ within the context of the Lung Cancer Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665 00:09:19.500 --> 00:09:20.905 Where we. NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:20.905 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.730$ Can obtain biopsies from patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.238$ Long sort of the spectrum of

00:09:28.238 --> 00:09:29.910 their treatment with therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}09{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.418$ and we can generate patient derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:32.418 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.090$ models from these biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.890$ but also then analyze the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.690$ data and information to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:09:37.754 --> 00:09:39.938 understand resistance in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}09{:}39.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.268$ We use these models to generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:42.268 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.348$ or these specimens to generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}09{:}44.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.048$ patient drive Zeno graphs as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.552$ and also 2D or 3D cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:09:49.552 --> 00:09:50.803 from patient specimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.210$ and we also extensively work

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.130$ with genetically engineered mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.559$ models of lung cancer that we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:09:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.059$ I used to study resistance and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}10{:}00.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.879$ that regard I'd like to tell you today

 $00:10:02.879 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.358$ about some work that we have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:10:05.358 --> 00:10:07.906 doing in the field of EGF receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:10:07.910 --> 00:10:10.234 mutant lung cancer. Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:10.234 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.972$ To really use models to study resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:10:13.972 --> 00:10:18.147 to the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665 00:10:18.150 --> 00:10:19.054 also Merton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:19.054 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.670$ If and this is a work that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}10{:}22.775 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.119$ illustrates a partnership between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.090$ Academia and investigators in academia

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.540$ and work that we've done together

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.018$ with Astra Zeneca and also working

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:33.018 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.315$ with Garden Technology and work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}10{:}35.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.301$ was published recently this year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}10{:}37.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.555$ so EGF receptor mutations are found

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:39.555 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.645$ in about 15% of lung cancers and can

00:10:42.645 --> 00:10:44.580 be targeted with tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}10{:}44.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.617$ inhibitors and one of the most recent ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:10:47.620 --> 00:10:49.545 Is this tyrosine kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:49.545 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.700$ awesome Merton Eben?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.968$ So we can take our genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:10:52.968 --> 00:10:54.943 engineered mouse models and ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:10:54.943 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.507$ the question what happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:10:56.510 --> 00:10:59.526 If you have mouse models of EGF receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00{:}10{:}59.530 --> 00{:}11{:}00.661 \ \mathrm{mutant\ lung\ cancer},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:11:00.661 --> 00:11:03.300 and you treat them with awesome Merton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:11:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.939$ if and so we took my sweet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:11:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.815$ treated them till the emergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:11:07.815 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.565$ of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

 $00:11:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.658$ And when we looked at resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.877268970012665

00:11:10.658 --> 00:11:13.100 tumors to see what was happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.054$ we found that almost 50% of the tumors

 $00:11:16.054 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.844$ that emerged had secondary mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:17.844 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.180$ in EGF receptor that confer resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.514$ to a we some American if and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:11:22.520 --> 00:11:24.698 With that information we can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:11:24.698 --> 00:11:27.049 then go ahead using these models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:11:27.050 --> 00:11:28.960 So we've discovered new mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.744$ We can now use these models for preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}11{:}31.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.710$ testing and test new therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}11{:}33.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.756$ We can also with this information

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:11:35.756 --> 00:11:37.935 go into human specimens and data

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:37.935 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.197$ and analyze the relevance of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}11{:}40.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.994$ resistance mechanisms there, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}11{:}41.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.178$ For example, in this study we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:44.178 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.700$ that the mutations that were emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:11:46.700 --> 00:11:49.040 were particularly relevant to the L.

00:11:49.040 --> 00:11:51.960 8:50 at our subset of EGFR mutant tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}11{:}51.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.144$ so there was an allele specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:11:54.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.397$ that was revealed through our studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:11:56.397 --> 00:11:58.581 in mouse models and then working

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}11{:}58.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.349$ with colleagues like Mark Lemon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.010$ Here, you're going to hear from next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.195$ We can really then study the biochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:06.195 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.909$ properties in detail of these mutants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:08.910 --> 00:12:11.328 Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:11.330 --> 00:12:13.636 So we also are working extensively

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}12{:}13.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.338$ to take these models that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:16.338 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.067$ and sort of take them to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:19.067 --> 00:12:21.779 level to study some of the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:21.779 --> 00:12:23.615 complex mechanisms of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.308$ and we have modified for example this

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:26.308 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.608$ initial mouse model of EGF receptor,

00:12:28.610 --> 00:12:30.530 mutant lung cancer to incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.066$ additional genetic alterations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:32.070 --> 00:12:34.756 are also found in humans in EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:34.756 --> 00:12:36.360 mutant lung cancer, including,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.260$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}12{:}37.260 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.960$ tumor suppressor gene alterations using in

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:39.960 --> 00:12:42.818 vivo CRISPR CAS 9 gene editing and so now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.142$ We can study how those additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:45.142 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.470$ alterations are impacting tumor progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.739$ sensitivity to therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:48.739 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.854$ and the phenotypes of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:50.860 --> 00:12:53.814 As I mentioned in my first slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}12{:}53.820 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}56.669$ we also have a robust program to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:12:56.669 --> 00:12:58.470 generate patient derived models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:12:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.744$ and here is really an illustration

 $00:13:00.744 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.120$ of sort of the different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}13{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.610$ PDX is that we've generated across

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}13{:}05.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.270$ various different oncogenic subgroups

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:13:07.334 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.459$ of lung cancer with different

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:13:09.459 --> 00:13:10.734 oncogenic driver alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:13:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.326$ and so we're using these models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}13{:}13.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.880$ To really study resistance in human

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:13:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.550$ specimens and really use them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:13:18.550 --> 00:13:20.780 study heterogeneity of human tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:13:20.780 --> 00:13:22.229 signaling network alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00{:}13{:}22.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.127$ and the molecular profiles that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:13:25.127 --> 00:13:27.798 can have in these human who tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

00:13:27.798 --> 00:13:29.970 with or without drug treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838195204734802

 $00:13:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.850$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834687411785126

00:13:32.230 --> 00:13:35.796 Thank you so much Katie. I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834687411785126

 $00:13:35.796 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.790$ I think that there's there's so

 $00:13:38.892 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.796$ much there for the other speakers to riff

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00:13:42.796 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.136$ off of and and to set up our questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

00:13:47.140 --> 00:13:49.678 Next, let me introduce Mark Lemon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

00:13:49.680 --> 00:13:51.424 distinguished Professor of pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00:13:51.424 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.899$ You see his leadership roles in the Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00{:}13{:}54.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.889$ Center in Cancer Biology Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

00:13:56.890 --> 00:14:00.874 There, an mark is unique and bringing a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00{:}14{:}00.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.757$ You know a wealth of expertise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00{:}14{:}03.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.367$ biology and structural biology to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00{:}14{:}06.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.532$ very interface with drug development

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00:14:08.532 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.676$ and and disease based research and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811754882335663

 $00:14:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.270$ Looking forward to your comments, mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.612$ Thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:16.612 --> 00:14:18.144 Robert and good afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.838$ So a great pleasure to be here.

 $00:14:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.144$ I look forward very much to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:23.144 --> 00:14:24.680 hearing discussion later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:24.680 --> 00:14:26.260 As as Barbara mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}14{:}26.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.235$ I'm really a basic scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.060$ interested in how molecules work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.364$ My core expertise really is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:32.364 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.900$ biochemistry and structural biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.537$ The focus of most of our work is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}14{:}36.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.709$ detailed understanding of of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:38.709 --> 00:14:40.974 molecules and networks involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}14{:}40.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.690$ on cogenic signaling actually do

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.084$ work and do not anatomic detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:45.090 --> 00:14:47.000 Where we can and quantitatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:47.000 --> 00:14:48.528 understanding how their properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:48.528 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.231$ are changed by oncogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:50.231 --> 00:14:51.488 and resistance mutations.

00:14:51.490 --> 00:14:52.435 As Katy mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:52.435 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.640$ work we're doing with her and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:54.709 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.037$ we can then use that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:14:57.037 --> 00:14:58.589 to guide mechanistically driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:14:58.656 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.116$ personalized medicine or put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:01.116 --> 00:15:03.084 biochemistry into personalized medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.598$ Those kinds of thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:04.598 --> 00:15:07.776 So our main focus in general is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}07.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.284$ class of receptors that Katie discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}10.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.365$ The growth factor receptors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:12.365 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.025$ have interested Harrison Chinese

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}14.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.520$ domains like EGF receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}15.520 \to 00{:}15{:}18.625$ As you know, and as as key to describe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:18.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.059$ these are key targets for cancer therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:21.060 --> 00:15:21.987 particularly lung cancer,

00:15:21.987 --> 00:15:24.150 and is clear in general in advancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:24.204 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.249$ approaches to controlling their behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.398$ So the behavior with drugs dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:28.398 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.661$ with resistance really requires us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:30.661 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.201$ understand the molecular mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:32.201 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.741$ and understanding well enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}33.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.778$ that we can manipulate them in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}35.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.320$ predictable way and also manipulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}37.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.045$ their complex so the networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.465$ And I'll give a couple of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.569$ of things that are driving have

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:43.569 --> 00:15:45.687 been driving research in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775 00:15:45.690 --> 00:15:46.032 Recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:15:46.032 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.768$ and the first relates to what Katie has

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:48.768 --> 00:15:51.336 been discussing at the level of growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:51.340 --> 00:15:53.100 acquired resistance and primary resistance,

00:15:53.100 --> 00:15:54.960 and we've actually been working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:54.960 --> 00:15:56.976 Katie quite a bit to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}15{:}56.976 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.756$ details of how secondary mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:15:58.756 --> 00:16:00.520 in EGFR cause resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.678$ As she mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:01.678 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.222$ with the automotive resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:03.222 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.493$ mutations and the additional key colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:05.493 --> 00:16:07.599 network is to use that understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:07.599 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.869$ as it develops to decide when to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}16{:}09.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.049$ use which inhibitor and how to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:12.049 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.927$ up with new and indeed repurposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:13.927 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.699$ inhibitors in resistance situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:15.700 --> 00:16:17.668 Not going back to two other

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:17.668 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.980$ working in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.600$ one of our recent first time

 $00:16:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.571$ has been to identify and target

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}16{:}22.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.227$ driver mutations in neuroblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.519$ which is one of the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:26.519 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.173$ pediatric cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.203$ And this is related work we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}16{:}29.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.486$ collaborating with the Children

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:30.486 --> 00:16:32.311 Psychology Group on out another

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:32.311 --> 00:16:33.406 receptor tyrosine kinase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:33.410 --> 00:16:34.630 a bit like EGFR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.155$ and sequencing out consumers from

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}16{:}36.155 --> 00{:}16{:}37.020\ 1600\ patients.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.847$ That gave us a list with carve

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:38.847 --> 00:16:40.649 out mutations that we analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}16{:}40.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.609$ biochemically structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.948$ Real transformation did a full work up

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:43.948 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.617$ on them and show from that that out.

00:16:46.620 --> 00:16:48.456 About 14% of neuroblastoma without dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.170$ and we developed a computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.728$ model that you can see in the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:52.728 --> 00:16:54.925 of the left hand part of the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:16:54.925 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.357$ that we can with which we can predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}16{:}57.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.525$ which mutations are actionable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:16:58.525 --> 00:17:00.898 Mr Working on that and an in refining

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:17:00.898 --> 00:17:02.483 that to identify out dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:17:02.483 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.731$ tumors in the clinic and what but

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

00:17:04.731 --> 00:17:06.266 importantly this quickly let us

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:17:06.266 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.909$ to understand that some variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:17:07.909 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.624$ are resistant to 1st generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00{:}17{:}09.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.390$ out computers result and it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.79191118478775

 $00:17:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.410$ not work in Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.482$ Last over and we also learned that the

 $00:17:14.482 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.788$ stable of 1st generation are contributors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.315$ We're not that different from

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:18.315 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.840$ one another and and impedes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.370$ In particular, we have one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.421$ We have to be careful to which

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:23.421 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.329$ drug you choose for the trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.550$ because there's a limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:17:26.550 --> 00:17:28.075 number of patients in pediatric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.823$ so more monster pick the right one

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:29.823 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.740$ and over all those considerations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:17:31.740 --> 00:17:32.655 using their biochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:32.655 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.180$ channel distal mat in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.396$ which is now looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}17{:}35.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.308$ promising in neuroblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:17:36.310 --> 00:17:37.840 overcomes much of the resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.996$ although of course we are now experiencing

00:17:39.996 --> 00:17:41.500 resistance that we're working on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}17{:}41.500 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}17{:}43.318$ and I just want to illustrate that as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:43.318 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.262$ key approach combining biochemistry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:45.262 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.377$ structural biology and computational aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.424$ But we could use in principle for

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:49.424 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.979$ any receptor types in Chinese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.068$ So next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.219$ We also very interested in a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:17:56.219 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.162$ aspect of getting away from inhibiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:17:58.162 --> 00:18:00.931 receptors per say as we tend to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:00.931 --> 00:18:02.799 instead correcting their signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}18{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.672$ So we're all familiar with biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}18{:}04.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.979$ agonists for G protein coupled receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}18{:}06.980 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.140$ which can promote different responses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.867$ the same receptors as strength on the left.

00:18:11.870 --> 00:18:13.262 The color of signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:13.262 --> 00:18:14.306 whether it's orange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.706$ yellow, green or blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:15.706 --> 00:18:17.800 Many common drugs that we take,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.550$ her bias GPS are agonists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.986$ and there's actually a lot of effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.321$ for example to develop biased agonists of

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:24.321 --> 00:18:26.259 opiate receptors retaining analgesic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.600$ But without the associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:27.600 --> 00:18:29.275 problem problems of the opiates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.296$ we don't do that for receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:31.296 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.968$ tyrosine kinases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.794$ In the light there traditionally thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}18{:}33.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.000$ of as being binary signaling systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.688$ either on or off as an illustrated here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.610$ but we recently showed in the

 $00:18:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.011$ paper a couple of years ago would

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:43.011 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.756$ continue to work on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.902$ Prices have color in their signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:46.902 --> 00:18:49.179 two and as illustrated on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.880$ the same receptor EGF receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.854$ Again in this case can give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:18:52.854 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.570$ can promote self liberation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:54.570 --> 00:18:56.190 differentiation depending in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}18{:}56.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.503$ same cell depending on which growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:18:58.503 --> 00:19:00.393 factor is used to activate it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.100$ and this reflects you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.460$ a different dimer structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}19{:}03.460 --> 00{:}19{:}04.426 \ \mathrm{asymmetric} \ \mathrm{or} \ \mathrm{symmetric},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:04.426 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.358$ for the two ligands with altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:06.358 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.455$ dimerization and signaling

00:19:07.455 --> 00:19:08.899 kinetics that define specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:08.900 \dashrightarrow 00:19:11.315$ it turns out the mutations in glioblastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:11.315 --> 00:19:12.979 shift signaling to the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.352$ making it more proliferative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:14.352 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.724$ That's one of their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:15.730 --> 00:19:16.654 At key issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:16.654 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.194$ even with small structural changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.580$ now that we understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.379$ structural basis for this but through

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:21.379 --> 00:19:22.839 crystallography and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}19{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.712$ we believe that it's possible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:24.712 --> 00:19:26.848 develop biologics that will do the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899 00:19:26.850 --> 00:19:27.159 Imagine, NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:27.159 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.777$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:27.777 --> 00:19:29.631 an antibody that could shift EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:29.631 --> 00:19:31.130 activated in cancer we mutation

00:19:31.130 --> 00:19:32.840 allele with living shift signaling to

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:32.889 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.579$ the left making it differentiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.428$ This could be a really powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:36.428 --> 00:19:37.352 approach to signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

00:19:37.360 --> 00:19:38.592 switching or correcting signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:38.592 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.208$ from preparations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.895$ Differentiation is actually 1 proof

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}19{:}40.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.192$ of principle in that with kit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:43.192 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.848$ stem cell factor that causes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:44.848 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.069$ was been working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}19{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.126$ At Stanford, so next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.297$ And so finally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00{:}19{:}51.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.631$ We've also been focusing on an

