WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:56:25.0880000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.296$ First grand rounds of 2021 and once again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}03.296 \to 00{:}00{:}05.889$ continuing our our mission doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.218$ Whether it be in person or by zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.265$ we're sticking to the schedule

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}11.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.397$ and making sure that we we advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:14.397 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.401$ the mission of disseminating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}16.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.860$ and knowledge through this form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}18.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.710$ Today is a really special occasion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}21.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.039$ because it represents something I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:24.039 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.510$ think we all look forward to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}26.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.080$ annual Frisbee lectureship and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.852$ actually to begin the forum I I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:30.852 --> 00:00:34.134 going to turn it over to Ed Snider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:34.140 --> 00:00:36.210 Doctor Schneider, as you know,

 $00:00:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.578$ is a professor of laboratory medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.020$ Can't send director for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.460$ For membership director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:43.460 --> 00:00:45.260 membership for the Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:45.260 --> 00:00:46.688 Leader of blood banking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:46.688 --> 00:00:49.243 Certainly has done a lot of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:49.243 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.602$ over the years in that domain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:51.602 --> 00:00:54.200 has really been the Shepherd for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00:00:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.338$ lectureship for the past 18 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:00:56.338 --> 00:00:59.335 And I want to turn it over to Ed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

 $00{:}00{:}59.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.861$ share some perspectives and as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616084

00:01:01.861 --> 00:01:03.979 introduce members of the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:04.790 \dashrightarrow 00:01:07.724$ Thank you very much Charlie to pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}01{:}07.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.926$ introduce the Frisbees for this lecture ship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:01:09.930 --> 00:01:12.126 Rick and Chris Frisby, son Richie,

 $00:01:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.545$ developed leukemia as a young man that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}01{:}14.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.553$ a teenager and was the first bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}01{:}17.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.463$ transplant done in the Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:19.470 \dashrightarrow 00:01:22.406$ It was done by the late Jill Rappaport,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.938$ who was running the program at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.940$ Richie did not survive first

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}01{:}27.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.540$ transplant failed and didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:01:29.540 --> 00:01:31.730 survive to have a second transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.046$ which was to be his sister.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:01:34.050 --> 00:01:37.180 And in honor of him, in his memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}01{:}37.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.580$ the family rich Rick and Christine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.949$ set up the Frisby Foundation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.678$ So in 1990 they've given millions of dollars

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:45.678 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.298$ in Cancer Research and cancer education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.390$ They established the first stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.480$ cell processing lab at Yale,

 $00:01:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.392$ New Haven long before Smilow had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:01:56.392 --> 00:01:59.416 first brick lay down the foundation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:01:59.416 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.680$ that was the precursor of the HCT lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.098$ which is currently supporting SMILOW in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:05.098 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.270$ through Department of Laboratory Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:07.270 --> 00:02:09.778 Went by Diet Doctor Diane Kraus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.900$ This lectureship was established 18

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}11.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.519$ years ago and the current speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:14.519 --> 00:02:16.669 doctor Marcus Motion is therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:16.669 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.509$ the 18th speaker and we welcome him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.345$ And we would like to turn it over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:22.345 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.436$ Christine to do or say a few words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.684$ Of I'll be brief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}25.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.326$ I just wanted to really thank Yale Dr

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:28.326 --> 00:02:30.310 Mnuchin, and in particular at Snyder,

 $00:02:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.758$ who's been very close to us for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}32.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.871$ many years and been very supportive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}34.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.479$ of the foundation and the work we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.762$ And we love this lectureship. We don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:39.762 --> 00:02:41.066 Foundations must smaller now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:41.070 --> 00:02:43.020 We don't do that many things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:43.020 --> 00:02:45.954 but this is one thing that we continue doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.102$ and we're going to continue to fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}48.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.446$ this for years to come because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:50.446 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.146$ think it's just very rewarding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}52.150 --> 00{:}02{:}54.325$ So thank you very much, Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:02:54.325 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.140$ Doctor Fox and Marcus as well at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:02:58.140 --> 00:02:59.230 the Commission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}02{:}59.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.344$ Thank you Rick and Chris for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:03:01.344 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.330$ that you've done and for so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}03{:}03.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.590$ of the work that is being done for

 $00{:}03{:}05.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.946$ the patients that smile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:03:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.476$ Oh well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:03:07.476 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.317$ we can thank you for for setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:03:09.317 --> 00:03:10.810 the foundation for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00{:}03{:}10.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.266$ so I'll now turn it over to Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

 $00:03:13.266 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.319$ Fuchs to introduce our 18th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7952434

00:03:15.320 --> 00:03:17.820 Frisbee lecturer Doctor Marcus machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:03:18.370 --> 00:03:21.466 It thank you, Ann and Rick and Christine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:03:21.470 --> 00:03:23.595 thank you for your continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:03:23.595 --> 00:03:25.295 support of this important

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:25.295 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.286$ leadership over the past 18 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.618$ I think this is really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}03{:}29.618 --> 00{:}03{:}30.782$ a wonderful tradition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.910$ Becausw, what this lectureship

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.090$ has done is brought to Yale.

 $00:03:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.760$ Really rich cadre of innovators

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.896$ in developing understanding an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:03:40.896 --> 00:03:43.619 new approaches to human logic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:43.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.572$ religion sees which I think is a fitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:47.572 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.945$ legacy and this year's annual Frisbee

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:50.945 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.371$ lecture is no exception to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.994$ Impressive in August,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:03:55.994 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.608$ List of lecturers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}03{:}57.610 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}04{:}00.605$ Doctor Marcus musician was previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:00.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.231$ the chair of the Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}04{:}04.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.465$ Systems Biology and the Lee Professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:07.470 --> 00:04:10.926 Oh, at the City of Hope Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}04{:}10.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.540$ as well as the associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:13.540 --> 00:04:16.495 of Basic Science an we were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:16.495 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.704$ privileged in the fall of 2020 to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}04{:}19.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.590$ and recruit Doctor Mission to Yale.