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:53.631 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.837$ undruggable target the pseudo kinases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:19:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.339$ About 10% of the kinases in kind

 $00:19:58.339 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.882$ of is inactive and the blue ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.773119211196899

 $00:20:00.882 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.976$ here on the left in history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:02.980 --> 00:20:05.828 Many of them don't even buy native P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.258$ and these include regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:07.258 --> 00:20:08.329 Interceptors like Roswick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:08.330 --> 00:20:10.115 PK7 involved in wind signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:10.115 --> 00:20:11.900 and involved in several councils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.328$ but have been totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:13.328 --> 00:20:14.756 ignored as drug targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.892$ For the most part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:15.892 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.590$ One hypothesis is that they simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}17.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.854$ by switching confirmations to bind

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}19.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.177$ downstream signaling molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.010$ We recently determined in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.922$ Paper in 2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:23.922 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.442$ referenced here a bunch of

00:20:25.442 --> 00:20:27.016 structures and script screen for

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}27.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.536$ small molecule inhibitors to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}28.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.371$ if we could bring in principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:30.371 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.433$ drug these in the middle here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:32.433 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.554$ the structure you can see a drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:34.560 --> 00:20:35.948 It's actually pronounced enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:35.948 --> 00:20:38.360 inhibitor bound to one of these pseudo

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.010$ kinases that doesn't even bind 80P

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.158$ and M as shown in the top right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.560$ We've demonstrated using hydrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:20:43.560 --> 00:20:45.310 determine change studies that put

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.594$ out maybe induces conformational

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}46.594 \to 00{:}20{:}48.659$ changes in role one as it binds

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}20{:}48.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.765$ and so the idea is that this might

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:50.765 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.912$ inhibit signaling interactions that

 $00:20:51.912 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.492$ naturally there's alot enormous amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:53.492 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.620$ of work to do with selectivity and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74686503410339400:20:55.620 --> 00:20:56.544 And so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:56.544 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.392$ But early studies of signaling effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:20:58.392 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.081$ suggests that banana can inhibit

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:00.081 --> 00:21:01.736 went dependent rule one signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.462$ and so the idea of sharing centrally

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:03.462 --> 00:21:04.648 here is that confirmational

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:04.648 --> 00:21:06.608 disruptors like this could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:06.608 --> 00:21:08.176 valuable tools for understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.158$ See Tiffany signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:09.158 --> 00:21:11.440 but also targeting them where they play

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:11.494 --> 00:21:13.650 known roles in cancer and other diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.582$ and so far they're all being

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:15.582 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.870$ hit the articaine ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00{:}21{:}16.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.158$ For example, with antibodies.

00:21:18.158 --> 00:21:20.090 So that's about my brief summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.016$ That's all I wanted to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:22.020 --> 00:21:24.274 so thank you very much for attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.244$ and I look forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

 $00:21:26.244 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.717$ To your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746865034103394

00:21:27.720 --> 00:21:29.310 Thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

00:21:29.310 --> 00:21:32.490 much Mark for that wonderful discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

00:21:32.490 --> 00:21:35.670 Next, I'll be introducing Meghan King,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:21:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.260$ associate professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

00:21:37.260 --> 00:21:39.380 cell biology and molecular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:21:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.432$ cellular and developmental biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:21:41.432 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.510$ Program leader in our Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00{:}21{:}44.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.272$ and I think notable partly for having

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:21:47.272 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.091$ been elected by the her fellow faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

00:21:50.091 --> 00:21:52.479 here at Yale School of Medicine.

 $00:21:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.590$ As past president of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

00:21:54.590 --> 00:21:55.856 faculty Senate equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

00:21:55.860 --> 00:21:58.392 the Faculty Advisory Council where she

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:21:58.392 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.080$ also showed exceptional leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:22:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.606$ Sort of in that other realm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:22:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.194$ and she's going to be talking to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:22:05.194 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.570$ about very impactful work regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.831698834896088

 $00:22:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.778$ resistance to PARP inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

 $00:22:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.662$ Alright, so thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

00:22:13.662 --> 00:22:15.477 I'm also a basic scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

 $00{:}22{:}15.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.377$ an over the past decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

00:22:17.380 --> 00:22:19.255 It's really been my interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

 $00:22:19.255 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.130$ with my colleagues here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

00:22:21.197 --> 00:22:23.141 the Yale Cancer Center that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

00:22:23.141 --> 00:22:24.900 driven my group with expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

 $00:22:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.870$ in genome integrity to really

 $00:22:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.182$ focus on those aspects that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.872240900993347

 $00:22:29.182 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.660$ impacts for cancer therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.734$ So I'm going to start with this classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:22:35.734 --> 00:22:37.830 example of synthetic lethality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.188$ and that are is specifically PARP

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:40.188 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.630$ inhibitors in the context of Bracco

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:22:42.630 --> 00:22:44.208 Wanan bracket, two mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:44.208 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.153$ an, although of course these

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}22{:}46.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.830$ the rapies have incredible promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}22{:}47.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.655$ It's well established now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}22{:}49.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.978$ the acquired resistance is a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:51.978 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.113$ bottleneck for the durability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}22{:}54.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.472$ efficacy of these treatments, and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:56.472 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.040$ how do we tackle this problem and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:22:59.115 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.610$ opportunities that are presented when

00:23:01.610 --> 00:23:04.105 these tumor cells become resistant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:23:04.110 --> 00:23:06.120 So the approach that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.598$ taking is first to start by really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:23:08.598 --> 00:23:10.728 trying to define the genetic basis

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:23:10.728 --> 00:23:12.970 of resistance in this context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}12.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.618$ and so we know that there has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}15.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.758$ real value in crisper screens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:23:17.760 --> 00:23:19.974 But I think increasingly we're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:23:19.974 --> 00:23:21.848 excited about the possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:23:21.848 --> 00:23:23.548 circulating tumor DNA sequencing as

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}23.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.879$ well as potential for serial biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.432$ particularly along this axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}27.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.760$ As tumors gain resistance to combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:29.823 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.977$ with genome sequencing as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:31.977 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.913$ gene expression analysis to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}33.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.118$ new insights into the rapy resistance.

 $00:23:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.325$ And we use a range of models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}38.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.118$ although from model organisms to mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}40.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.120$ models to really get the mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.860$ and of course the ultimate goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.102$ is always to really be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:46.102 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.807$ leverage the mechanism of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.706$ ideally to come up with new

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:49.706 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.970$ the rapies and so awhile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.056$ Of course we'd like these to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:53.056 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.347$ actionable were really particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:54.347 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.339$ would like to go beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.405$ and to be sure to consider based

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}23{:}58.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.820$ on our mechanistic studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:23:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.584$ what can we bring to the table

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:01.584 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.300$ in terms of stratification?

 $00:24:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.274$ And today I'll talk about an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:05.274 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.477$ where we really think that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:07.477 --> 00:24:09.102 to consider Bracco one patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:09.102 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.897$ separately from bracket two patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.720$ Of course it would be best really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:12.720 --> 00:24:14.768 if we can develop new biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:14.768 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.168$ that will further help us stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:17.168 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.487$ patients based on the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}24{:}19.487 --> 00{:}24{:}20.415 \ \mathrm{underlying} \ \mathrm{resistance},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.616$ and I think one real potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:22.616 --> 00:24:24.080 there is for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:24.080 --> 00:24:25.915 circulating tumor DNA may allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:25.915 --> 00:24:28.166 us to identify patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:28.166 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.926$ a so called reversion allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.835$ That'll now will make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:31.835 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.359$ insensitive Department of Therapy

 $00:24:33.359 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.059$ and that baby one cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.112$ but there may be other patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:37.112 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.944$ where resistance is arising through

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:38.944 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.929$ a secondary mechanism that maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.314$ Therapeutically actionable and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:42.314 --> 00:24:44.778 I just wanted to take you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:44.778 --> 00:24:46.740 the work that we've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:46.740 --> 00:24:48.450 just not just my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.641$ but across our team to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}24{:}50.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.559$ the genetic basis of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:24:52.560 --> 00:24:53.928 So much of again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}24{:}53.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.980$ these crisper screens have been published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:24:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.122$ The work that's been going on here

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}24{:}58.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.178$ at Yale really has taken advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:00.178 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.670$ of a partnership that we already have

00:25:02.741 --> 00:25:04.865 between Astra Zeneca and our team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}25{:}04.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.914$ particularly Ryan Jensen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:05.914 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.002$ and Ryan has been modeling reversion

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:08.002 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.719$ alleles that are arising from

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:09.719 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.035$ patient derived DNA sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.075$ And testing really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:12.075 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.490$ is there still an actionable approach that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:14.552 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.664$ we could use in these contexts or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00{:}25{:}16.670 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}17.873$ By functionally characterizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:17.873 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.076$ the reversion alleles?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.550$ What I'm particularly excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.853$ at the moment is that paleru so has

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:25:22.853 --> 00:25:25.130 been leading a trial along with Kurt Shopper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

00:25:25.130 --> 00:25:27.026 who you'll hear from in a moment where

NOTE Confidence: 0.846176207065582

 $00:25:27.026 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.448$ she is and acquiring these serial biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.890$ Along this progression to relapse.

 $00:25:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.239$ And this allows us now to go in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:33.239 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.495$ really look not just a genome changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.708$ but gene expression changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:36.708 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.916$ And so these sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:37.916 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.238$ is ongoing at the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.394$ and we're really excited about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:41.394 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.630$ new targets that it may reveal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:25:43.630 --> 00:25:45.494 So I just want to give you one

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:45.494 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.423$ vignette of what was really originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:47.423 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.523$ motivated by these in vitro screens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.287$ and some work that my group has

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}25{:}51.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.117$ done and the possibilities that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}25{:}53.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.139$ can see for this going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.694$ So it's well established that Braca

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:25:56.694 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.333$ 1 one of its key roles is to promote

 $00:25:59.333 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.243$ what's called double strand break and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:01.243 --> 00:26:03.097 resection through the EXO 1 pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.151$ And this is a critical step in

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:05.151 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.546$ the HR pathway and so it was came

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:07.546 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.702$ out of these screens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:08.710 --> 00:26:11.280 That loss of either 50 BP one or Rev

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.052$ 7 can drive the rapy resistance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:13.052 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.978$ the context of Graco one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:14.980 --> 00:26:16.990 Well, my group discovered is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.913$ these are negative regulators of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}26{:}18.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.503$ bloom helicase acting with DNA 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.045$ which is an alternative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:22.045 --> 00:26:22.659 resection mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.652$ So this is a way where these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:24.652 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.376$ cells have essentially rewired reception

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}26{:}26.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.704$ so they're no longer dependent on

 $00{:}26{:}28.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.777$ bracca one and instead can use this

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:30.777 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.432$ bloom pathway and so as examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:32.432 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.118$ of what that mechanism has brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:34.118 --> 00:26:36.379 about in terms of the way we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:36.379 --> 00:26:37.695 thinking about future therapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.230$ the first is that identifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.148$ the bloom helicases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.376$ a really novel target that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}26{:}42.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.713$ already shown in vitro is also synthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}26{:}44.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.010$ lethal with Bracco one on its own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}26{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.786$ Particularly if we think in the short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:26:49.790 --> 00:26:51.178 maybe more actionable input

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}26{:}51.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.260$ ways in which this has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.126$ Our thinking is that it highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:55.126 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.819$ also the potential for combinations

00:26:56.819 --> 00:26:59.159 of PARP inhibitors in ATR inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:26:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.491$ and that's because the other thing we

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:01.491 --> 00:27:03.637 discovered is that this blue mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:03.637 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.809$ helicase is driving resection at very

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:05.809 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.237$ high rates and this leads not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:08.237 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.262$ to functional reception to do repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:10.262 --> 00:27:12.338 It actually leads to hyper resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:12.340 --> 00:27:14.416 and ATR is an important negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.454$ regulator of resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.938$ and so we think that this combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:17.938 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.699$ of treatments will push this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:19.700 --> 00:27:20.976 Hyper resection even further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:20.976 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.998$ and this is a really good rationale for why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:24.000 --> 00:27:25.700 Initially patients with RK one

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.076$ mutations may not respond well to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:28.076 --> 00:27:29.626 combination with an ATR inhibitor,

 $00:27:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.966$ but when there is a mechanism that down

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}27{:}31.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.322$ regulates these particular proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:33.322 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.458$ that that will make these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:35.458 --> 00:27:37.240 very sensitive to the combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.472$ and so along those lines we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:39.472 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.289$ currently just submitting anello I

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:41.289 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.151$ with paleru so where we are proposing

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}27{:}43.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.452$ to do a trial specifically in Bracco

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:45.452 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.832$ in patients because this is not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:47.832 --> 00:27:49.818 mechanism that's relevant for the bracket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294 00:27:49.820 --> 00:27:50.450 two patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:50.450 --> 00:27:52.655 Hoping to really test this idea clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}27{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.536$ so thank you and I look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:27:54.536 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.340$ to the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:55.340 --> 00:27:56.978 I also just like to highlight that

00:27:56.978 --> 00:27:58.863 much of this work as I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

00:27:58.863 --> 00:28:00.278 was a collaboration with Astra

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00{:}28{:}00.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02.000$ Zeneca and is also supported very

NOTE Confidence: 0.830411314964294

 $00:28:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.380$ generously by the Great Foundation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

 $00:28:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.016$ Thank you Megan. That was terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

00:28:10.020 --> 00:28:14.470 Next, I'd like to introduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

 $00:28:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.990$ Jinyoung he's an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

00:28:16.990 --> 00:28:19.510 of pathology and director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

00:28:19.590 --> 00:28:21.760 our Epigenetics program here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

00:28:21.760 --> 00:28:24.663 Yale and will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.789911925792694

 $00:28:24.663 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.267$ epigenetic mechanisms of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:28:28.420 --> 00:28:32.552 Thank you, Barbara. On So, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}28{:}32.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.768$ my expertise in the menu on cancer genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:28:35.770 --> 00:28:38.094 and as you all know I project

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:38.094 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.218$ magnet is critical for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}28{:}40.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.796$ initiation and progression.

 $00:28:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.212$ Especially my laptop is interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}28{:}44.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.820$ understanding how epigenetic regulators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.284$ also called reader writer and erasers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:48.284 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.640$ being an maceration histone modification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:28:50.640 --> 00:28:52.248 How regulate different steps

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:52.248 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.454$ of cancer progression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.470$ My number to your interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:28:55.470 --> 00:28:57.480 in a couple different areas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.084$ One is resistant mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:28:59.084 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.688$ to anti cancer drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.180$ which is the main topic today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.370$ Cancer metastasis and tumor in valuation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.953$ which is one of the areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:07.953 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.378$ I could show but will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:10.378 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.838$ more about later on and next.

 $00:29:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.976$ My #2 is also very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}14.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.364$ developing different epigenetic drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.222$ and and we have done some work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:29:19.222 --> 00:29:21.027 your Center for molecular discovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:29:21.030 --> 00:29:22.997 which is our in house training center

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}22.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.429$ and I have done some work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}25.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.953$ the NCI Experimental the rapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:29:26.953 --> 00:29:29.350 program and right now I'm also

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}29.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.315$ collaborating some about tech and

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:31.315 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.745$ pharmaceutical company in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}32.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.933$ area as well and and in the next 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:29:35.933 --> 00:29:38.348 slides I'm going to tell you some

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:38.348 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.942$ of the examples that we have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:40.942 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.930$ to look at the resistant mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187 00:29:43.930 --> 00:29:45.470 Next please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.180$ One which is targeted therapy,

 $00:29:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.546$ and in this case the transaction number

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}49.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.630$ one called Herceptin for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}51.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.682$ and we can generate those resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:53.682 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.050$ cells in tissue culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.262$ And we found that those resistant cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}29{:}57.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.827$ actually are do not have genetic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:29:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.362$ They actually resistant mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}30{:}01.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.660$ is actually reversible if you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:03.717 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.684$ the drug away from the cells for

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:05.684 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.657$ short period time and they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:07.657 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.725$ still maintain resistant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.026$ But if you take it away for

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:30:11.026 --> 00:30:12.490 a long period time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.536$ for example about months and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:14.536 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.979$ cells becomes those so called watch out.

 $00:30:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.800$ And those cells become sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}30{:}20.800 --> 00{:}30{:}23.856$ to just over again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.980$ To local internal mechanism next piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.458$ We profile the expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:29.458 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.642$ expression profile of the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:31.642 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.390$ cells compared to the sensitive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.253$ We can see that those resistant cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:37.253 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.007$ have increased oxidative phosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:39.007 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.234$ or called off force and remarkable need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.415$ Those cells are very sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:44.415 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.155$ to ox force inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}30{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.016$ As you can see the tumor regression if

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:49.016 \dashrightarrow 00:30:51.353$ you combine traditional Antonio Massenet

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:51.353 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.449$ which is 1 nautical force inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.108$ You can see regression of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:30:57.110 \dashrightarrow 00:30:59.744$ Resistant tumors Next place as I

 $00:30:59.744 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.752$ mentioned that this app is genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}31{:}02.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.667$ mechanism that contributes to resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}31{:}05.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.990$ so we are one of the mechanism we

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.498$ found is that Arcadian 5 histone

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:10.498 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.813$ demethylase are critical for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:31:12.813 --> 00:31:14.873 formation of those resistant cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:14.873 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.153$ we can combine with the target

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:31:17.160 --> 00:31:19.224 therapy and Kaden 5 inhibitor which

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:19.224 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.989$ this is one of the early generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:21.989 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.629$ inhibitor and four to prevent the

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:24.629 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.891$ formation of the recent sales for

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:31:26.891 --> 00:31:29.042 both breast cancer which is beating

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:29.042 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.610$ for some report cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:31:30.610 --> 00:31:34.586 And non cancer cells on PC 9 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.111$ And next race.

00:31:36.111 --> 00:31:39.153 So we are also very interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:31:39.153 --> 00:31:41.670 in understanding how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.970$ Resistant happens to our email

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.270$ checkpoint blockade and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

00:31:46.348 --> 00:31:48.616 our version of the cancer immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:48.616 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.100$ cycle and and as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00:31:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.680$ there's actually 2 steps are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.693806850910187

 $00{:}31{:}53.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.400$ critical for email checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}31{:}55.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.854$ to work is the trafficking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}31{:}57.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.286$ in filtration of the immune cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}32{:}00.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.009$ the tumor and apparently some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:03.009 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.040$ epigenetic modulators have been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.500$ to be critical for those processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}32{:}07.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.251$ and then I will just show example

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:10.251 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.440$ in our laboratory next please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.990$ Where we found the Canadian

 $00:32:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.540$ Fire B or history history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.959$ You must nice file B is critical off for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

00:32:21.960 --> 00:32:23.598 Infiltration and trafficking

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:23.598 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.420$ of the T cells to the tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

00:32:27.420 --> 00:32:29.400 And if not colocating 5B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.158$ I I in those Yamaha 1.7 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.740$ which is more smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}32{:}33.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.320$ generated by Markus Persson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}32{:}35.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.664$ Book idea we can see that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

00:32:38.664 --> 00:32:40.894 knockout account info be those

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:40.894 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.510$ cells are unable to form tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.540$ And if we re challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}32{:}45.540 \to 00{:}32{:}48.368$ those are two mice with control sales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.400$ which normally grow very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.046$ You can see they cannot grow and

 $00:32:53.046 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.781$ meaning that those might have gained

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:55.781 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.326$ immunity against those younger cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:32:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.426$ If you look at the the pony IMO Genic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

00:33:01.426 --> 00:33:04.650 young one point cells down in the policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.184$ you can see those cells are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:07.184 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.858$ responsive to PD one blockade at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.092$ And if we do need killing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:12.092 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.580$ file before those cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.180$ you can see the slowdown of the growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

00:33:16.180 --> 00:33:18.857 of cells and if you combine with PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}33{:}18.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.719$ one blockade you can significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:20.719 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.629$ extend the lifespan of those miles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.522$ To my very mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}33{:}25.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.946$ So this suggests that can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

00:33:27.946 --> 00:33:30.312 invite me is that very good target

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:30.312 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.909$ to overcome resistance to email,

 $00:33:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.535$ check one blockade and I would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00{:}33{:}35.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.835$ want to mention that this is done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:38.835 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.380$ collaboration with multiple laps and yell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.184$ including archical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:42.184 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.596$ even sucking and much boesenberg snap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.227$ So team science is one of the same idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.870$ We workout together or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81779956817627

 $00:33:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.590$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

00:33:53.980 --> 00:33:57.164 Thank you that is such a terrific story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

 $00:33:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.970$ Now I'm pleased to introduce Curt Shopper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

 $00:33:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.562$ He's an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

00:34:01.562 --> 00:34:03.154 of pathology and medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

 $00{:}34{:}03.160 --> 00{:}34{:}05.040$ An recent rooms at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

 $00:34:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.550$ end of an NCI Merit Award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

00:34:07.550 --> 00:34:09.660 He conducts really cutting edge

00:34:09.660 --> 00:34:11.348 immuno profiling studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.769105613231659

 $00:34:11.348 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.530$ look forward to your talk Kurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648846089839935

 $00:34:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.370$ Thank you, Barbara. Next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.584$ So I I trained clinical molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:20.584 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.832$ diagnostics that I've been working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:34:22.832 --> 00:34:25.317 cancer immunology for about 10 years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}34{:}25.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.170$ and it's unquestionable that immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.650$ oncology has really revolutionized

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.080$ the treatment of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.910$ But there are major challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.008$ still to overcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.450$ so I'll cover a few of the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.834$ that I think are critical to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:37.834 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.954$ potentially move the few forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.112$ one of which is that I think there

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:42.112 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.595$ have been conceptual limitations

 $00:34:43.595 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.235$ of in both in drug development

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:46.235 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.039$ and identification of biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:34:48.040 --> 00:34:49.340 Relative to drug development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:34:49.340 --> 00:34:52.192 I think the focus of many people developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:34:52.192 --> 00:34:54.718 targets has been on immuno stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.015$ but that doesn't necessarily consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:57.015 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.310$ correcting alterations in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:34:59.373 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.837$ and this is critical because if we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}01.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.113$ only stimulating T cells we are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}04.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.221$ there is not a clear gradient towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}06.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.447$ activating it more in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.406$ It's likely that the therapeutic index

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}10.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.622$ is smaller and the potential benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}12.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14.747$ and toxicity balances is affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.693$ So I think the concept is that we shouldn't

 $00:35:17.693 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.685$ focus only on stimulating T cells everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}20.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.560$ We should probably look for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.540$ Signals that have a gradient favoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.073$ the tumor in relative to the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:27.073 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.609$ of biomarkers for resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}28.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.306$ I think there have been a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:31.306 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.670$ of confusion in the field because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:35:33.670 --> 00:35:36.539 mean a therapy has been used so widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}35{:}36.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.891$ that people are calling every patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:38.891 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.070$ that don't respond as a resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.182$ And conceptually I think that's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:43.182 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.354$ not accurate because patients without PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:35:45.354 --> 00:35:47.114 L1 expression tumor mutational burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:35:47.120 --> 00:35:49.968 any biology should not respond to start with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.850$ so I think there is a confusion between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.675$ Any patient that Blacks benefit

 $00:35:54.675 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.770$ versus true resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.115$ which in my opinion are the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:35:58.115 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.519$ that should have responded but didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.019$ I think this is critical to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:03.019 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.530$ programs and biomarker plans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.280$ The second important concept that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.030$ it's connected with the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}36{:}08.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.282$ one is that it's probably necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:36:10.282 --> 00:36:11.746 to identify dominant immunization

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:11.802 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.657$ pathways that are well represented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.628$ The tumor and this is for the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:36:16.628 --> 00:36:18.998 reason because we need to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}36{:}18.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.928$ this gradient and strong biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:20.928 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.217$ in the tumor to be able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.608$ Achieve a meaningful anti cancer response

00:36:25.608 --> 00:36:28.324 and then another major need in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:36:28.324 --> 00:36:30.448 field is trying to identify potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:30.448 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.609$ targets that are beyond the T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:36:32.610 --> 00:36:34.584 So to have complementary effort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:34.584 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.580$ not have only redundant mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.400$ another important observation is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.782$ we as I follow you know when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

00:36:41.782 --> 00:36:44.165 the tumors we realize how difficult and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:44.165 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.042$ how complex is the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.213$ Where where is most interactions between

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}36{:}49.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.379$ tumor and immune cells are happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.230$ And I think the suffering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.687$ The tumor micro environment and how different

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}36{:}55.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.939$ is across tumors and across patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:36:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.756$ It's a major need to really drive better

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.879$ biomarkers and better immunotherapy.

 $00:37:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.260$ Then also I think we need to do a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:05.323 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.673$ work at understanding the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00{:}37{:}07.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.553$ between major dominant oncogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:09.553 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.759$ signals and immune evasion pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.926$ This has been somehow being revealed

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:13.926 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.180$ in EGFR mutant tumors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.045$ less sensitive and less inflamed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.640$ but they I think there's a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.825054228305817

 $00:37:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.750$ world to discover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.600$ What alterations in the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.820$ somatic alterations are able to manipulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.400$ It means an immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.459$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:29.459 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.930$ I think there are limitations of traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:37:31.987 --> 00:37:33.975 studies as we just solve from Jane.

 $00:37:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.269$ Many alterations are not the genomic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}37{:}36.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.654$ Which is the favorite way we used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:38.654 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.187$ analyze the tumor site of the interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:37:41.190 --> 00:37:43.814 So I think by just doing genomic analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.824$ we're missing a lot of alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:45.824 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.750$ that the immune system and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}37{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.123$ I think it's it's something we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:50.123 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.468$ overcome and finally think that most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:37:52.468 --> 00:37:55.049 the studies are focusing on both ends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:55.049 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.254$ the very early discovery type of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}37{:}57.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.288$ with crisper screens and other strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:37:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.327$ And then there is a huge effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:01.327 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.010$ on the clinical development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}38{:}03.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.295$ but I think there is room to improve some

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:06.295 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.350$ studies in more sort of human real context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.780$ Next slide, please.

 $00:38:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.084$ So this is an example of the approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:14.084 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.920$ that we have taken in my group where

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.854$ we generate hypothesis using discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:18.854 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.682$ in biology and then we actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:21.682 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.002$ generated assays to screen for pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:24.002 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.270$ cell types in tumor cell indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:26.336 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.488$ in the same issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}38{:}27.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.779$ So we can actually do both genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:29.779 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.229$ analysis to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:38:31.229 --> 00:38:32.837 genomic context during drivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.216$ but then we can also look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:35.216 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.771$ immune contexture and pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}38{:}36.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.555$ that are potentially actionable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.365$ We have become pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:40.365 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.809$ at looking at multiple.

 $00:38:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.210$ High throughput methods to

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}38{:}43.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.310$ detect protein level and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:45.369 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.029$ can do single cell analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:38:47.030 --> 00:38:48.630 spatial analysis and really try

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.230$ to understand the tumor micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:38:50.283 --> 00:38:51.775 environment to prioritize what

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:38:51.775 --> 00:38:53.640 signals are dominant or relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.602$ we usually use aggressive analysis using

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}38{:}55.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.470$ outcomes and response to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:38:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.221$ So that way we can identify which

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}39{:}00.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.572$ signals are relevant from the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:02.572 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.756$ that are not next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.390$ This is important because ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.340$ those signals are the ones with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.321$ Then we can validate in vitro to

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:39:10.321 --> 00:39:12.381 demonstrate that these are not just

00:39:12.381 --> 00:39:13.539 epiphenomenon's or correlations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.375$ but they are mechanistically relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:15.375 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.757$ and then ultimately we can go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:17.757 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.444$ and look at this in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:39:19.444 --> 00:39:21.009 of human clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.960$ and I'll show you an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.600$ of that next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}39{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.379$ So just for to illustrate how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:28.379 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.568$ cycle works, this is a story that it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:39:31.570 --> 00:39:31.919 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:31.919 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.013$ have published this year where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}39{:}34.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.863$ identify Interleukin8 and local

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}39{:}35.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.723$ neutrophils in the tumor micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:37.723 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.425$ environment as dominant immunization

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:39.425 \dashrightarrow 00:39:41.517$ pathway and resistant mechanism.

 $00:39:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.872$ So the story started a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:43.872 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.791$ ago where we look at inside too

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:39:46.791 --> 00:39:49.076 aren't expression for Interleukin 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.278$ and we found that it was producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:51.278 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.800$ tumor cells and highly associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.315$ resistance to immune checkpoint blockers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.130$ So to advance this further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:39:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.560$ we look at the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:40:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.872$ Interleukin 8 and neutral fields as

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:40:02.872 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.368$ shown in the upper side of the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}40{:}05.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07.904$ and then we found a fraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:40:07.904 --> 00:40:10.184 tumors that had up regulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}40{:}10.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.269$ Interleukin 8 and an unfavorable

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:40:12.269 --> 00:40:13.857 micro environment characterized by

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:40:13.857 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.507$ increased deals in fewer T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

00:40:15.510 --> 00:40:17.310 We also did genomic analysis to

 $00:40:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.992$ understand that this was independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00{:}40{:}18.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.684$ from tumor mutational burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:40:20.684 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.376$ and major genomic alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:40:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.414$ and then we finally were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.826502799987793

 $00:40:24.414 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.770$ to demonstrate that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:25.834 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.198$ production of Interlake in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.960$ Was actually associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}40{:}29.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.160$ interleukin 8IN serum in circulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.918$ so we that we conducted an studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}40{:}34.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37.774$ over 1200 cancer patients from three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:40:37.774 --> 00:40:40.960 phase three pivotal trials using immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.462$ checkpoint blockers and we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:43.462 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.240$ about 1/3 of a patients across tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.880$ have up regulation of interleukin Aiden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.440$ They have low sensitivity to

 $00:40:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.976$ immune checkpoint blockers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}40{:}52.980 --> 00{:}40{:}55.788$ Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.520$ Then to further demonstrate this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.956$ we need another study in which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:40:59.956 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.122$ cultured neutrophils and my Lord arise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:02.122 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.246$ suppressor cells to show the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:04.246 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.604$ behind and we were able to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:06.604 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.592$ that formation of Nets was involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:08.592 --> 00:41:09.976 in affective response suppression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:09.980 --> 00:41:11.660 and then ultimately we're working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:11.660 --> 00:41:13.340 with the clinical trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:13.396 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.604$ patients are being treated with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:15.604 --> 00:41:17.240 antibody and targeting Interleukin 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:17.240 --> 00:41:19.620 and to understand if this pathway can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:19.620 --> 00:41:21.738 actually be action in real patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.218$ and hopefully we can use the biology

 $00:41:24.218 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.259$ that we figure out to drive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.596$ The biomarker plant next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.688$ So finally we have gotten a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:32.688 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.665$ more sophisticated now and generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}41{:}34.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.318$ models or in in vitro tumor treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:37.320 --> 00:41:40.425 And this is just an example of what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

00:41:40.425 --> 00:41:43.497 doing where we can culture primary tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.828$ treat them in vitro but intact so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}41{:}45.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.412$ we can then generate preparations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:48.412 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.822$ analyze the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.765$ Change now perturbing these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:52.765 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.313$ with immunostimulatory or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}41{:}54.313 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}41{:}56.470$ anti cancer agents and we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:41:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.842$ incorporating new technologies such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00{:}41{:}57.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.768$ as single cell transcriptomics.

 $00:41:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.200$ Another analysis to do more unbiased studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223637342453

 $00:42:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.760$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:04.530 --> 00:42:07.938 Thank you Kurt. I mean I think probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:07.938 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.209$ everybody can see the incredible

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:10.209 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.885$ power of that of that approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.317$ Well, we said at the outset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:15.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.816$ Yale engage is focused on building bridges

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:17.816 --> 00:42:20.320 and and collaboration with industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:20.320 --> 00:42:22.798 and in each of these seminars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.452$ we've invited an industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}42{:}24.452 --> 00{:}42{:}26.517$ partner to speak to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:26.520 --> 00:42:28.998 and I'm really thrilled that today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}42{:}29.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.508$ it's Susan Galbreath she's a senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:31.508 --> 00:42:34.045 Vice president and head of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}42{:}34.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.020$ on cology R&D and Astra Zeneca.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.946$ She's been there about 10 years and.