 $00:04:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.122$ As our inaugural director for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:25.122 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.342$ Center of Molecular and Cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:27.342 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.054$ Oncology at the Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:30.054 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.696$ and Smilow Cancer Hospital as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:32.696 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.114$ as the author and Isabel Bunker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:35.120 --> 00:04:37.988 Professor of Medicine, focused in hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:37.990 --> 00:04:38.916 Doctor Mission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:38.916 --> 00:04:40.768 trained in hematology oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:40.770 --> 00:04:43.955 His work as his training was both

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:43.955 --> 00:04:47.597 in the biology of human like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:47.597 --> 00:04:49.777 put in season Immunobiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:04:49.780 --> 00:04:50.810 And frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}04{:}50.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.415$ over the past decade or really longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.390$ he has been a leading innovator

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:04:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.984$ in understanding the evolution of

 $00:04:59.984 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.120$ B cell malignancy's understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.790$ biology in terms of delivering

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:04.878 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.373$ new approaches to drug discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:07.373 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.870$ in these cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.384$ Ann and really now advancing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:05:11.384 --> 00:05:14.322 beyond in terms of the immunobiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:14.322 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.262$ immunotherapy that would be available

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:17.262 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.808$ for lymphomas and human logic legacies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:05:20.810 --> 00:05:21.968 Most notably narrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:05:21.968 --> 00:05:25.540 even in terms of novel car T therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}05{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.668$ and I think all of that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:27.668 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.527$ speaks to in many respects what's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:30.527 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.862$ relevant for the Frisby lectureship

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:32.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.420$ Marcus for his many accomplishments

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.432$ and impressive publication record

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:37.432 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.694$ has been received countless awards.

 $00{:}05{:}39.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.139$ I I wouldn't want to take too much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:43.139 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.319$ time annunciating all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.900$ but they include the NCI outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.760$ Investigator award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.910$ Howard, You scholar award, leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}05{:}50.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.850$ Lymphoma Society scholar award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.790$ Welcome Trust scholar award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.195$ Among many other awards that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}05{:}57.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.638$ recognize his accomplishment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:05:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.105$ an innovative and record of innovation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:06:02.105 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.899$ accomplishment across all of these cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}06{:}04.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.148$ So it's really a pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00:06:08.148 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.150$ Marcus and an in many respects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

 $00{:}06{:}11.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.206$ Welcome to the faculty of Ovvio

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953831

00:06:15.206 --> 00:06:17.499 Cancer Center. Orchis, thank you.

 $00:06:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.225$ Thank you, I'm not sharing my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:06:45.520 --> 00:06:48.425 So first of all, I would like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}06{:}48.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.251$ to thank the Frisby family and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:06:51.251 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.709$ Charlie an ad for me being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}06{:}54.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.608$ And since I came to your Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:06:57.608 --> 00:07:00.524 Center last for like I came to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:07:00.524 --> 00:07:02.900 experience that many of us who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:02.993 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.459$ are devoted to the cause of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}07{:}05.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.082$ Now titled can try to leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:08.082 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.410$ and leukemia and young tires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:07:10.410 --> 00:07:12.660 That legacy of Richard D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.144$ Frisbie has inspired many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}07{:}15.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.695$ and and today I would like to text

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:07:18.695 --> 00:07:21.395 opportunity to present a few new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:21.484 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.286$ findings from our lab that over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}07{:}24.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.330$ the past recent years have led to

 $00:07:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.060$ a new concept that I hope will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:30.153 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.569$ help us in the future to treat this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:33.569 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.560$ disease is more efficiently than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.264$ We were able to do in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.590$ And many of our try to leukemias are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.419$ actually derived from lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:44.419 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.247$ and is a leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.068$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:47.068 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.522$ represents the most frequent type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:07:49.522 --> 00:07:52.348 cancer in children and young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.522$ and one potential reason for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:54.522 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.293$ is that B cells during the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:07:57.293 --> 00:07:59.777 development have to go through a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:07:59.777 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.918$ series of genetic modifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:08:01.918 --> 00:08:04.114 in error combination class,

 $00:08:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.560$ switching hypermutation with the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.529$ goal for these cells protect us by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:08:09.530 --> 00:08:11.290 Generating Pi affinity antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.384$ and this is a cartoon here from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:14.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.307$ 1905 drawn by Powell, Ellie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:08:16.307 --> 00:08:19.086 She was sitting here in his office

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:19.086 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.645$ and for those reasons B cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:21.645 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.171$ are an extremely high risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}08{:}24.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.279$ malignant transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:08:26.280 --> 00:08:26.675 Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:26.675 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.835$ 500 times higher than any other somatic cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.598$ and for this reason and also becausw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.880$ humans can actually live without

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.600$ the lymphocytes for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.540$ And we developed a research program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.208$ that is centered on specific

 $00{:}08{:}43.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.874$ vulnerabilities of this very cell type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.436$ So as cancer researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:48.436 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.770$ we are always looking for vulnerabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.572$ and in this case we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:53.572 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.506$ for vulnerabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.625$ That are intrinsically encoded in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:56.625 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.268$ the nature of the sales making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:08:59.268 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.498$ antibodies and are selected and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:01.498 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.981$ ANVISA selection is a scene that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}09{:}03.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.477$ that that I hope I will be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.805$ to present to you on the occasion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:09.805 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.230$ of this lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}09{:}11.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.406$ And the reason is that just by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:09:14.406 --> 00:09:16.699 random nature of recombination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.520$ events of antibody encoding

00:09:18.520 --> 00:09:19.885 molecules vast majority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.626$ about 75% of oil newly generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:22.626 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.450$ visas are initially autoreactive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.805$ meaning that their directed against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:09:26.805 --> 00:09:30.089 himself and in these cells have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:09:30.089 --> 00:09:32.204 be removed from the repertoire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:09:32.210 --> 00:09:35.234 and this means they have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:09:35.234 --> 00:09:37.643 powerful mechanisms in place to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:37.643 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.213$ normal development to destroy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:40.213 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.060$ delete yourself from the repertoire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}09{:}43.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.080$ And although arching theme for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.380$ our research in recent years is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.265$ can we actually leverage these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:49.265 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.634$ mechanisms that are indeed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:51.634 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.709$ life and selection and development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:09:53.709 --> 00:09:55.781 of normally lymphocytes for the

00:09:55.781 --> 00:09:59.649 treatment of Pisa, leukemia and lymphoma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:09:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.376$ So in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:10:00.376 --> 00:10:00.739 Fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:00.739 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.917$ the principle of the cell selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:02.917 \dashrightarrow 00:10:05.993$ is driven by signals from the B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:05.993 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.270$ receptor or surface immunolabeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.266$ and we like to think of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:10:11.270 --> 00:10:14.040 Like I said, Goldilocks principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.692$ Because only if the signal strength

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

00:10:16.692 --> 00:10:19.290 that is elicited from this unit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.790$ here is just right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}10{:}20.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.208$ then this says receive a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00{:}10{:}23.208 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}25.430$ signal and proliferate and survive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.788$ and this is usually the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221974

 $00:10:27.788 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.360$ when we have a

 $00:10:29.457 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.657$ balance between activation signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}10{:}32.660 \to 00{:}10{:}35.980$ Namely, kinase and phosphatase is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.424$ That achieve this balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.030$ So if the signal is too weak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:10:40.030 --> 00:10:40.764 for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:10:40.764 --> 00:10:43.333 gives the first parties are just wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.530$ or the receptor itself is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.119$ functional and he says die by neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}10{:}48.120 --> 00{:}10{:}49.880$ Now we're focusing here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.170$ the other end of the scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.010$ where the signals overwhelmingly strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}10{:}54.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.439$ which is typically the case when this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:56.439 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.159$ receptor he is engaged by self antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:10:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.976$ meaning that these receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:00.976 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.338$ are out reactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.540$ And these cells could give rise to autoimmune

 $00:11:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.958$ disease and have to be eliminated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}11{:}07.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.384$ And so this principle is not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:12.384 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.600$ relevant to normal B cell evelopment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.735$ In this cartoon here from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:11:18.735 --> 00:11:20.016 a recent publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.631$ shows that in transformed B cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:11:22.631 --> 00:11:24.679 leukemia and lymphoma the signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:11:24.679 --> 00:11:26.829 pathway downstream of the beasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}11{:}26.829 \to 00{:}11{:}29.010$ are receptors engaged permutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.770$ Every step of the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:11:31.770 --> 00:11:34.498 And so today I would like to divide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}11{:}34.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.647$ my talk in three areas where we gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}11{:}37.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.587$ information of how we can leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:40.587 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.127$ selection for the rapeutic benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:11:43.130 --> 00:11:45.881 One comes from inside that we glean

 $00:11:45.881 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.570$ from mutations and deletions and visa

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}11{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.432$ humorists such as leukemia and lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.620$ Then inside some clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.590$ So we collaborate with large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.114$ clinical trial groups in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:11:58.114 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.050$ States and internationally and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.470$ look for predictors of clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}02.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.312$ Outcomes and what we can learn in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}05.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.970$ terms of the rapeutic targeting options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:12:07.970 --> 00:12:11.306 And then finally how these complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:12:11.306 --> 00:12:12.974 oncogenic signaling pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:12.974 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.805$ intersect and how we can leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:15.805 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.005$ these interactions again to undermine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}18.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.830$ on cogenic signaling in these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.758$ So in the first part I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:23.758 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.273$ talk about genetic lesions and what

00:12:26.273 --> 00:12:29.398 we have done here based on mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}29.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.344$ data from cosmic and other sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}32.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.065$ assembled a set of more than 5 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:12:36.065 --> 00:12:38.310 somatic mutations in 39 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:12:38.397 --> 00:12:41.316 types of cancer and look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:41.316 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.280$ mutations from the angle of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.990$ the mutation introduce a replacement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.862$ Or effect according capacity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:48.862 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.789$ gene or whether they are silent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}50.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.250$ meaning they are not selected for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:12:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.791$ And then in all these diseases we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}55.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.332$ rank the mutations based on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}12{:}58.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.168$ replacement over silent ratios.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.190$ And we do that in a way that ranks US

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:04.302 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.998$ based on the cell specific ratios.

00:13:08.000 --> 00:13:10.310 We end up with typically mutated genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}13{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.982$ and these are widely known in B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:12.982 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.256$ tumors like my D8820 and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.200$ But was interesting to us that here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.936$ at the top of the list they actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.215$ Molecules in the PS3 kindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:24.215 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.490$ pass visit our frequently mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:26.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.870$ throughout cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}13{:}27.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.245$ but are unexpectedly spirit were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:13:30.245 --> 00:13:33.300 exempted from in B cell tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}13{:}33.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.624$ and this activating mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:35.624 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.529$ of the PS3 kinase pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.558$ And P-10 and then ship one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:40.558 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.572$ night in inventory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:13:41.580 --> 00:13:43.276 Phosphatases in this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00{:}13{:}43.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.820$ And this is the catalytic subunit

 $00{:}13{:}45.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.910$ of peers with kindness itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.292$ So we studied this in multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:50.292 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.086$ different directions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.939$ but I would like to focus your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:53.939 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.514$ content because it was just such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:56.514 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.052$ a subset of striking example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

 $00:13:59.052 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.806$ then as we know for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:14:01.810 --> 00:14:03.795 pretend deletions and mutations widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:14:03.795 --> 00:14:05.780 occur throughout many cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480648

00:14:05.780 --> 00:14:09.344 but in 925 cases of B cell image leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.129$ we didn't find any of these mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.624$ Again, highlighting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}14{:}13.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.616$ specificity of this mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:14:15.620 --> 00:14:18.704 And pretending opposers peers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:18.704 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.788$ to kindness signaling by.