00:42:38.950 --> 00:42:42.480 In the early development program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}42{:}42.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.408$ there brought 7 compounds into phase three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:47.410 --> 00:42:51.160 The story with. PARP inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00:42:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.620$ the third generation EGFR inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:53.620 --> 00:42:56.578 Awesome Merton if that our colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:56.580 --> 00:42:59.616 Roy Herbst, was involved in presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:42:59.616 --> 00:43:02.480 very impactful angemon trial this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}43{:}02.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04.448$ Megan met inhibitors selective

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}43{:}04.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.416$ estrogen receptor directed agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}43{:}06.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.880$ Really phenomenal portfolio and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:43:08.880 --> 00:43:11.340 phenomenal track record of success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

00:43:11.340 --> 00:43:12.322 So Suzan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574156761169

 $00{:}43{:}12.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.759$ we look forward to hearing your thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:43:17.340 --> 00:43:18.616 Thank you, Barbara Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:18.616 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.102$ It's a it's a pleasure to be here

 $00:43:21.102 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.950$ with you and just a bit introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:43:22.950 --> 00:43:24.422 I'm a clinical psychologist by

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:43:24.422 --> 00:43:26.186 training MD PhD and I've been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.276$ as Barbara said, Astra Zeneca for 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:28.276 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.529$ years and before that I was in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:43:30.529 --> 00:43:32.198 US with Bristol Myers Squibb also

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:32.198 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.088$ in the early Development Group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:34.088 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.148$ and stayed there for about 9 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:36.148 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.290$ Just go on to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.820$ I want to talk a little bit to build on

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00{:}43{:}40.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.294$ some of the thoughts we've got about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:43:43.300 --> 00:43:44.464 you know, understanding resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:44.464 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.556$ and one of the challenges that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.056$ got about understanding resistance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:48.056 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.840$ really having access to the samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00{:}43{:}49.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.496$ That would enable us to understand

 $00:43:51.496 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.324$ the clinical resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00{:}43{:}52.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.426$ So Katie Elite is already talked to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:54.426 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.494$ about some of the models that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:56.494 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.430$ use pre clinically to model resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:43:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.092$ One of the challenges we've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:00.092 --> 00:44:01.200 with those techniques though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:01.200 --> 00:44:02.904 is that it doesn't always predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:02.904 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.571$ what the true prevalence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:04.571 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.771$ resistance mechanisms is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:05.771 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.570$ be in in the clinical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.469$ So if you start off with a PC 9

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:09.469 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.765$ so when you look at the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:11.765 --> 00:44:13.109 of resistance to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00{:}44{:}13.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.342$ you don't necessarily understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:14.342 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.513$ what the true prevalence of all the

 $00:44:16.513 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.157$ things are when patients are starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:18.157 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.848$ with their with their own set of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:19.850 --> 00:44:22.200 Wiring diagrams in their EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.610$ mutant lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:23.610 --> 00:44:25.724 The other challenge that you've got is

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:25.724 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.856$ tried for number of years to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:27.856 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.002$ get biopsies from patients on at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:30.002 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.030$ time of progression in clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.452$ or must we concluded that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:34.452 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.257$ typically has to be as an optional biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.790$ At that time of progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.010$ we've actually heard across

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.535$ the range of clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.764$ Relatively few of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:42.764 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.376$ actually materialized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.543$ and so that means that our mechanisms

00:44:45.543 --> 00:44:46.813 of understanding resistance during

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:46.813 --> 00:44:48.278 the development of certain IP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:48.280 --> 00:44:50.110 you know, have been somewhat limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.976$ We started right the beginning by

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:44:51.976 --> 00:44:53.480 looking at circulating tumor DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.316$ it right from the phase one

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:55.316 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.540$ trials with awesome antonym,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00{:}44{:}56.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58.484$ and we have some understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:44:58.484 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.109$ actually published some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:00.109 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.729$ data from the first line study

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:01.729 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.534$ with a semantic that flora trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:45:03.534 --> 00:45:05.406 looking at those CT DNA mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00{:}45{:}05.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.348$ but really actually one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:45:07.348 --> 00:45:09.390 things that comes out of that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.502$ we could only explain.

 $00:45:10.502 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.068$ I am just over 1/3 of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:13.068 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.736$ resistance mechanisms through looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:14.736 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.360$ at city DNA and the patterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.714$ we saw there was we saw their city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:45:19.714 --> 00:45:21.084 The emergence of the Sistine

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:45:21.084 --> 00:45:22.689 797 S mutation met amplification

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:22.689 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.509$ PSP KEARNEYS pathway mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.388$ An activation fee 10 losses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

00:45:26.388 --> 00:45:28.430 in some cases and MEK pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.590$ activation as well in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.690$ But the really the majority of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.143$ we still had a question mark over

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:35.143 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.003$ what the resistance mechanisms worth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:37.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.271$ So that led us to design that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:39.271 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.780$ this kind of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.195$ It's called the Orchard and platform study.

 $00:45:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.580$ This takes patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.615$ we're progressing on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.601$ First line automotive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:46.601 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.563$ and it offers them something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:48.563 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.049$ is potentially of potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:50.049 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.509$ of benefit to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544

 $00:45:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.470$ which is to take a biopsy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825893580913544 00:45:53.470 --> 00:45:54.310 look at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}45{:}54.382 \to 00{:}45{:}57.385$ the data says on next generation sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}45{:}57.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.406$ From that biopsy and then to allocate

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:45:59.406 --> 00:46:01.934 them to a range of different potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}46{:}01.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.328$ arms and this biomarker matched arms

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}46{:}04.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.088$ which you can see above depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:07.088 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.500$ mechanism that that is seen with resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.268$ And then there's also non biomarker match on.

 $00:46:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.790$ And this has been an important component

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}46{:}14.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.099$ of many platform trial designs because

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:46:17.099 --> 00:46:19.415 it means that every patient whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:46:19.415 --> 00:46:21.533 given a consent to have a biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:21.533 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.008$ gets the offer of something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:46:23.008 --> 00:46:25.514 I can't guarantee that than what they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:25.514 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.838$ getting offered is necessarily going to work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.570$ but it gives them that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.170$ and that has driven really

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.583$ quite a good uptake in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:33.583 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.448$ enrollment and accrual in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:46:35.450 --> 00:46:37.886 And actually, what one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:37.886 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.950$ that we've already learned now is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:46:39.950 --> 00:46:41.680 we've now got, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.440$ data and over 60 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792 00:46:43.440 --> 00:46:44.106 You know,

 $00:46:44.106 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.770$ with with tissue available at the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}46{:}46.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.647$ progression in in the in the Orchard study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}46{:}49.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.242$ and now that we can we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:46:52.242 --> 00:46:53.790 identifiable resistance mechanism now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.205$ in the in nearly 2/3 of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.280$ as opposed to just a third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:46:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.210$ We've increased the detection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}47{:}00.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.140$ some of the amplification mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}47{:}02.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.053$ which can be under estimated using

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}47{:}04.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.994$ CT DNA would increase the detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:05.994 --> 00:47:07.938 of some of the Fusion mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.818$ which can also be difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}47{:}09.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.079$ detect using the CT DNA techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.216$ And we've got a better sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:14.220 --> 00:47:15.099 With the prevalence,

00:47:15.099 --> 00:47:17.470 there's still some work to be done here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}47{:}17.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.351$ and I still think we need to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:19.351 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.148$ the epigenetic mechanisms that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:21.148 --> 00:47:23.063 driving resistance in this setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.009$ but I just wanted to illustrate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:25.009 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.763$ as a san example of one way that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:27.763 --> 00:47:30.446 need to look at in terms of understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.020$ documenting resistance and moving on

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.869$ from it so we can go to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.370$ The similar approach has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.570$ taken in the understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:37.570 --> 00:47:39.242 Resistance to checkpoint inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:39.242 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.270$ and I completely agree with shoppers comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:42.270 --> 00:47:44.205 but not everybody who progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:44.205 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.140$ is on a checkpoint inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}47{:}46.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.249$ is necessarily truly resistant,

 $00:47:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.861$ but I think we need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:50.861 --> 00:47:53.690 some of those mechanisms, and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:53.690 --> 00:47:56.690 this is a mechanism where you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:47:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.350$ the biopsies from these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:59.350 --> 00:47:59.761 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:47:59.761 --> 00:48:01.405 some peripheral blood sampling

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:01.405 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.416$ and look at ways in which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:04.416 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.176$ can potentially offer them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.244$ Treatments that may have the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:08.244 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.829$ to to make it make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792 00:48:10.830 --> 00:48:11.406 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:48:11.406 --> 00:48:13.710 I just want to share with you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}48{:}13.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.096$ couple of observations from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:48:16.100 --> 00:48:18.938 We're going to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792 00:48:18.940 --> 00:48:20.320 So first of all,

 $00:48:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.390$ there are some mechanisms that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}48{:}22.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.747$ might anticipate seeing based on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792 00:48:24.750 --> 00:48:25.522 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:48:25.522 --> 00:48:27.838 really good data that's already emerged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.514$ and this is about the loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:48:30.514 --> 00:48:33.281 her Psycho City for HLA or MHC

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}48{:}33.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.573$ and we are seeing as expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.515$ But after treatment or one

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:37.515 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.676$ of these checkpoints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.841$ inhibitors and increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}48{:}39.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.550$ prevalence of loss of HLA or MHC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.790$ In the inability of the of the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00:48:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.268$ to be seen by an an an an effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

 $00{:}48{:}49.268 \to 00{:}48{:}52.252$ by at the adaptive immune mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.818565964698792

00:48:52.252 --> 00:48:56.410 of if the antigen can't be presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:48:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.769$ effectively, it's like.

 $00:48:57.769 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.034$ Other things that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.122$ We've seen a range of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:02.122 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.510$ mechanisms that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.946$ We have looked at in this setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.535$ Wilson mentioned the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:07.535 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.120$ obviously we're looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:09.172 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.666$ ATR combination with a lap robe

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:10.666 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.290$ in terms of part resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.201$ but in fact actually one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}49{:}14.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.062$ observations that we made earlier phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:16.062 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.024$ one with our selected slot assertive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:18.030 --> 00:49:19.540 which is, uh, ATI inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}49{:}19.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.563$ is that we were seeing some unusual

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:21.563 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.422$ responses in patients that had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:23.422 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.670$ prior checkpoints in innovation.

 $00:49:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.754$ In some other trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:25.754 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.834$ and so that led to some further

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:27.834 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.150$ investigation and so so there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.314$ certain underbelly map is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:32.314 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.262$ arms in the in the Hudson study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:34.262 --> 00:49:36.124 some of the data that we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:36.124 --> 00:49:38.320 is quite interesting in seeing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:38.320 --> 00:49:40.735 Getting a decrease in exhausted T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.882$ exhausted NK cells and an increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:42.882 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.567$ antigen presentation in patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}49{:}44.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.703$ have both got primary resistance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:46.703 --> 00:49:48.195 checkpoint inhibition and subsequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:48.195 --> 00:49:50.361 had some degree of response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:50.361 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.688$ subsequently progressed as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:51.688 --> 00:49:54.552 And we're also seeing it not just in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:49:54.552 --> 00:49:56.946 ATM mutant patients that are selected,

 $00:49:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.024$ but also more more broadly, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:49:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.088$ This is just an interesting observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}50{:}01.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.519$ There's a lot more mechanistic data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:03.519 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.915$ is required and that will be followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:05.915 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.350$ up in order to understand this better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.240$ But I do think that these kinds of trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.318$ are really helpful in trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}50{:}12.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.100$ the clinical prevalence of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:50:14.100 --> 00:50:15.470 Mechanisms get a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.566$ data that can feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.431$ and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}50{:}17.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.866$ back with the preclinical work

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:18.866 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.062$ that we can do to them to then

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00{:}50{:}21.062 \to 00{:}50{:}22.599$ understand what we might do next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

 $00:50:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.287$ So I'm going to stop there and

 $00:50:24.287 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.975$ I'm very happy to address any

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627

00:50:25.975 --> 00:50:27.793 questions that you might might have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850832909345627 00:50:27.800 --> 00:50:29.390 Thank you. NOTE Confidence: 0.85083290934562700:50:29.390 --> 00:50:30.460 That was

 $00:50:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.140$ fabulous. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:50:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.290$ I am now going to ask that all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:50:37.402 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.637$ panelists turned on their audio and video

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:50:41.637 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.159$ and will now go into the full discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

00:50:47.160 --> 00:50:51.696 And I'm going to ask the attendees to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:50:51.696 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.274$ please continue to post questions we we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:50:56.274 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.840$ monitoring these and the first one, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.740$ Basically immediately follows that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.955$ last slide that we saw and so maybe I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:07.955 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.570$ ask Susan and Kurt both to address this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.867$ How critical is it to overcome the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:14.867 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.399$ mechanical functional barriers to immune

 $00:51:17.399 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.009$ checkpoint inhibitors and the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00{:}51{:}20.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.300$ relates specifically to HLA loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.186$ although I can think of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:25.186 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.677$ mechanisms related to hypoxemia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:27.677 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.107$ and vascular alterations as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.080$ but can you please comment on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

00:51:33.080 --> 00:51:35.072 Potential pathways and targets to overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00{:}51{:}35.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.749$ mechanical and functional barriers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00{:}51{:}36.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38.259$ immune checkpoint inhibitors and Susan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

 $00:51:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.852$ Do you want to go first and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.864805579185486

00:51:40.852 --> 00:51:42.478 kick it to to Kurt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:51:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.292$ Yeah well, the the the Council

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:51:45.292 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.459$ you think of when I think of 1st when

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:51:48.459 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.431$ you're talking about mechanical barriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:51:50.431 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.107$ potentially is is of pancreatic cancer.

00:51:53.110 --> 00:51:55.806 Cause at the high level of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:51:55.806 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.203$ Disney plastic streamer that you see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:51:58.203 --> 00:52:00.778 you see there that has been discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:52:00.778 --> 00:52:03.753 as not just having actually a physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:03.753 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.110$ potential barrier to treatment but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.609$ the presence of the constituents of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:52:08.610 --> 00:52:09.346 Desmond plastics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:52:09.346 --> 00:52:11.922 German may also have a you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.418$ biochemical effects that reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:52:13.418 --> 00:52:15.278 the likelihood of sensitivity to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.458$ Of the tumor cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:52:17.458 --> 00:52:18.910 adjacent about two treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}52{:}18.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21.457$ and I think there are a lot of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:21.457 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.167$ suggesting that understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:52:23.167 --> 00:52:25.437 components of the micro environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}52{:}25.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27.618$ the distribution and types of you

 $00:52:27.618 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.070$ know cancer associated fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.798$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:29.798 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.618$ and not in that disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.906$ and their feelings that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:33.906 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.430$ absolutely critical to understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:35.492 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.757$ mechanisms of resistance and sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.158$ I think in the context of loss of HLA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.552$ It it's you know that you know lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}52{:}43.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.333$ of HLA may increase the sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}52{:}46.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.968$ potentially to other mechanisms like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}52{:}48.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.366$ inducing the innate immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:51.366 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.060$ rather than the adaptive immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.210$ to NK cell enhancement potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.722$ Then you know so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:52:57.722 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.990$ So there are things that then

 $00:53:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.660$ creates a formability I suppose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}53{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.724$ I think the issue from my perspective is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:53:05.724 --> 00:53:09.109 it you know you wouldn't be expecting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:53:09.110 --> 00:53:10.975 No high likelihood of subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:53:10.975 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.840$ response to something that requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:53:12.898 --> 00:53:14.269 HLA antigen presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:53:14.270 --> 00:53:17.042 If you've got lots of HP laser

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

00:53:17.042 --> 00:53:17.834 fundamental mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:53:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.563$ so we should be segmenting patients by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:53:20.563 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.661$ an understanding of these mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00{:}53{:}22.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.235$ in order to identify the populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:53:25.235 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.049$ that might best be subsequently treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:53:28.049 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.399$ with different kinds of the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83586585521698

 $00:53:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.930$ Cut any thoughts from you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.969$ Yes, I agree with all the comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.140$ I think there is more biology emerging

 $00:53:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.467$ suggesting that the mechanical

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:38.467 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.525$ barriers may not be so mechanical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.155$ You know some of these fibroblast basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:43.155 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.607$ read inhibitory molecule so it may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

00:53:45.607 --> 00:53:47.503 also an active immunity victory component

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:47.568 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.680$ to that and that I think is driving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.210$ I think they were going to see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00{:}53{:}52.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.811$ new studies showing active mechanism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:53:54.811 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.734$ rejection of immune cells in the tumor bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00{:}53{:}57.734 \to 00{:}53{:}59.844$ and relative to the empty in presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

00:53:59.844 --> 00:54:02.322 We have actually a study under review

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:02.322 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.159$ that should see the light soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00{:}54{:}04.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.060$ When we look at large

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.580$ cohorts of tumor mapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.022$ different parts of the antigen presentation

00:54:10.022 --> 00:54:13.104 pathway in a Long story short where we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:13.104 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.318$ learned is that when we look at the genomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00.54:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.676$ we don't see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:17.676 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.213$ So the majority of alterations are non

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:20.213 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.398$ genomic meaning non mutation related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.680$ In the second interesting lesson is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

00:54:24.680 --> 00:54:27.015 that depending on what molecule is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

00:54:27.015 --> 00:54:29.612 lost in the tumor cell meaning HAHABCV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.140$ A2M or other proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

00:54:31.140 --> 00:54:32.660 the immune contexture changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00{:}54{:}32.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.964$ So so I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:33.964 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.920$ Understanding that part will be critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:35.986 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.478$ to understand how to treat those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.334$ we do see upregulation of natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:40.334 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.380$ killer service in in certain loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.030$ Eventually molecules,

 $00:54:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.330$ but not in everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.021$ and each of them has sort of a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

00:54:47.021 --> 00:54:48.880 different balance between T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.500$ NK cells, and other cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00{:}54{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.714$ So I think it will be critical to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:52.714 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.665$ those studies to understand how granular

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:54.665 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.580$ disease and if we can lump the antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.595$ presentation defect into one category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:54:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.200$ Or maybe it will be more than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820202529430389

 $00:55:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.475$ I think that's to be figured out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809990227222443

00.55.05.380 --> 00.55.07.900 So just continuing on with this theme

NOTE Confidence: 0.809990227222443

 $00:55:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.762$ in in a question for Chin can HLA loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.809990227222443

 $00:55:11.762 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.680$ be overcome by epigenetic modification?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809990227222443

00:55:14.680 --> 00:55:18.224 Or what is epigenetic role in HLA loss?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.551$ So this is not an area I have been

00:55:22.551 --> 00:55:25.230 working on very well having it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00{:}55{:}25.230 \to 00{:}55{:}27.636$ but I could just mention another

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:27.636 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.151$ with those changes are non genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

00:55:30.151 --> 00:55:32.231 changes so we have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:32.231 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.430$ tools to execute those jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.114$ Reactivate those jeans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00{:}55{:}36.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.219$ to make them successful too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.460$ Make make them to be sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.080$ to our treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00:55:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.735$ So email checkpoint blockade will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84406441450119

 $00{:}55{:}45.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.020$ work if you re reactivate those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:55:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.270$ Terrific terrific, I have a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:55:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.640$ that was submitted earlier, but I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:55:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.926$ Could probably be answered extensively or

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

00:56:01.926 --> 00:56:04.500 exhaustively by each one of the panelists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:56:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.702$ but maybe I'll ask Katie and

00:56:06.702 --> 00:56:08.989 Mark to start on this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:56:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.454$ How does the mutational landscape of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:56:11.454 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.479$ tumor affect resistance and sensitivity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

00:56:13.480 --> 00:56:15.916 And I'm interpreting that the questioner

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:56:15.916 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.919$ means the other mutations besides

NOTE Confidence: 0.834680736064911

 $00:56:17.919 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.840$ the one in your target molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.350$ Thank you sure I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.960$ I can get started with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.696$ I think this is really an area that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:29.696 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.587$ we are starting to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:32.587 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.834$ as we have learned more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:35.834 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.966$ mutational profiles of tumors and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}56{:}38.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.348$ different genetic subgroups of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:41.348 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.470$ So now one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:44.561 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.967$ we've been able to look at,

 $00:56:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.819$ for example, are in if we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}56{:}49.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.190$ about lung cancers in different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:56:52.190 --> 00:56:53.318 Oncogenic driver subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:53.318 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.058$ We can look at the pattern of Co occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:56:57.058 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.126$ genetic alterations that happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:56:59.130 --> 00:57:01.740 so I'm thinking about for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:57:01.740 --> 00:57:03.910 in K Rasputin lung cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.948$ these can Co occur with P53 mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.602$ They can Co occur for example

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:57:09.602 --> 00:57:11.720 with mutations in STK 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:57:11.720 --> 00:57:13.890 also known as Elchibey one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:57:13.890 --> 00:57:15.626 And we're really beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:15.626 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.230$ to learn about what it means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.939$ If the tumor has Akira's mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:20.939 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.900$ a P53 mutation versus ACARAS mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.456$ And then Elchibey one mutation for example.

00:57:27.460 --> 00:57:30.772 And what and that the LKB one meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}57{:}30.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.653$ tumors seem to have a different or

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:34.653 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.021$ reduced sensitivity to immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:37.021 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.432$ treatment, for example, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028 00:57:39.432 --> 00:57:40.640 In parallel, NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.376$ I think similarly with targeted therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.555$ we're really starting to scratch

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}57{:}45.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.241$ the surface and really beginning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}57{:}48.241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.893$ start to understand how different Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}57{:}50.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.794$ occurring alterations also impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:52.794 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.826$ response to targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.928$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}57{:}55.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58.490$ some of the work that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:57:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.315$ doing recently looking at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:01.315 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.511$ tumor suppressor gene alterations

00:58:03.511 --> 00:58:06.353 in EGFR mutant lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00.58:06.353 \longrightarrow 00.58:08.563$ how they affect sensitivity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.800$ tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.670$ One of the things that has emerged

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.990$ from our studies in animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.078$ an also is emerging from studies of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.810$ Patient specimens is that if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}58{:}20.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}23.175$ EGFR mutant tumors that also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}58{:}23.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25.407$ mutations in the keep one access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.122$ so the keep 1 NRF 2 access that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}58{:}28.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}30.187$ is important for the antioxidant

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:30.187 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.357$ response of a tumor cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.872$ If you have mutations that Co occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:34.872 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.821$ that path where you have a decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:37.821 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.076$ sensitivity to tyrosine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.035$ so the tumors will shrink

 $00:58:42.035 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.599$ less on treatment with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.884$ These targeted therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00.58:44.884 \longrightarrow 00.58:47.452$ and so that begs the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:58:47.460 --> 00:58:51.312 is that a subset of patients who you could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.176$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:52.176 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.888$ select initially for treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:53.888 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.172$ with different therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}58{:}55.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57.080$ or for combination the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}58{:}57.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.455$ together with a tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:58:59.455 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.218$ inhibitor so that you could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:59:01.220 --> 00:59:02.760 Improve outcomes in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.915$ with that disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.212$ I think of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:59:05.212 --> 00:59:06.827 this these types of landscapes

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:06.827 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.815$ also this studying these landscapes

 $00:59:08.815 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.221$ really requires a lot of mechanistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:11.281 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.953$ investigation to understand exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:12.953 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.461$ what is happening in those tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028 00:59:15.470 --> 00:59:15.825 Finally, NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:59:15.825 --> 00:59:18.665 I think one of the other things to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:59:18.665 --> 00:59:21.322 think about in terms of the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:21.322 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.121$ landscape also has to do with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:59:24.121 --> 00:59:26.191 overall mutation burden and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:26.191 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.031$ overall tumor mutation burden, which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}59{:}28.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.791$ You know we talk a lot about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}59{:}30.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.777$ in the context of immuno the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:32.777 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.550$ and where you know we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}59{:}34.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36.776$ We've heard about a lot about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:36.776 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.230$ in in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.365$ I'd say also there's some evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:40.365 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.589$ in the context of targeted therapies,

00:59:42.590 --> 00:59:44.660 the overall genetic landscape or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00{:}59{:}44.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}46.737$ tumor mutation burden can have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:46.737 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.949$ effect on the response to targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

00:59:48.950 --> 00:59:50.864 So again in EGFR mutant lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.884686589241028

 $00:59:50.864 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.140$ cancer tumors that seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $00:59:52.205 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.354$ that have that are in the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

00:59:54.354 --> 00:59:56.319 tertile of tumor mutation burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $00:59:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.668$ which is generally lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $00{:}59{:}57.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}59.353$ than most other lung cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $00{:}59{:}59.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}01.034$ But in that highest circle seemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:01.034 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.066$ to do worse on treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:03.066 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.766$ targeted therapies with tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01{:}00{:}04.766 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}07.195$ kinase inhibitors and the ones with

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:07.195 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.860$ the lower two mutation burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:08.860 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.972$ So there are lots of different

 $01:00:10.972 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.028$ aspects to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:12.030 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.338$ The specific mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:13.338 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.518$ So qualitatively but also quantitatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842104613780975

 $01:00:15.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.090 \text{ Yep},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:16.090 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.390$ I was just at the office or at a kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:22.390 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.178$ Broad conceptual thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:24.178 --> 01:00:25.966 that which is ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:25.970 --> 01:00:28.658 I think, with all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:28.660 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.900$ with all of the therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:30.900 --> 01:00:33.306 We're talking about, one is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}00{:}33.306 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}36.279$ trying to correct the signaling network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:36.280 --> 01:00:38.068 However you define network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:38.068 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.303$ whether its intracellular intra tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:40.310 --> 01:00:41.645 Inter intra Organism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:41.645 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.760$ Once regular network and in a sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:44.842 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.628$ if you think about the fact that

01:00:47.628 --> 01:00:50.357 cancers are really caused by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}00{:}50.357 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.293$ networks losing robustness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:52.293 --> 01:00:55.598 kind of careering out of control to

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:00:55.598 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.446$ uncontrolled proliferation so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}00{:}57.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59.078$ It's almost surprising actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:00:59.078 --> 01:01:01.113 The targeted therapy can work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:01.120 --> 01:01:02.221 and indeed, actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}01{:}02.221 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}04.423$ if you create models where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:04.423 --> 01:01:06.019 just mutated something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}01{:}06.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}08.055$ we're hitting with a targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}01{:}08.055 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09.683$ the rapeutic and nothing else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:09.690 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.914$ You don't actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}01{:}10.914 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}13.770$ But that's not enough to cause cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:13.770 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.810$ so the context is key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:15.810 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.217$ and the targeted,

 $01:01:17.217 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.830$ the target that we're trying to correct is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:20.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.714$ It's really just kind of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:22.714 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.085$ Achilles heel in the sense for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:25.085 --> 01:01:27.179 rather plastic tour in some sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:27.180 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.620$ so I think I think that the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:29.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.175$ the answer to the question is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:32.175 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.189$ need to think about these things as networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:35.190 --> 01:01:37.176 We need to get into considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:37.176 --> 01:01:38.870 the systems biology of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:38.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.868$ I think there are two ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:40.868 --> 01:01:42.540 of thinking about that one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:42.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.964$ and you'll be aware of this as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:44.964 --> 01:01:47.220 enormous effort put into machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:47.220 --> 01:01:48.544 AI types of approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:48.544 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.890$ whereas we collect more and more data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}01{:}50.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.440$ For the mutational landscape to

 $01:01:52.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.456$ try to understand their with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:54.456 --> 01:01:56.108 various their principle components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:01:56.110 --> 01:01:58.254 analysis and what have you, what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:01:58.254 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.274$ How we can correlate combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:00.274 --> 01:02:01.890 of mutations with sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:01.956 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.066$ and so on so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:03.070 --> 01:02:05.074 But there's another element I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:05.074 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.544$ we have to consider the a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:07.544 --> 01:02:09.329 of systems biologists are taking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:09.330 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.766$ which I think is is really key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:11.770 --> 01:02:13.822 And actually I think RAF inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:13.822 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.600$ resistance illustrates this very nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}02{:}15.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}18.345$ Is that we we can actually learn an awful

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:18.345 --> 01:02:20.818 lot about how the networks operate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525 01:02:20.820 --> 01:02:21.410 you know?

01:02:21.410 --> 01:02:23.180 A classic example is if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:23.180 --> 01:02:24.420 ever ask mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:24.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.692$ then the graph inhibited

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:25.692 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.964$ does the wrong thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62524271011352501:02:26.970 --> 01:02:27.534 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:27.534 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.790$ but the bottom line is I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:29.857 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.223$ we really we need to start thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:32.223 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.269$ beyond the targets to the networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:34.269 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.509$ and what the effect of the targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:36.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.424$ therapeutics is on the networks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01{:}02{:}38.424 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}40.928$ that that of course is going to hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:40.928 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.668$ in the immune context too because

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:42.734 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.389$ again what you actually correcting

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:44.389 --> 01:02:46.684 as as as curtain Susan pointed out

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:46.684 --> 01:02:48.269 what you're actually dealing with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525 01:02:48.270 --> 01:02:48.802 that is,

 $01{:}02{:}48.802 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}50.930$ is trying to restore balance in an incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:50.984 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.808$ complicated interstellar the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

 $01:02:52.810 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.022$ So I think there's a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.625242710113525

01:02:55.022 --> 01:02:56.530 perspectives I would like to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:02:57.060 --> 01:02:59.286 Could you could you just answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:02:59.286 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.132$ that by introducing people a

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:01.132 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.190$ little bit to what's going on at

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}03{:}03.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04.999$ the Systems Biology Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:05.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.810$ Not all of the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:06.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.059$ may may know about the scale of the effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:10.060 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.300$ Yeah indeed. So actually at yeah we

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:12.300 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.524$ have a system colleges too that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}03{:}14.524 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.918$ actually headed up by Andra Chanco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:16.920 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.720$ who's the Director of Vietnam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:18.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.087$ Very is also a Pi on one of the

 $01{:}03{:}21.087 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}23.769$ NCI cancer Systems biology centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:23.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.936$ There's a NCI has a physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:03:25.936 --> 01:03:27.770 Sciences long college center that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:03:27.770 --> 01:03:29.595 Actually, that initiative that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:29.595 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.742$ involved with one of those at Penn and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:03:32.742 --> 01:03:34.626 also the system cancer systems biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:34.630 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.998$ can sort centers consortia

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:35.998 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.366$ that 100 grand sent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:37.370 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.266$ and so the Systems Biology Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:39.266 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.489$ here is really very council focused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:41.490 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.536$ It has a lot of interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:03:43.536 --> 01:03:45.305 with the Cancer Biology Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:03:45.305 --> 01:03:47.657 on also on West Campus at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:47.660 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.718$ and Andre is an integral part

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:49.718 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.090$ of the Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:51.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.498$ Of course, the Council biologist is too,

 $01:03:53.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.380$ and so are most members of the Systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:56.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.718$ Biology Institute and the kinds of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:03:58.720 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.032$ Being looked at there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:00.032 --> 01:04:02.000 which is actually related to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:02.000 --> 01:04:04.352 so that Barbara it's a good point

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:04.352 --> 01:04:06.579 are for example Andre is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:06.579 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.107$ interested in looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:08.110 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.130$ But it sells from brain tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:12.130 --> 01:04:14.810 in particular and asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:14.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.813$ Adam and looking at at migration versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:17.813 --> 01:04:20.210 proliferation in those in those cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:20.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.200$ and the epigenetic difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}04{:}22.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}24.644$ between those in terms of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:24.644 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.599$ defining the signaling networks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:26.599 --> 01:04:29.749 cause the cells to behave very differently.