 $00:14:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.494$ I am targeting Pep 3 which is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}14{:}24.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.649$ central initiator of the PS3 kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:14:26.649 --> 00:14:28.761 signaling pathway and I will come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.895$ to that back later in my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.931$ And to study hyperactivation of the PS3

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:14:33.931 --> 00:14:36.409 kindness pathway by dilution of 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.466$ we develop the mouse model in our lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:39.466 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.076$ based on conditional deletion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}14{:}42.076 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}45.672$ P 10 gene in our leukemia model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:14:45.672 --> 00:14:48.710 unlike what we know and solid tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.426$ conditional deletion of P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:14:50.426 --> 00:14:53.930 10 result in Rapid City S of leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:53.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.298$ cells and if we change the sequence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:14:57.383 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.615$ 1st delete return a normal B cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:00.615 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.266$ then bring in transforming Uncle gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:03.266 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.561$ Then we basically compromise malignant

00:15:05.561 --> 00:15:07.549 transformation and then most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.674$ when we wait for leukemia to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:09.674 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.998$ establish and miles at bear these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:15:11.998 --> 00:15:14.500 tumors and then delete speech engine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:15:14.500 --> 00:15:17.937 this leads to remission and the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:17.937 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.829$ survive for indefinite periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.236$ We also confirmed that the biochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:23.236 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.202$ or the premise here is correct because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}15{:}26.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.782$ we actually do see increased activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}15{:}28.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.886$ increased output of the PSC kindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:15:31.886 --> 00:15:34.519 pathway by increased phosphorylation of AKT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:15:34.520 --> 00:15:35.696 And most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:35.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.440$ we used inhibitors that block the PS3

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:38.514 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.508$ kinase signaling pathways at multiple levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:15:41.510 --> 00:15:41.968 Here,

 $00:15:41.968 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.174$ activation of PS3 kinese bicec using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:45.174 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.377$ sick kinase inhibitor and it's platinum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.020$ BKM 120 is a pan PSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.788$ Kindness never turn easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:15:52.788 --> 00:15:56.383 D 53 E 63 inhibits AKT and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:56.383 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.933$ three of them have in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:15:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.788$ That's actually rescuer protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}16{:}00.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.560$ leukemia cells from sad as that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:03.633 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.538$ would otherwise be in use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

00:16:05.540 --> 00:16:08.180 Dapon deletion of the P-10 phosphatase?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00{:}16{:}08.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.640$ So this doesn't mean that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.280$ compounds are counterproductive in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:12.352 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.777$ leukemia because actually quite useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.712$ Our interpretation of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:16.712 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.644$ unexpected result is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.290$ I was introduction of those inhibitors

00:16:21.290 --> 00:16:23.050 restores signaling equilibrium again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.370$ the Goldilocks principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.130$ that these cells need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.909$ whereas deletion of the 10 alone here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:28.909 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.629$ introduce a drastic perturbation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:30.629 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.441$ which engages negative selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:32.441 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.710$ just as it does for the elimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061034

 $00:16:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.570$ of Hartree active users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00{:}16{:}40.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.840$ We are hopeful that these ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:16:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.540$ these concepts will eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:16:44.613 --> 00:16:46.737 make their way into the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:16:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.170$ and as an early indication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:16:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.569$ that might indeed be the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:16:51.570 --> 00:16:53.585 I'm showing you two promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:16:53.585 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.600$ preclinical results in our lab,

 $00:16:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.964$ both based on small molecule inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:16:57.964 --> 00:17:00.843 of these key fast watch cases, namely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:00.843 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.067$ ship one inhibited by 3A amino color stain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.168$ and then pretend which also is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.949$ Target if it was a small molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:10.949 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.873$ inhibitor and both of them have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:13.873 --> 00:17:16.073 desirable on target activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:16.073 --> 00:17:17.723 biochemically and importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.652$ their chief Disease Control or disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:20.652 --> 00:17:23.939 burden control for long periods of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.056$ and she's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:25.056 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.846$ extension or prolongation of overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:27.846 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.321$ survival of mice that bear patient arrives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00{:}17{:}31.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.810$ in a graphs from B cell image leukemia cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:35.810 --> 00:17:38.552 So we're hoping that this approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:38.552 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.210$ can be developed further in and

 $00:17:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.442$ that some of these compounds will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:43.442 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.128$ make it into the clinical arena.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.830$ Now the central premise of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.099$ idea is that this is a mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:52.100 --> 00:17:53.004 Negative selection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:53.004 --> 00:17:56.168 Removal of art reactive says that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

00:17:56.168 --> 00:17:58.203 uniquely important in B lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00:17:58.203 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.176$ and to test this premise we performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8028251

 $00{:}18{:}01.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.108$ a reprogramming experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:05.590 --> 00:18:09.325 And in which we should use the VPI flower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}18{:}09.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11.820$ just a transcription factor that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.480$ transform besides into macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.640$ And this is shown here besides expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.163$ 19 and this marker here is lost overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:20.163 --> 00:18:23.437 after induction and in favor of Mach one,

 $00:18:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.510$ which is a macrophage marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:25.510 --> 00:18:28.415 And indeed, after sometimes you say it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.842$ start to crawl around on the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:30.842 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.463$ LCS dishes and like macrophages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:33.463 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.973$ can even phagocytose and importantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:35.980 --> 00:18:37.990 Coming back to our hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.000$ if you genetically delete P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:40.000 --> 00:18:43.340 10, Even though genetically identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.273$ The reprogramming from B to Milo to be 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:47.280 --> 00:18:49.032 Macrophage fade almost entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}18{:}49.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.660$ removes the sensitivity of these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}18{:}51.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.848$ to removal of source phosphatases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}18{:}53.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.035$ which makes sense because fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:18:56.035 --> 00:18:57.783 macrophages don't make autoantibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:18:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.898$ there's no need for macrophages to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}19{:}00.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.488$ negatively selected as beast cells are.

 $00:19:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.642$ So this gives us confidence that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:19:06.642 --> 00:19:09.861 a real mechanism that is reflective of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:09.861 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.639$ the nature of the immune system to purge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.252$ Attractive sales and that is possible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:17.252 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.380$ selectively target this vulnerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.376$ in B cell tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.300$ Now in this work was done by Gen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.580$ John Shannon, our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.437$ and when he worried that this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:28.437 \dashrightarrow 00:19:30.185$ just counterintuitive because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:30.185 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.638$ essentially doing the opposite from what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:19:32.638 --> 00:19:34.885 everyone else is doing in this field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}19{:}34.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.080$ namely by instead of inhibiting kinases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}19{:}37.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.536$ VR Pro activating kinases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:19:38.536 --> 00:19:39.628 But most importantly,

 $00:19:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.808$ what was worried about what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:41.808 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.041$ if he hyper activate kinases for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:44.041 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.465$ long periods of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.025$ because that in itself could be dangerous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.814$ so he did an experiment to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:50.814 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.757$ what is the shortest period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}19{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.970$ Home to commit Visa is to say this and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:19:57.048 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.180$ did this with an engineered hyperactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.971$ Formosa sick kinase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:01.971 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.359$ Labeled here as GFP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}20{:}04.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.628$ If you bring in this hyper active

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:20:06.628 --> 00:20:09.260 kinase in the presence of stickiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}20{:}09.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.145$ inhibitors of GFP labeled cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:11.145 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.899$ remain constant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.408$ 'cause there's no hyperactivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:13.408 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.539$ of the pathway.

00:20:14.540 --> 00:20:14.917 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:14.917 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.179$ if we wash out the inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:20:17.179 --> 00:20:19.048 cells as expected, rapidly die,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:19.048 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.262$ and he found that if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:21.262 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.589$ a lapse of just three hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.187$ so removal of simulator for three hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:26.187 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.836$ and then adding it right back that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:28.836 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.500$ already is sufficient to commit the sales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.916$ that will be our goal going forward too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.564$ Target is short.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}20{:}36.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.756$ Strong exposure to hyperactivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:38.756 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.145$ probably was click or only dated compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}20{:}42.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.110$ that have a short plasma half life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:20:45.110 --> 00:20:48.414 Now in the second part of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:20:48.420 --> 00:20:51.183 I'm going to give you 2 examples of how

 $00:20:51.183 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.131$ we can learn from information within

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:20:54.131 --> 00:20:56.691 clinical trials and gene expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:20:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.612$ annotation related to outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:20:58.612 --> 00:21:01.480 So what approach is based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:01.566 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.076$ microarray data that we obtain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.380$ Collaboration was a clinical study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.608$ Groups and much of this works

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:21:09.608 --> 00:21:11.222 also publicly available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.218$ And for each of these micro area

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:13.218 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.200$ probe sets that measure expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00{:}21{:}15.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.799$ of individual transcripts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.780$ we divide the patient courts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.281$ the two groups based on higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

00:21:21.281 --> 00:21:23.146 median versus lower than median

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:23.146 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.328$ expression in these clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.550$ And then we asked the question,

 $00:21:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.336$ is there a difference between those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:30.336 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.049$ groups in terms of clinical outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.416$ And if the outcome is more favor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7699414

 $00:21:35.416 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.430$ we have here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00:21:36.507 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.251$ a blue annotation and its outcome is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

00:21:39.251 --> 00:21:41.144 more poor, shorter overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00:21:41.144 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.535$ For instance, we have a red annotation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00:21:43.535 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.459$ and if the group this heat map based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00{:}21{:}46.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.835$ on the site specific annotations we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

00:21:48.835 --> 00:21:51.832 come up with a list of genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

00:21:51.832 --> 00:21:54.124 became interesting to us and he at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00:21:54.124 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.784$ the very top is 1 molecule that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00{:}21{:}56.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.486$ going to spend the next couple minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

00:21:59.486 --> 00:22:02.120 on the L2 receptor Alpha chain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061022

 $00:22:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.588$ also known as C25.

 $00:22:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.800$ So that was a bit unexpected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.608$ Becausw CD 25 is known as one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}22{:}11.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.577$ the three chains of the L2 receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.836$ Anna typically pairs with the better

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:16.836 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.961$ chain in the gamma chain to form a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}22{:}19.961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.452$ trimeric receptor, and this was step

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:22.452 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.019$ was active on T cells and in cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:22:26.020 --> 00:22:28.280 And it's also important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.010$ formation of regulatory T cells or T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.674$ Rex, and therefore therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:22:32.674 --> 00:22:34.338 important to prevent autoimmunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.490$ Again, important to be self selection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.598$ And here I'm showing you that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.736$ Then if you look at individual visa diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:22:44.740 --> 00:22:46.812 pediatric B cell, leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:22:46.812 --> 00:22:48.884 CLL, Podiatry, pizza, leukemia,

 $00:22:48.884 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.438$ mantle cell lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.015$ we see consistent pattern that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:22:53.015 --> 00:22:55.590 the lower half of expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:55.678 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.208$ is related to better outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:22:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.500$ The top half towards outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.636$ The other reason we became interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:23:03.636 --> 00:23:06.498 is that if you bring in Uncle Gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.601$ said drive, leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}07.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.430$ lymphoma like these are able or LMP 2A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.130$ This leads to upregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.680$ of C25 on the cell surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}14.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.216$ And also it seems to play a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}17.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.360$ in resale developmented save.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}19.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.910$ So he profile here see 25 M on A levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.058$ Over the course of the sale