 $01:04:29.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.851$ And he can do that with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:32.851 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.050$ microfabricated devices where you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:35.050 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.432$ separate cells based on how rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:37.432 --> 01:04:40.639 they can migrate and then go in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:40.639 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.030$ look with various single cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:43.030 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.520$ other technologies to look at their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:45.520 --> 01:04:46.621 Transcriptome and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:46.621 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.456$ gentleman and epic transcriptome etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:48.460 --> 01:04:50.290 So that's really very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:50.290 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.420$ There's another element which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:04:52.420 --> 01:04:54.922 really at another #2 elements of

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:54.922 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.994$ that is one of city channel that

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:56.994 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.099$ many of you will come across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:04:59.100 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.151$ City is doing an awful lot of work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:02.151 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.340$ terms of it in the system bars Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:05.340 --> 01:05:07.545 Two in terms of trying to understand

 $01:05:07.545 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.109$ using using in vivo CRISPR technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:10.110 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.945$ Origins of resistance to terminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:11.945 --> 01:05:13.780 therapies and another therapeutic approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:13.780 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.426$ And so that's really very exciting again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:16.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.674$ Is is plugged into the network

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:18.674 --> 01:05:20.420 consideration of what's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:20.420 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.240$ and then one other aspect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}05{:}22.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}25.136$ which I which I think is really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:25.140 --> 01:05:27.813 Actually as a project in our in EU 54

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:27.813 --> 01:05:30.589 that Andre Valances that Gunter Wagner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:30.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.545$ who's an evolutionary biologist is

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:32.545 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.090$ is very interested in why certain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}05{:}35.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}36.920$ Mammals don't tend to get meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:36.920 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.850$ static cancer cows in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:38.850 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.752$ As an example that caused him

01:05:40.752 --> 01:05:42.610 not to die of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:42.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.320$ they just they carry them

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:44.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.688$ around with the carry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:45.690 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.400$ The tumors around with him

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:47.400 --> 01:05:48.426 without metastasize Ng,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:48.430 --> 01:05:51.122 and pretty much we don't see them here

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:51.122 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.048$ because they all killed before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:53.048 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.606$ get to that stage for other purposes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:05:55.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.656$ But but and so that's fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:05:57.660 --> 01:05:58.686 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}05{:}58.686 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00.738$ it's looking at the network context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:06:00.740 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.325$ The network differences between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:06:02.325 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.748$ mammals that do and don't suffer from

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

01:06:04.748 --> 01:06:06.192 metastatic cancer, and actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01:06:06.192 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.509$ It's kind of related in some senses

NOTE Confidence: 0.717755913734436

 $01{:}06{:}08.509 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10.888$ to placental invasiveness in some.

 $01:06:10.890 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.810$ In these of these organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:12.810 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.874$ which kind of makes sense in some ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:15.880 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.772$ And so. So there's a cost, if any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:18.772 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.218$ There was a cost in some ways of of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

01:06:22.218 --> 01:06:24.708 placenta that more interdigitate ihd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

01:06:24.710 --> 01:06:27.377 which is that you more susceptible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:27.377 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.320$ metastatic metastasis in your cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01{:}06{:}29.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.618$ So so so these different perspectives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:31.620 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.784$ whether it's immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:32.784 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.336$ Immunology approaches targeted therapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:34.340 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.620$ I think there's a a burgeoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:36.620 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.450$ and is very strong at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01{:}06{:}38.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40.320$ Yeah, I'm really very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:40.320 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.090$ I think a burgeoning effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:42.090 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.330$ and understanding of how to put

01:06:44.330 --> 01:06:45.926 this into the quantitative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01{:}06{:}45.930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}48.290$ and I think it needs to be quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:48.290 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.380$ in the sense of biochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.760184228420258

 $01:06:50.380 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.288$ networks and pathways contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822815236837968

 $01:06:53.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.952$ Wonderful. Oh, it's perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822815236837968

01:06:55.952 --> 01:06:58.970 I have a question here asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.822815236837968

 $01:06:59.059 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.090$ the panel to comment on the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.822815236837968

01:07:02.090 --> 01:07:04.988 of proteomics and studying tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.822815236837968

 $01:07:04.988 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.084$ resistance, and maybe you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.822815236837968

01:07:07.084 --> 01:07:09.780 you'll take that first mark in

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:09.780 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.336$ the market. Only relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:11.336 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.670$ exactly to what I was saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:13.670 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.358$ I mean, you know you as a biochemist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:16.360 --> 01:07:18.790 My view is that. Biochemist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:18.790 --> 01:07:21.296 but my chemistry is defined by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:21.296 --> 01:07:23.182 component by the combination of

 $01:07:23.182 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.378$ components that you have and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:25.378 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.010$ and a lot of those are proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:28.010 --> 01:07:30.593 Of course, it's not just proteins and

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:30.593 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.549$ that there are lots of other things too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:33.550 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.926$ but oh mix of various sorts are crucial

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:35.926 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.348$ for really getting a quantitative handle

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:38.348 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.558$ on an understanding signaling itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:40.560 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.032$ Response to the rapeutic have

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:42.032 --> 01:07:43.136 course therefore resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01{:}07{:}43.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}47.028$ and I think there are two components of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:47.030 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.352$ What is just at and I would just want

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:49.352 --> 01:07:52.730 to stress this one is just the I guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01{:}07{:}52.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}54.246$ fingerprinting approach that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:07:54.246 --> 01:07:56.640 often sees with pretty and say what

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:07:56.640 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.650$ proteins are there in the snapshot?

 $01:07:58.650 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.195$ What metabolites are there in

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:00.195 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.300$ Tableau makes sense in the snapshot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:08:02.300 --> 01:08:04.564 That's one aspect and that can give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:04.564 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.995$ a lot of information but but looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:06.995 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.536$ at changes in the proteome changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:09.536 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.916$ the metabolon with time is really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:08:11.916 --> 01:08:14.246 crucial aspect is really that's what what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:14.250 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.786$ What gives us a picture of the networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:16.786 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.329$ that we're trying to correct and corral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:19.330 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.885$ When we're targeting them in with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01{:}08{:}21.885 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}23.880$ of the rapeutics that that we discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:23.880 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.440$ it and I just point out that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:08:26.440 --> 01:08:28.934 quite a lot of activity on this at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:28.934 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.578$ and one of the people recruited

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:08:30.578 --> 01:08:32.390 into the Cancer Biology Institute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:32.390 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.256$ for example, is yeah, Shane Lou,

01:08:34.260 --> 01:08:37.370 who has been doing a lot of work looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607 01:08:37.370 --> 01:08:38.158 for example. NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:38.158 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.946$ Proteomic Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:38.946 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.916$ both snapshots and time evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:40.916 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.419$ of protein contents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:42.420 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.310$ It is considered an employee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:08:44.310 --> 01:08:46.949 and you know it's kind of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607 01:08:46.949 --> 01:08:47.703 you really. NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:08:47.710 --> 01:08:49.978 If you look at any point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:49.980 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.332$ sells the effects on the protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:08:52.332 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.151$ were really not what you would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01{:}08{:}55.151 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}57.895$ predicted based on on what you've lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01{:}08{:}57.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}00.554$ in terms of of gene copies or gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

01:09:00.560 --> 01:09:01.262 And Moreover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:01.262 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.017$ it's important to note that

 $01:09:03.017 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.720$ reaction is really pioneered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:04.720 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.088$ This too that RNA seek data and proteomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:07.088 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.259$ data have substantial discrepancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:09.260 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.927$ and so and so that means also

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:11.927 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.070$ appreciate the proteomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:13.070 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.300$ Is really an important thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:15.300 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.619$ to add to all of this code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796327888965607

 $01:09:18.620 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.970 \text{ Yes}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:18.970 --> 01:09:22.075 so stay think I would like just to comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:22.075 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.145$ about the frustration of analyzing tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01{:}09{:}24.145 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}25.870$ level data without spatial resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:25.870 --> 01:09:28.630 This is critical because as a smart pointed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:28.630 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.718$ we see a striking difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:30.718 --> 01:09:32.843 our name protein which is becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:32.843 \longrightarrow 01:09:34.835$ the rule more than the exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:34.840 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.820$ But second, the protein measurements and

 $01:09:36.820 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.319$ any other like really is context dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:39.320 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.588$ So for example, just to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:41.588 --> 01:09:43.714 a rough example measurement of K

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:43.714 --> 01:09:45.874 67 protein in any given sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:45.880 \longrightarrow 01:09:47.950$ if it's in the tumor cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:47.950 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.690$ it means that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:49.690 \longrightarrow 01:09:51.220$ Tumors are proliferating if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:51.220 --> 01:09:53.097 in the immune cells means good

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:09:53.097 --> 01:09:54.237 T cells are expanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:54.240 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.284$ so really I think having the possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:56.284 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.776$ of looking at the proteins in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:09:58.776 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.348$ the context of tissue organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01{:}10{:}00.348 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}01.616$ it's critical for understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:10:01.616 --> 01:10:02.420 what's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:10:02.420 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.814$ and I think that's a little bit when I

01:10:04.814 --> 01:10:06.959 tried to reflect in my presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:10:06.960 --> 01:10:07.869 we're still early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:10:07.869 --> 01:10:09.081 We're getting more quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:10:09.081 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.687$ than throughput.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:10:09.690 --> 01:10:11.200 It's coming up to speed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:10:11.200 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.018$ but but I think it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

 $01:10:13.020 \longrightarrow 01:10:14.232$ It's an important dimension

NOTE Confidence: 0.823916912078857

01:10:14.232 --> 01:10:15.747 of the protein and any

NOTE Confidence: 0.842197835445404

01:10:15.750 --> 01:10:17.260 other light data. Absolutely perfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.842197835445404

 $01:10:17.260 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.692$ and Megan. Do you want to just jump

NOTE Confidence: 0.842197835445404

01:10:19.692 --> 01:10:22.512 in on this one as well? I just wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.842197835445404

01:10:22.512 --> 01:10:25.200 to add just also OK, great. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:10:25.200 --> 01:10:26.964 know, as a first, just to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:10:26.964 --> 01:10:28.810 I think this is exactly right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:28.810 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.200$ When we asked us why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:30.200 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.062$ we know that just doing the genome

 $01:10:32.062 \longrightarrow 01:10:34.089$ sequencing is not going to be sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:34.090 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.650$ We can start with the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:35.650 \longrightarrow 01:10:36.690$ expression analysis because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:36.733 \longrightarrow 01:10:37.989$ are these epigenetic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:37.990 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.380$ but it's very clear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:39.380 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.770$ that's also in not sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:10:40.770 --> 01:10:42.842 And one thing I just wanted to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:42.842 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.939$ out is that as a cell biologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:44.940 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.488$ I think we we know understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:46.488 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.990$ really well that for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:10:47.990 --> 01:10:49.102 translational capacity is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:49.102 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.492$ that's highly affected by stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01{:}10{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}52.316$ and so when we think about what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:10:52.316 --> 01:10:54.388 on in a particular tumor environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:54.390 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.058$ how that might be affecting the.

01:10:56.060 --> 01:10:57.288 Relative efficiencies of translation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:57.288 \longrightarrow 01:10:58.823$ which will never be fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:10:58.823 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.187$ reflected in an RNA seek data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:00.190 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.738$ is going to be really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:01.740 --> 01:11:02.511 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:02.511 --> 01:11:04.060 one of the things, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:04.060 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.880$ that we think about a lot because

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:05.880 \longrightarrow 01:11:07.491$ appeared lasers work is thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:07.491 --> 01:11:09.219 hypoxia as a good example of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:09.220 --> 01:11:10.768 and then the protein turnover aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493 01:11:10.770 --> 01:11:11.021 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:11.021 \longrightarrow 01:11:13.280$ And so these are all the factors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:13.335 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.344$ that are contributing to what we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:15.344 \longrightarrow 01:11:17.466$ see different in a in a podium data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:17.470 --> 01:11:19.018 And I was going to exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:19.018 \longrightarrow 01:11:20.050$ the same point about,

01:11:20.050 --> 01:11:20.824 you know, an euploidy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:20.824 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.598$ because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493 01:11:21.600 --> 01:11:22.108 we know, NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:22.108 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.378$ and this is particularly relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:23.378 \longrightarrow 01:11:25.187$ also for DNA repair factors that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:25.187 --> 01:11:27.013 of the ideas of why aneuploidy causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:27.013 --> 01:11:28.675 such changes in the proteomes that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:28.680 \longrightarrow 01:11:30.310$ We have these large protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:30.310 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.940$ complexes which are very codependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:31.996 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.851$ and they become kind of out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:33.851 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.209$ titration with regard to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:35.209 --> 01:11:36.739 components and that can you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:36.740 --> 01:11:38.522 most of us are working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:38.522 \longrightarrow 01:11:39.710$ complex molecular machines where

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:39.762 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.346$ that's going to have an impact,

 $01:11:41.350 \longrightarrow 01:11:42.790$ and so that's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:42.790 --> 01:11:43.654 really require detailed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:43.660 \longrightarrow 01:11:45.100$ the kind of mechanistic analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:45.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.934$ But we might get pointed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:46.934 \longrightarrow 01:11:49.067$ fact that we need to do that work

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

01:11:49.067 --> 01:11:51.100 only if we go actually looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.855397522449493

 $01:11:51.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:53.158$ proteome wide data instead of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.828034579753876

01:11:53.160 --> 01:11:54.600 the genomics. Thanks great Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:11:55.150 \longrightarrow 01:11:58.426$ Yes, so I just wanted to add to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:11:58.426 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.635$ conversation that because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01{:}12{:}00.635 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}02.835$ challenges of studying the pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:02.835 --> 01:12:05.640 in in patient specimens directly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:05.640 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.082$ all of the things that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:08.082 --> 01:12:10.450 brought up the patient arrived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:10.450 --> 01:12:12.420 Models actually represent a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:12.420 \longrightarrow 01:12:15.435$ useful system to look at signaling and

 $01:12:15.435 \longrightarrow 01:12:17.870$ how signaling changes with treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:17.870 --> 01:12:20.677 And it's one of the reasons for

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:20.677 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.988$ which we did engage in this effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:23.990 \longrightarrow 01:12:26.636$ In developing these models and also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:26.640 \longrightarrow 01:12:28.932$ Allows us to really explore how

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:28.932 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.460$ heterogeneous these samples are

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:30.522 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.277$ across different patient tumors so

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01{:}12{:}32.277 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}34.815$ we can take tumors with a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:34.815 \longrightarrow 01:12:36.600$ alterations or just across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:36.600 --> 01:12:38.466 wear resistant to specific therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:38.466 --> 01:12:41.217 and we can look at specific things

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:41.217 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.611$ in terms of at the protein level

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:43.611 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.486$ in those and we can look if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:46.486 \longrightarrow 01:12:47.620$ apply other therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:47.620 --> 01:12:49.816 what changes and so that really,

01:12:49.820 --> 01:12:50.432 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:50.432 \longrightarrow 01:12:52.268$ is a very valuable system in

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

01:12:52.268 --> 01:12:54.669 which to study what's happening at

NOTE Confidence: 0.879784941673279

 $01:12:54.669 \longrightarrow 01:12:56.814$ the protein level and signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

 $01:12:57.920 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.587$ Susan, could I just ask you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

 $01:13:00.587 \longrightarrow 01:13:03.743$ comment on how the the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

 $01:13:03.743 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.153$ omics are approached from within

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

 $01:13:06.153 \longrightarrow 01:13:09.476$ an organization like yours and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

 $01{:}13{:}09.476 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}12.226$ to what extent leveraging systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

01:13:12.230 --> 01:13:14.970 biology approaches is is practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.802920758724213

 $01{:}13{:}14.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}17.390$ within your organization? Yeah, sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:17.390 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.326$ and so I mean, I agree with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:20.326 \longrightarrow 01:13:22.369$ comments that have been made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:22.370 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.834$ First of all that we do need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:24.834 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.879$ look at these different mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:26.879 \longrightarrow 01:13:30.029$ and it is possible to do that.

 $01:13:30.030 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.052$ Increasingly, you know where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:32.052 \longrightarrow 01:13:33.888$ looking with things like Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:33.888 \longrightarrow 01:13:35.576$ immediate fluorescence at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:13:35.576 --> 01:13:37.686 spatial organization of the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:37.690 \longrightarrow 01:13:41.128$ and in doing that in in patient samples now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:13:41.130 --> 01:13:44.146 So I think the technologies are advancing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:13:44.146 --> 01:13:47.309 enable you to do that single cell sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:47.310 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.222$ Is also helping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:48.222 \longrightarrow 01:13:51.667$ I think you know what I would say is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:13:51.667 --> 01:13:54.330 can't do that intensively on on many child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:54.330 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.430$ so you have to choose the trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:56.430 \longrightarrow 01:13:58.478$ setting and the context for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:13:58.480 \longrightarrow 01:14:00.622$ And it does have to be complemented

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:00.622 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.584$ by the kinds of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:02.584 --> 01:14:04.540 Katie was talked about as well,

 $01:14:04.540 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.766$ so I think you know you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01{:}14{:}06.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}09.202$ You can see some sense of the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:09.202 --> 01:14:11.036 picture emerging from some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:11.036 \longrightarrow 01:14:12.496$ clinical trial data you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:12.496 --> 01:14:14.429 need to understand the mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:14.430 \longrightarrow 01:14:16.368$ and for that you need a

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:16.368 \longrightarrow 01:14:17.337$ different setting and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:17.340 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.548$ Environment to do that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:19.548 \longrightarrow 01:14:21.379$ different techniques and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:21.379 \longrightarrow 01:14:23.388$ know the PDX models have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01{:}14{:}23.388 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}25.503$ have some challenges the Gen models

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:25.503 \longrightarrow 01:14:27.711$ have their own set of challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01{:}14{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}29.796$ The humanized models for IO have

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:29.796 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.212$ their own set of challenges and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:32.212 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.876$ think what we can try and do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:34.876 \longrightarrow 01:14:37.054$ by looking collectively at at at,

01:14:37.060 --> 01:14:39.090 you know the clinical sample data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:39.090 \longrightarrow 01:14:41.221$ these range of preclinical models and

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:41.221 --> 01:14:43.633 backwards and forwards across that divide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:43.640 --> 01:14:45.782 that that's how you build up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:45.782 --> 01:14:47.809 bigger picture of of understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:47.810 --> 01:14:48.869 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:48.870 --> 01:14:51.694 I think it's like it is like trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01{:}14{:}51.694 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}54.381$ sort of work out the overall picture from

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:54.381 \longrightarrow 01:14:57.018$ having several pieces of the jigsaw together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:57.018 \longrightarrow 01:14:58.080$ which is great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:14:58.080 --> 01:14:59.396 but you know nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:14:59.396 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.041$ that holistic view is absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:01.041 --> 01:15:02.320 critical to understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:02.320 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.776$ so I you know my comment would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:04.776 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.135$ that I think that the technology

 $01:15:07.135 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.031$ advances are now in place to enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:10.031 \longrightarrow 01:15:12.615$ us to see so much more than we

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:12.615 \longrightarrow 01:15:15.070$ were able to see 510 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:15.070 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.188$ We need to bring that together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:17.190 \longrightarrow 01:15:18.960$ but have an integrated plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:18.960 \longrightarrow 01:15:20.376$ that goes across preclinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:20.380 \longrightarrow 01:15:22.480$ Translational and clinical trial environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:22.480 --> 01:15:24.580 Then I think that's critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:24.580 \longrightarrow 01:15:27.037$ so we spend a lot of time in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:27.037 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.632$ is called early stage oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01{:}15{:}29.632 \longrightarrow 01{:}15{:}31.876$ without translation of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:31.876 --> 01:15:34.308 group actually working with drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:34.308 \longrightarrow 01:15:37.171$ and programs that are in late phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:37.180 \longrightarrow 01:15:39.285$ development already on the market

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:39.285 \longrightarrow 01:15:41.800$ like awesome Internet and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:41.800 \longrightarrow 01:15:42.952$ And if I'm out.

 $01:15:42.952 \longrightarrow 01:15:45.074$ The other drugs that we have there

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:45.074 \longrightarrow 01:15:47.000$ because the two reasons one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:47.000 --> 01:15:48.912 that I think that's absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:48.912 --> 01:15:51.107 critical to understanding how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:51.107 --> 01:15:53.393 develop those in and continue that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:53.393 --> 01:15:54.677 But Secondly that understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:54.677 --> 01:15:56.440 their feedback into the discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:15:56.440 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.940$ organization for new opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

01:15:57.940 --> 01:16:00.775 And I think the final piece I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:16:00.775 \longrightarrow 01:16:03.790$ is that we can't do it all internally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:16:03.790 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.819$ Collaborations with organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:16:04.819 \longrightarrow 01:16:06.534$ like Yale is absolutely critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01{:}16{:}06.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}16{:}08.864$ You've already heard a number of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:16:08.864 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.018$ of the kinds of collaborations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:16:11.018 \longrightarrow 01:16:13.810$ that that we have that really helped to.

 $01:16:13.810 \longrightarrow 01:16:15.080$ And to feed and stimulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.838525116443634

 $01:16:15.080 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.350$ the work that we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.772767424583435

01:16:16.402 --> 01:16:18.090 internally. So you know really

NOTE Confidence: 0.772767424583435

01:16:18.090 --> 01:16:19.800 appreciate the work that that Megan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772767424583435

01:16:19.800 --> 01:16:21.669 a team of doing that Katie Ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.772767424583435

 $01:16:21.669 \longrightarrow 01:16:23.557$ and her team are doing because

NOTE Confidence: 0.772767424583435

 $01:16:23.557 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.603$ that that sees what we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.772767424583435

 $01:16:25.603 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.486$ internally and we can't do all of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:28.580 \longrightarrow 01:16:30.746$ Great good, there's a question here

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:30.746 \longrightarrow 01:16:33.090$ asking can we share examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:33.090 \longrightarrow 01:16:35.160$ partnership with other academic centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:16:35.160 --> 01:16:38.390 that we may have for precision medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:38.390 \longrightarrow 01:16:40.310$ efforts and adaptive combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:16:40.310 --> 01:16:42.527 treatment to overcome resistance and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:42.527 \longrightarrow 01:16:45.460$ And I'll talk about that maybe a

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:45.538 \longrightarrow 01:16:48.040$ little bit from the medicine side.

 $01:16:48.040 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.610$ Pet Larusso here leads experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:50.610 \longrightarrow 01:16:52.152$ therapeutics clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01{:}16{:}52.152 --> 01{:}16{:}54.510$ network U M1 grant that has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:16:54.510 --> 01:16:57.762 Many consortium members and she and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:16:57.762 \longrightarrow 01:17:01.455$ her colleagues are leaders in taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:17:01.455 --> 01:17:04.323 molecularly driven questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:04.323 \longrightarrow 01:17:07.191$ actually molecular selection strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:07.281 \longrightarrow 01:17:10.777$ forward in the Umm in the ETCTN network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:17:10.780 --> 01:17:15.365 Jeff Sklar, here, runs one of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:15.370 \longrightarrow 01:17:19.150$ Lamps that did the precision medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01{:}17{:}19.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}22.390$ sequencing for the match trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:17:22.390 --> 01:17:24.942 We have investigators here

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01{:}17{:}24.942 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}27.494$ leading match sub trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:27.500 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.867$ We have, I think within our spores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:30.870 \longrightarrow 01:17:32.774$ collaborations across other cancer

 $01:17:32.774 \longrightarrow 01:17:34.678$ centers that are molecularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:34.678 \longrightarrow 01:17:36.659$ driven clinical trial questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:17:36.660 --> 01:17:40.988 So I think from the disease based and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01{:}17{:}40.988 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}44.051$ clinical arena Ann from the Phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01:17:44.051 \longrightarrow 01:17:47.490$ one arena there is quite a rich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:17:47.490 --> 01:17:50.610 A network of of these types of interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

01:17:50.610 --> 01:17:53.368 I don't know if anybody wants to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913

 $01{:}17{:}53.368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}55.889$ address more from the preclinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840360403060913 01:17:55.890 --> 01:17:56.530 Level. NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:17:59.390 \longrightarrow 01:18:02.042$ I could just just comment that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:02.042 --> 01:18:03.570 anaplastic lymphoma kinase work

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:03.636 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.126$ that I mentioned at work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:05.130 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.140$ That's all guns are long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:07.140 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.892$ collaboration with people at Children's

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:08.892 --> 01:18:10.974 Hospital in Philadelphia and a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:10.974 \longrightarrow 01:18:13.232$ pen where much of the computational

 $01:18:13.232 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.542$ modeling is done actually through that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:15.550 --> 01:18:17.400 And then another approach that

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:17.400 --> 01:18:19.490 another aspect that we're working on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:19.490 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.370$ which is a collaboration of many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:22.370 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.884$ Out of many academic medical centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:24.884 --> 01:18:27.479 actually in the US and abroad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:27.480 --> 01:18:29.508 we actually have through the Alex

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01{:}18{:}29.508 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}32.517$ is now in H Town Foundation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:32.517 \longrightarrow 01:18:35.147$ approach to targeting Myc signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:35.150 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.388$ Let me say it's not targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:37.388 \longrightarrow 01:18:39.937$ Nick Per saver comes relates to

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:39.937 \longrightarrow 01:18:42.387$ combination therapies and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01{:}18{:}42.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}44.976$ the idea being that multigroup approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:44.980 --> 01:18:47.647 With the idea that that make aberrations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:47.650 \longrightarrow 01:18:48.799$ particularly making neuroblastoma

01:18:48.799 --> 01:18:49.948 affect the network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:49.950 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.344$ and in principle one could rescue the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:52.344 \longrightarrow 01:18:54.150$ network with appropriate combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:54.150 --> 01:18:56.436 and I think with the technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

01:18:56.440 --> 01:18:57.968 as Susan pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006 01:18:57.968 --> 01:18:58.350 advancing, NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:18:58.350 \longrightarrow 01:19:01.455$ I think the time is is is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:19:01.455 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.300$ right to get to ask that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.786874294281006

 $01:19:04.300 \longrightarrow 01:19:06.370$ in that type of way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

01:19:09.450 --> 01:19:10.866 Anybody else wanna OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:10.866 \longrightarrow 01:19:12.990$ There's a question here in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:13.062 \longrightarrow 01:19:15.270$ context of overcoming resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:15.270 \longrightarrow 01:19:17.115$ Can panelists share with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:17.115 \longrightarrow 01:19:18.960$ most excited about in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:19.025 \longrightarrow 01:19:20.678$ of combination modalities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

01:19:20.680 --> 01:19:24.424 And maybe I'll just ask him to go first?

 $01:19:24.430 \longrightarrow 01:19:28.294$ 'cause I love the KTM Ivy story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:28.300 \longrightarrow 01:19:30.604$ But I think every body probably has

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255

 $01:19:30.604 \longrightarrow 01:19:32.440$ their own favorite combination too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819485902786255 01:19:32.440 --> 01:19:33.559 and you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.773967266082764

 $01:19:33.560 \longrightarrow 01:19:36.192$ want to, yeah, so of course I

NOTE Confidence: 0.773967266082764

01:19:36.192 --> 01:19:38.452 think because I work samples next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773967266082764

 $01:19:38.452 \longrightarrow 01:19:40.708$ my my opinion might be any

NOTE Confidence: 0.76289176940918

 $01:19:40.710 \longrightarrow 01:19:41.910$ bias. Modulation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:19:41.910 \longrightarrow 01:19:43.119$ critical for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:19:43.120 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.140$ and not only the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:19:45.140 \longrightarrow 01:19:47.972$ So one of the example I have showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:19:47.972 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.330$ this Acadian 5B where we can show pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01{:}19{:}51.330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}53.954$ synergistic effect that you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:19:53.954 \longrightarrow 01:19:56.444$ check my blanket in multiple models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:19:56.450 \longrightarrow 01:19:59.278$ I only showed one in breast cancer.

 $01:19:59.280 \longrightarrow 01:20:02.238$ Will also see that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:02.240 \longrightarrow 01:20:04.082$ In addition, an and there's other

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:04.082 \longrightarrow 01:20:06.141$ modalities that you can actually modulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:06.141 --> 01:20:08.066 the tumor micro environment and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:08.070 \longrightarrow 01:20:10.134$ for example, someone that can recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:10.134 \longrightarrow 01:20:12.528$ this as we are working on one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:12.530 \longrightarrow 01:20:14.588$ then it's called the CCR two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:14.590 --> 01:20:17.246 we can by inhibiting that we can change

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:17.246 --> 01:20:19.387 the macrophage population and by that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:19.390 \longrightarrow 01:20:21.448$ by doing that we can change

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:21.448 --> 01:20:22.820 the T cell activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:22.820 --> 01:20:24.800 So, but basically it's just moderating

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:24.800 \longrightarrow 01:20:26.546$ the whole tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:26.546 --> 01:20:28.648 and make it sensitive for email,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:28.650 \longrightarrow 01:20:30.370$ checkpoint blockade and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:30.370 \longrightarrow 01:20:32.446$ This works well an intimate asks.

 $01:20:32.450 \longrightarrow 01:20:34.598$ Setting so I'm quite excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:34.598 \longrightarrow 01:20:37.339$ that and that many of you probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:37.339 \longrightarrow 01:20:39.399$ know in other other institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:39.399 --> 01:20:41.650 have studied with DMT inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

01:20:41.650 --> 01:20:42.450 HVAC inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:42.450 \longrightarrow 01:20:44.450$ and is it still inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:44.450 \longrightarrow 01:20:45.650$ and those actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:45.650 \longrightarrow 01:20:48.198$ I showed him assuming have showed strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01{:}20{:}48.198 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}50.450$ efficacy in many different models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:50.450 \longrightarrow 01:20:52.850$ so I'm quite excited about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757354021072388

 $01:20:52.850 \longrightarrow 01:20:54.450$ This kind of combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792126417160034

 $01:20:55.580 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.202$ Yeah, I I think in Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.792126417160034

 $01:20:58.202 \longrightarrow 01:21:00.730$ Anderson and Eli are broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792126417160034

 $01:21:00.730 \longrightarrow 01:21:02.486$ Working on demethylating therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.792126417160034

01:21:02.486 --> 01:21:04.242 to uncover immune silencing

 $01:21:04.242 \longrightarrow 01:21:06.339$ and virally associated cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792126417160034

 $01:21:06.340 \longrightarrow 01:21:10.400$ And I think there are a lot of examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792126417160034

 $01:21:10.400 \longrightarrow 01:21:12.089$ Coming on that so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:12.090 \longrightarrow 01:21:14.816 \text{ I I just wanted to come and I think}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

01:21:14.816 --> 01:21:16.926 that's a very hard question, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

01:21:16.930 --> 01:21:18.680 because I think ultimately there the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:18.680 \longrightarrow 01:21:20.270$ best combination is not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:20.270 \longrightarrow 01:21:21.935$ be one combination that works every

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:21.935 \longrightarrow 01:21:24.701$ time I think it is so clear now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:24.701 \longrightarrow 01:21:26.511$ the tumors evade immunity through

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:26.511 \longrightarrow 01:21:28.173$ different dominant pathways and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:28.173 \longrightarrow 01:21:30.039$ more advanced tumors tend to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:30.039 \longrightarrow 01:21:31.706$ multiple pathways that I think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:31.706 \longrightarrow 01:21:34.034$ question has to do with where in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

01:21:34.034 --> 01:21:35.342 immunization pathways dominant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01{:}21{:}35.342 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}37.134$ where more than one is dominant.