00:23:26.058 --> 00:23:28.263 evelopment we find here is striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}28.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.720$ peak and the so called Faction D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.623$ And that's interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:23:31.623 --> 00:23:34.040 because if you look at C25 knockout mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.890$ which we did in our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.900$ Compare this to the wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.032$ animals and look at these fractions

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:41.032 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.186$ we see here is fraction D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.518$ But distractions entirely missing in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:45.518 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.327$ knockout mice and can also see this here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.160$ This is a defect here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:23:50.160 --> 00:23:53.096 We still don't know what this actually means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:23:53.100 --> 00:23:55.816 but we also find that later in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}23{:}55.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.038$ development he says actually are

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:23:58.038 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.478$ over represented in fraction F.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.261$ So our initial hypothesis was this might

 $00:24:05.261 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.834$ reflect previously unrecognized role

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:07.834 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.210$ of IL two signaling in B cells and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:24:11.210 --> 00:24:12.072 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:12.072 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.658$ so we repeated this experiment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:24:14.658 --> 00:24:17.227 mice that have intact City 25,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.810$ but are lacking the L2 cytokine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:24:19.810 --> 00:24:22.390 But contrary to our hypothesis fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.934$ D&F are just fine and be so developmen

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:25.934 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.117$ is completely unperturbed in these mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:24:29.120 --> 00:24:31.556 And I'll see you in this proximity

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:31.556 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.252$ ligation analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.216$ we find that C25 does actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:34.216 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.637$ not bind to any of those other

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}24{:}36.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.066$ change of the L2 receptor and does

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:39.145 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.990$ not respond to iron tools.

 $00:24:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.078$ So it's not true that C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:24:43.080 --> 00:24:46.064 25 is in any way related to I

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:46.064 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.510$ L2 signaling and visas instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.639$ We found in our proximity ligation assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.460$ said City 25 associate itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:24:54.460 --> 00:24:56.842 With a signal image chain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:56.842 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.430$ the B cell receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:24:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.602$ which again is responsible for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}25{:}00.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.542$ Goldilocks principle to keep intact

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:02.542 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.254$ and equilibrium and intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}25{:}04.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.966$ ram of signaling intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}25{:}05.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.721$ And that's the case in resting B

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}25{:}08.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.498$ cells where prices are even more so

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:11.498 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.252$ the case after the visa receptor was

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:14.252 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.087$ stimulated with an anti IG M antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:25:17.090 --> 00:25:20.266 This is actually ongoing work in our lab,

 $00:25:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.202$ by Jay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:21.202 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.532$ wrongly with a research scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:23.532 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.930$ in my group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

00:25:24.930 --> 00:25:27.906 And what he found is actually their CIA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.510$ 25 negatively regulates B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.980$ activation and in the absence of CD 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00:25:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.528$ Miles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77276397

 $00{:}25{:}34.528 {\: -->\:} 00{:}25{:}36.720$ develop spontaneous germinal center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:25:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.450$ so even without any immunization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}25{:}39.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.190$ these B cells are autoreactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}25{:}42.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.374$ Their escape negative selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:25:44.374 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.558$ and therefore more attractive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}25{:}46.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.615$ spontaneous germinal centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:25:48.615 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.355$ that are antigen independent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:25:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.692$ The other observation here was that if

00:25:54.692 --> 00:25:58.220 Jerome deleted 325 in human lymphoma sales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}25{:}58.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.670$ they undergo a particular pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.630$ of autonomous calcium signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.590$ They have autonomous activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:26:04.590 --> 00:26:07.530 Do sales are proliferating very fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.940$ but also for short half life and I quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.390$ which is reflected here by

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:26:14.390 --> 00:26:16.840 expression of PG restore parenting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.370$ These cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.410$ Just easily exhausted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.680$ in that competitive fitness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.558$ so we confirmed this here in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:26.558 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.900$ leukemia model whereby we transformed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.524$ 25 mouse cells with the flux see 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:33.524 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.541$ every year and then after activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}26{:}36.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.201$ of query is illusia CD 25 expression

 $00:26:40.201 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.995$ on the surface and then soon after

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}26{:}43.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.484$ this says disappear from culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:46.484 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.760$ They failed to form any colonies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:26:49.843 --> 00:26:52.413 cannot initiate leukemia and mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:52.413 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.538$ that bears also leukemias recover and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:55.538 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.364$ survive for indefinite periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.240$ Now, Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:26:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.415$ and that's coming back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}27{:}01.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.720$ signaling feedback control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.910$ we found that upon collisional

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.032$ City 25 in a similar way like

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:08.032 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.546$ deletion of P-10 and ship one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.470$ we see that the balance of ether

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:27:14.470 --> 00:27:16.150 receptor signaling strength.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.784$ It's lost cause we have hyper

 $00:27:18.784 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.540$ activation of kinase substrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}27{:}20.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.920$ downstream of the visa receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.078$ including sick and then loss of phosphatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:27.078 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.630$ activity markers for P-10 and ship one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.635$ So we think those phenomena

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:27:32.635 --> 00:27:34.239 might actually be related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.400$ but CD 25 plays a role in maintaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.514$ the Goldilocks principle by

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}27{:}39.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.998$ regulating kinases and phosphatases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:27:42.000 --> 00:27:42.336 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:42.336 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.680$ how is this possible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.956$ So the tail of CD 25 is very short

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:46.956 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.497$ here and it's just 13 amino acids and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.000$ So we looked at what City 25 might bind to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:27:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.556$ How does it interact with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}27{:}57.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00.086$ cytoplasmic tail and as a negative