01:21:37.134 --> 01:21:39.010 And I think that should drive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:39.070 \longrightarrow 01:21:40.950$ combination not think the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:40.950 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.456$ Wait and think that one combination will

NOTE Confidence: 0.845456838607788

 $01:21:43.456 \longrightarrow 01:21:45.820$ fix tumors with different problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:21:46.750 --> 01:21:49.102 Yeah, so I think it's very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:21:49.102 \longrightarrow 01:21:51.881$ that you heard that question as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:21:51.881 \longrightarrow 01:21:53.912$ immune resistance question. It was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:21:53.912 \longrightarrow 01:21:56.544$ It was a very broad resistance question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:21:56.550 \longrightarrow 01:21:58.440$ but that's an interesting perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:21:58.440 \longrightarrow 01:22:00.708$ I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:00.708 --> 01:22:03.446 that I think about sometimes is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:03.446 \longrightarrow 01:22:05.978$ some of the same mechanisms that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01{:}22{:}05.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}08.055$ Have generated resistance to conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:08.055 --> 01:22:10.130 therapies are now also generating

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:10.193 --> 01:22:11.633 resistance to immunotherapy and

01:22:11.633 --> 01:22:13.793 how you know our relentless focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01{:}22{:}13.851 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}15.676$ on target instead of environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:15.680 --> 01:22:16.841 which you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:16.841 --> 01:22:19.163 I think it's something I've heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:19.170 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.722$ You speak about alot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:20.722 \longrightarrow 01:22:23.396$ Kurt, you know we may have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:23.396 --> 01:22:25.776 same Achilles heel over and over

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:25.776 \longrightarrow 01:22:28.098$ again and in head neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:28.100 \longrightarrow 01:22:30.809$ A clear example of this is hypoxia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:30.810 \longrightarrow 01:22:32.362$ which leads to resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:32.362 --> 01:22:33.914 to DNA damaging agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:33.920 \longrightarrow 01:22:36.530$ It leads to resistance to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

 $01:22:36.530 \longrightarrow 01:22:37.540$ Radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:37.540 --> 01:22:38.550 Prime example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737

01:22:38.550 --> 01:22:42.490 but is now increasingly linked to resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737 01:22:42.490 --> 01:22:43.180 demeanor,

 $01:22:43.180 \longrightarrow 01:22:45.250$ therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883789777755737 01:22:45.250 --> 01:22:45.710 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01{:}22{:}45.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}49.560$ and I say even taking it down to the simplest

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:22:49.653 \longrightarrow 01:22:52.581$ level of talking therapeutics it the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:22:52.581 --> 01:22:56.338 answer is it depends because for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:22:56.340 \longrightarrow 01:22:59.106$ just thinking about RAF inhibitor resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:22:59.110 --> 01:23:02.014 Actually David Stern and Marcus Bosenberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:02.014 \longrightarrow 01:23:05.672$ and others did a nice study that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01{:}23{:}05.672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}09.161$ published it a few years ago of combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:09.161 \longrightarrow 01:23:12.486$ combinations of drugs in a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:12.486 \longrightarrow 01:23:15.340$ cell lines for Melanoma and elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:15.340 \longrightarrow 01:23:17.728$ And showed that the combination which

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01{:}23{:}17.728 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}20.043$ combinations work in which cells is

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01{:}23{:}20.043 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}22.017$ very valuable and actually one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:22.017 \longrightarrow 01:23:24.238$ the things I'm quite excited about.

01:23:24.240 --> 01:23:27.184 The moment we're working as a group with

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:23:27.184 --> 01:23:29.080 systems biology island and equipment

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:29.080 \longrightarrow 01:23:32.050$ Los Alamos in the group and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:32.050 \longrightarrow 01:23:34.626$ understand that in terms of of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:23:34.626 --> 01:23:36.794 signaling networks around RAF and MEK

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:23:36.794 --> 01:23:39.460 and and rest in different cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:39.460 \longrightarrow 01:23:41.310$ some cells from different cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:41.310 \longrightarrow 01:23:42.148$ and innocence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:23:42.148 --> 01:23:43.824 Which combinations work depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:43.824 \longrightarrow 01:23:46.168$ say on the level of KSR 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:46.170 \longrightarrow 01:23:47.800$ And that's a key determinant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:47.800 \longrightarrow 01:23:50.152$ and so it just depends on so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:50.152 \longrightarrow 01:23:52.359$ on how the network is wired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:52.360 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.316$ which of course goes back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:54.316 \longrightarrow 01:23:55.620$ the question about proteomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:23:55.620 \longrightarrow 01:23:57.525$ because ultimately that you you're

01:23:57.525 --> 01:24:00.172 trying to control the system and the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:00.172 \longrightarrow 01:24:02.470$ the system is set up by a chemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:02.470 \longrightarrow 01:24:04.622$ it defines on how it will define how

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:04.622 \longrightarrow 01:24:06.708$ we respond to different combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:24:06.710 --> 01:24:08.798 And so I think we're going to want

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:24:08.798 --> 01:24:11.406 to get into things at that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:24:11.406 --> 01:24:13.637 level to understand where we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

01:24:13.637 --> 01:24:14.858 use which combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:14.860 \longrightarrow 01:24:16.810$ and I think it occurs .2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:16.810 \longrightarrow 01:24:17.641$ That's that's exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:17.641 \longrightarrow 01:24:20.118$ Got it that the case is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:20.118 \longrightarrow 01:24:21.798$ the case in the more complex systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.769990742206573

 $01:24:21.798 \longrightarrow 01:24:23.800$ of intercellular communication too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

 $01:24:25.130 \longrightarrow 01:24:26.420$ And can I just add?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

01:24:26.420 --> 01:24:27.976 There's also the kinetic component, right?

 $01:24:27.976 \longrightarrow 01:24:29.838$ So I think when things were still

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

 $01:24:29.838 \longrightarrow 01:24:31.817$ not clear on is are you better

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

 $01:24:31.817 \longrightarrow 01:24:33.202$ off with this combination early

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

 $01:24:33.261 \longrightarrow 01:24:35.005$ on or is this going to be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

01:24:35.005 --> 01:24:36.221 once you get initial resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

01:24:36.221 --> 01:24:37.763 And actually that's may seem trivial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

 $01:24:37.770 \longrightarrow 01:24:39.810$ but I really don't think it is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

 $01:24:39.810 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.140$ requires modeling to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.837461471557617

01:24:41.140 --> 01:24:42.925 just how the kinetics is playing out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

01:24:44.450 --> 01:24:46.290 Great, related, related to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

01:24:46.290 --> 01:24:49.640 one of the things that I was going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:24:49.723 \longrightarrow 01:24:52.907$ is one of the things I'm excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:24:52.910 \longrightarrow 01:24:55.682$ Sort of going forward and looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:24:55.682 \longrightarrow 01:24:58.623$ the field over the next few years is

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:24:58.623 \longrightarrow 01:25:01.445$ is really what we can learn about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01{:}25{:}01.445 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}04.510$ tumor from the get go that can tell us

 $01:25:04.510 \longrightarrow 01:25:07.327$ how we would want to treat it to stave

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01{:}25{:}07.327 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}09.837$ off certain mechanisms of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

01:25:09.840 --> 01:25:12.164 I think we're starting to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:12.164 \longrightarrow 01:25:14.049$ examples also of clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:14.049 \longrightarrow 01:25:16.094$ that are starting to subset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:16.100 \longrightarrow 01:25:17.955$ Patients with certain tumor Gina

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:17.955 \longrightarrow 01:25:20.179$ types or whether tumors have certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:20.179 \longrightarrow 01:25:22.611$ features and sort of put them and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:22.611 \longrightarrow 01:25:25.048$ into trials with specific combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01{:}25{:}25.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}28.258$ and I think that's going to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.844868659973145

 $01:25:28.258 \longrightarrow 01:25:30.649$ interesting approach in the next few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:25:32.070 --> 01:25:33.198 Terrific, thanks, just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:33.200 \longrightarrow 01:25:35.090$ a couple comments on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:35.090 \longrightarrow 01:25:37.502$ so there's a few things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:37.502 \longrightarrow 01:25:39.532$ you that you've heard there

 $01:25:39.532 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.244$ that I just like to to build on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:25:42.250 --> 01:25:44.386 A completely agree that we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:44.386 \longrightarrow 01:25:46.265$ to understand what's the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:46.265 \longrightarrow 01:25:48.593$ combination for the set of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:25:48.593 --> 01:25:50.194 the adaptive mechanisms have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:50.194 \longrightarrow 01:25:52.049$ been in that particular tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:52.050 \longrightarrow 01:25:54.306$ and I think to that end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:25:54.310 \longrightarrow 01:25:56.632$ looking at the adaptation at an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}25{:}56.632 \to 01{:}25{:}58.618$ earlier point than we typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:25:58.618 --> 01:26:01.474 do is a key part of this strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}26{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}03.400$ so there's some really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:03.400 \longrightarrow 01:26:05.578$ Concepts here that you will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}26{:}05.578 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}07.453$ working with Gordon Mills from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:07.453 \longrightarrow 01:26:09.903$ OHSU on and looking at the adaptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:09.903 --> 01:26:12.272 rewiring that goes on which really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:12.272 \longrightarrow 01:26:13.469$ happens quite quickly.

01:26:13.470 --> 01:26:15.282 And of course one element of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:15.282 \longrightarrow 01:26:17.463$ that that we need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:17.463 --> 01:26:19.275 is undoubtedly the epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:19.275 --> 01:26:21.679 mechanisms that that that come in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:21.680 --> 01:26:23.405 'cause they're quite commonly involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:23.405 \longrightarrow 01:26:25.780$ in some of the resistance mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:25.780 \longrightarrow 01:26:27.650$ and as I pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:27.650 \longrightarrow 01:26:30.478$ we don't really have good techniques for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:30.478 --> 01:26:33.650 looking for those if we haven't got a biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749 01:26:33.650 --> 01:26:34.326 Early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:34.326 --> 01:26:36.692 so I think that's that's absolutely key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:36.700 \longrightarrow 01:26:39.066$ and then I think that also informs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}26{:}39.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}41.268$ but the potential for how we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:41.268 \longrightarrow 01:26:43.252$ combinations 'cause one of the limiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:43.252 --> 01:26:44.882 factors of actually getting these

 $01:26:44.882 \longrightarrow 01:26:47.432$ to work has been the Taler ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}26{:}47.432 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}48.904$ of the combinations clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:48.910 \longrightarrow 01:26:51.798$ And for that I think there's a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}26{:}51.798 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}54.668$ of chinks of light of what we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:54.670 --> 01:26:55.567 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:55.567 --> 01:26:57.361 we're starting to develop some better

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:26:57.361 --> 01:26:58.740 tolerated therapies inherently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:26:58.740 \longrightarrow 01:27:01.332$ so I think that gives us a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:01.332 \longrightarrow 01:27:03.475$ more headroom for some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:03.475 \longrightarrow 01:27:05.599$ combinations that we need to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:27:05.600 --> 01:27:06.440 Things like Adcs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:06.440 \longrightarrow 01:27:07.840$ better ways of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:07.840 \longrightarrow 01:27:09.328$ delivering some of the mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}27{:}09.328 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}11.295$ killing give you a bit more headroom

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:27:11.295 --> 01:27:13.155 and understanding what drives total ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:13.160 \longrightarrow 01:27:14.942$ and then looking at the sequencing

01:27:14.942 --> 01:27:16.970 rather than trying to do it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:16.970 \longrightarrow 01:27:18.530$ everything at the same time is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:18.530 \longrightarrow 01:27:20.204$ another innovation that I think will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:20.204 \longrightarrow 01:27:22.391$ come in that will help us with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:27:22.391 --> 01:27:24.666 And but again I think we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:24.666 \longrightarrow 01:27:26.834$ need to apply all of these tools that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:27:26.834 --> 01:27:29.117 we've got and the modeling of that too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:29.120 \longrightarrow 01:27:31.024$ to reduce down the number of options

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:31.024 \longrightarrow 01:27:32.770$ that we actually bring into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01{:}27{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}34.230$ clinic and increase the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:34.230 \longrightarrow 01:27:35.850$ of each one of those being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:35.850 \longrightarrow 01:27:37.525$ Being successful with in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:27:37.525 --> 01:27:39.535 therapy is going to keep us

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

01:27:39.535 --> 01:27:41.185 occupied for a little while yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.84442663192749

 $01:27:41.185 \longrightarrow 01:27:42.779$ before we solve that problem.

 $01:27:44.110 \longrightarrow 01:27:45.980$ Terrific great well this I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}27{:}45.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}48.410$ I hope the attendees have enjoyed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:27:48.410 --> 01:27:50.423 this exchange of opinion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:27:50.423 \longrightarrow 01:27:52.337$ knowledge as much as I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:27:52.340 \longrightarrow 01:27:55.084$ I'd like to turn it back now to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:27:55.084 --> 01:27:57.671 Charlie Fuchs and just ask him to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:27:57.671 \longrightarrow 01:28:00.190$ share a couple of concluding remarks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:00.190 --> 01:28:00.936 Well, Barbara,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:00.936 \longrightarrow 01:28:03.174$ thank you and all the panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:03.180 \longrightarrow 01:28:05.556$ It was a fantastic discussion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}28{:}05.556 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}07.560$ really provided so much insight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:07.560 \longrightarrow 01:28:09.947$ in terms of how we continue to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}28{:}09.947 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}12.204$ move grade science into the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:12.204 \longrightarrow 01:28:14.460$ and frankly how we learn more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:14.460 \longrightarrow 01:28:16.260$ About the tests in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:16.260 \longrightarrow 01:28:18.060$ trials that were actively doing

01:28:18.121 --> 01:28:19.886 as part of our investigation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}28{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}22.067$ this is I mentioned is the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:22.067 --> 01:28:24.281 of our Yale engage cancer forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:24.281 \longrightarrow 01:28:26.699$ and I hope that our attendees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:26.699 \longrightarrow 01:28:28.937$ enjoyed it and benefited from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:28.940 \longrightarrow 01:28:30.332$ And as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:30.332 --> 01:28:32.866 the work continues and we very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:32.866 \longrightarrow 01:28:35.498$ want this to be the beginning of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:35.498 --> 01:28:37.363 the conversation and so hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}28{:}37.363 \longrightarrow 01{:}28{:}39.799$ what will what you'll do and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:39.800 \longrightarrow 01:28:42.271$ we'll do is engage each other in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:42.271 --> 01:28:44.140 thinking through how we partner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}28{:}44.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}46.010$ how we work strategically together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:46.010 --> 01:28:47.194 To think of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:47.194 \longrightarrow 01:28:49.431$ the ideas that are panels are brought

01:28:49.431 --> 01:28:51.386 up an develop new initiatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:51.390 \longrightarrow 01:28:53.304$ so people should feel free to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:53.304 \longrightarrow 01:28:56.043$ reach out to me or any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:56.043 \longrightarrow 01:28:57.427$ panelists to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:28:57.430 \longrightarrow 01:28:59.560$ These collaborations will be contacting you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

01:28:59.560 --> 01:29:01.470 really appreciate your taking the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:01.470 \longrightarrow 01:29:03.480$ You know when I listen to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:03.480 \longrightarrow 01:29:04.485$ discussions like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:04.490 \longrightarrow 01:29:06.802$ I think it gives all of us hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:06.802 \longrightarrow 01:29:08.610$ and excitement about the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01{:}29{:}08.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}10.540$ ahead of of cancer investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:10.540 \longrightarrow 01:29:12.885$ So let me just turn it back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:12.890 \longrightarrow 01:29:14.900$ turn it back to Barbara for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606778383255

 $01:29:14.900 \longrightarrow 01:29:15.905$ some final thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

01:29:17.630 --> 01:29:21.626 You know, so I've been at Yale about 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01:29:21.626 \longrightarrow 01:29:25.669 1/2$ years and the conversation today.

 $01:29:25.670 \longrightarrow 01:29:27.756$ Sort of reminds me of of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

01:29:27.756 --> 01:29:30.158 excitement that I felt when I started

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01{:}29{:}30.158 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}31.958$ going to seminars around here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01:29:31.960 \longrightarrow 01:29:33.525$ I mean, there's just unbelievable

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

01:29:33.525 --> 01:29:35.502 scale of work of this quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01:29:35.502 \longrightarrow 01:29:37.257$ going on at this institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

01:29:37.260 --> 01:29:39.542 and a lot of people thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01{:}29{:}39.542 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}42.300$ how to make cancer treatment better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01{:}29{:}42.300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}45.476$ So thank you all for joining us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01{:}29{:}45.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}48.084$ Please stay in touch and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

01:29:48.084 --> 01:29:50.260 to thank Susan and curtain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01{:}29{:}50.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}52.804$ Katie and Mark and Megan Inch in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01{:}29{:}52.804 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}55.039$ their their wonderful presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88388192653656

 $01:29:55.040 \longrightarrow 01:29:56.628$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.533962070941925 01:29:58.850 --> 01:30:00.256 Keyboard.