 $00:28:00.086 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.306$ control and using this for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}28{:}02.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.899$ lot of different experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.450$ we introduce a mutation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.150$ central Seren residue which

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}28{:}09.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.649$ destroys the main protein kinase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:28:11.650 --> 00:28:13.618 He better consensus motive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:13.618 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.698$ And here we are using a bio ID

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:28:17.698 --> 00:28:20.770 approach which is based on fusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.916$ between the CD 25 tail and puree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}28{:}23.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.800$ which is a bacterial biotin ligase

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}28{:}26.800 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.788$ which attaches bio tends to approximate

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:29.788 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.700$ protein space on the mound and the proximity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}28{:}33.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.688$ And I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:34.688 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.676$ as expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:35.676 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.146$ we found that two phosphatases

 $00:28:38.146 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.528$ ship one and PTPN 6 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.085$ Are in proximity of the tail of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:43.085 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.147$ CD 25 and this is not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.750$ The tail here is mutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.774$ And this is also confirmed here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:51.774 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.017$ a more traditional experiment based

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:28:54.017 --> 00:28:57.041 on pull down and quiet peace or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:28:57.041 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.915$ ship 1P-10 and PTPN 6 can or bind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:28:59.920 --> 00:29:02.842 But binding this weekend or entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:02.842 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.298$ lost when the stay here is mutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.280$ So in terms of function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.688$ this could be confirmed that indeed see

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:10.688 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.160$ 25 functioned as a powerful negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00{:}29{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.988$ regulator of signaling strength.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.360$ So if he abusively activate while

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.130$ types unify, we can block the kite.

 $00:29:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.105$ Some signal here that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

00:29:22.105 --> 00:29:23.290 otherwise be elicited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.265$ So he expresses the 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:25.265 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.240$ and it's wild type form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.110$ The signal is delayed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80370665

 $00:29:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.980$ almost entirely lost if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:29:31.053 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.370$ express the mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}29{:}32.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.740$ This depression can still be seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:29:34.740 --> 00:29:37.205 but it's much less compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:29:37.205 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.670$ to the wild type form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:29:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.466$ And then in terms of leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:29:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.260$ survival and growth be used here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:29:45.260 --> 00:29:46.658 cameras between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:29:46.658 --> 00:29:48.988 extracellular part of CD 19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:29:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.122$ which is a B cell specific

00:29:52.122 --> 00:29:54.698 transmembrane protein and tale of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}29{:}54.698 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}29{:}57.456$ 225 users via type or is mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:29:57.456 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.260$ and wild type form can rescue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.565$ Survival of leukemia cells but

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}02.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.548$ seven 268 a mutant cannots again

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:05.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.503$ showing that ability to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:30:08.503 --> 00:30:10.276 phosphatases to negatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:10.276 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.107$ regulate signaling is important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:13.107 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.802$ survival of these leukemia cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.648$ So we have modeled the interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}18.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.444$ between these molecules and came up

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:21.444 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.904$ with the structural model for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}23.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.168$ which has rank one PKC better

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:27.168 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.340$ scaffold at the center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:30:29.340 --> 00:30:31.550 Wrapped around by PKC better

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.876$ and this interaction,

 $00:30:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.802$ he has facilitated by the C25

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:35.802 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.300$ tear which insert itself here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.506$ So overall we think that he says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.910$ Activate CD 25 downstream of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}43.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.083$ visa receptor via sick because

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:46.083 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.568$ he better phosphorylation of C25,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:30:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.552$ which then forms a complex with Raekwon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}51.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.740$ to recruit first parties here to surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}54.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57.085$ Which then again provide negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}30{:}57.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.014$ feedback control so it's like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:00.014 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.050$ circle that goes back to maintain

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}31{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.568$ equilibrium Goldilocks principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}31{:}04.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.329$ again for the survival of the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.170$ So our conclusion is that we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.209$ negative selection can be leveraged indeed.

 $00:31:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.250$ For potential therapeutic benefits of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:31:17.250 --> 00:31:20.136 He said leukemia and lymphoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:20.136 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.060$ avoided because of phosphatases

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:22.144 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.464$ hyperactivation of sick or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.204$ interference proceeding 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.050$ Feedback control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.990$ So the goal here would be to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}31{:}29.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.686$ Push says that I had the upper limit

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:31:32.686 --> 00:31:35.756 there or it transformed the after a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:35.756 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.076$ powerful activation signal over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:38.156 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.030$ edge by removing feedback control and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}31{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.434$ balance which will trigger negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}31{:}43.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.822$ selection of what looks like at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}31{:}46.822 \to 00{:}31{:}50.718$ level of signaling autoreactive B cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685 00:31:50.720 --> 00:31:51.428 Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:51.428 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.260$ Coming back to our.

00:31:54.260 --> 00:31:57.860 Database which we find us a very rich

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:31:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.918$ resource for new ideas and concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.364$ You found another interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:02.364 --> 00:32:04.169 outcome predictor that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:04.169 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.196$ like to introduce to you with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:06.200 --> 00:32:08.642 It's a recent publication that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:08.642 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.189$ came out a couple weeks ago and that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:12.189 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.188$ focused here on a molecule called IIT M3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:15.190 --> 00:32:18.054 And it's interfering inducible

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:18.054 --> 00:32:19.486 transmembrane protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}32{:}19.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.550$ And as I showed you for C25

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.830$ to smaller cure is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}32{:}25.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.370$ An outcome predictor in various.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:28.370 --> 00:32:30.900 He said leukemia and lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.572$ subtypes and it's known for long time

00:32:34.572 --> 00:32:37.716 initially was found as a specifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}32{:}37.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.808$ molecules for primordial germ cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.766$ And then more recently it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:42.766 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.074$ found as an antiviral protein that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:45.074 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.950$ can restrict viral replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.186$ He is shown HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}32{:}48.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.541$ but more recent data shows it also

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:50.541 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.691$ important for the restriction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:52.691 --> 00:32:55.020 coronavirus and many other viruses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:32:55.020 --> 00:32:57.812 and what was important to us is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:32:57.812 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.221$ is actually used as a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:33:00.221 --> 00:33:02.669 tool for pediatric leukemia to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:33:02.742 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.998$ patients that are at high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00{:}33{:}05.000 \to 00{:}33{:}08.546$ So it's one probe set on a low density

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:33:08.546 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.075$ array to identify patients at high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:33:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.270$ So we started the function of

 $00:33:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.845$ items three in a genetic mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:33:16.845 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.909$ model and found actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

00:33:18.910 --> 00:33:20.395 Happy says surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:33:20.395 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.870$ that are lacking this interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80648685

 $00:33:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.860$ inducible transmembrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:23.921 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.974$ protein. I have a defect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

00:33:25.974 --> 00:33:27.929 in PS3 kind of signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.585$ And they are prone to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:29.585 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.470$ cell death as shown by P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}33{:}31.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.417$ 53 activation and loss of PCL too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.580$ And importantly, these cells actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.740$ cannot be properly activated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

00:33:38.806 --> 00:33:40.558 undergo affinity maturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.187$ So here is PNA is a German center marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:44.187 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.106$ which is a throwback for affinity maturation.

 $00:33:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.936$ In in in, in visa here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}33{:}50.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.636$ So if he smiles are immunized and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:54.636 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.789$ nicely form germinal centres but if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:33:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.160$ He says that by Adoptively

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.530$ transferred are lacking item 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.230$ The amount of general centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.553$ or German centre visa is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:06.553 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.209$ subsequent affinity maturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.938$ Is this drastically reduced?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.635$ And the same is true in leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}34{:}13.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.328$ so I have items with efficient leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.358$ Says cannot form colonies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}34{:}18.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.400$ If Transformers disable or N Ross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.980$ There also lacks ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.165$ to initiate leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.942$ and those two models.

 $00:34:25.942 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.394$ And they have a similar phenotype as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:29.394 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.226$ showed you in normally says in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:32.315 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.375$ lack of peers with kindness signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.624$ Survival and strong expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}34{:}38.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.679$ of death related or checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:42.679 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.850$ related molecules like P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

00:34:45.850 --> 00:34:48.615 So in terms of structure and mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.620$ we were able to figure out how I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}34{:}51.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.168$ item three is regulated in Indy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.410$ Lymphocytes is actually very short

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

00:34:56.410 --> 00:34:59.465 protein or 433 amino acids in length

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:34:59.465 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.034$ and we found that it can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:02.034 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.688$ insert itself into the cell membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.630$ And this happens when I'm downstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.661$ Also visa receptor.

00:35:09.661 --> 00:35:10.338 Lynn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

00:35:10.338 --> 00:35:13.723 another sack family kinases phosphorylate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:13.730 \dashrightarrow 00:35:16.145$ I've item three at this tyrosine 20s

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}35{:}16.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.310$ that's really a central tiersen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.220$ which leads to recruitment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.366$ the cell membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.998$ and then it can easily interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}35{:}23.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.902$ with the visa receptor or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}35{:}26.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.507$ becomes internalised again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.128$ So for this reason we studied this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:31.128 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.476$ mutation here and actually found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:33.476 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.150$ it can function as an Uncle gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}35{:}36.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.733$ So when we introduce us for cinematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:38.733 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.745$ form of white 20 which mimics the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:41.745 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.481$ confirmation that is always was formulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.565$ Into a mouse strain that

00:35:46.565 --> 00:35:48.225 carries transgenic BCR ABL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}35{:}48.230 \to 00{:}35{:}52.318$ which has a very long latency to disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.906$ We actually found that this leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:35:54.906 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.370$ to increased formation of colonies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}35{:}57.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.140$ Increased PS3 kinase activity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.910$ also increased activity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

00:36:03.006 --> 00:36:05.778 visa receptor signaling pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.240$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.533$ And so structurally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:09.533 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.119$ we could show the poisoner interactome

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:12.119 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.581$ analysis that this form of items

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}36{:}14.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.546$ three intersects with multiple central

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}36{:}16.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.927$ components of both the PS3 kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:18.927 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.855$ and visa receptor signaling pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:20.855 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.855$ And there's also shown here by these red

00:36:23.855 --> 00:36:26.600 dots in this proximity ligation assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}36{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.436$ where if I can three molecules come

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:30.436 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.360$ in close proximity. And he says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.622$ Now the structural basis for data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:36.622 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.361$ set and that was very surprising to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:39.361 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.049$ us that I've item 3 can directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:42.134 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.088$ bind to PIP 3 which is initiating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:45.088 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.630$ phospholipid and lipid rafts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}36{:}47.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.170$ initiate PS3 kinase signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.702$ And that is unexpected because this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}36{:}52.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.148$ interaction is usually mediated by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:36:55.148 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.224$ so-called pH domain in larger proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}36{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.110$ But I've item three is such a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:37:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.599$ party in that has no resemblance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00:37:02.599 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.279$ of the pH domain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}37{:}04.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.600$ so we were looking here for and you

 $00:37:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.833$ structure basis of houses interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7593378

 $00{:}37{:}09.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.906$ could happen in the absence of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:12.995 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.285$ pH domain. And we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:16.285 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.265$ conserved intracellular loop of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}37{:}18.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.299$ five items free that that is used

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:21.299 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.239$ to insert into the cell membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.775$ and in doing so we found a cluster of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}37{:}29.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.923$ Five basic amino acids and of particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:37:32.923 --> 00:37:35.676 interest is this bracket here would

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:35.676 \dashrightarrow 00:37:38.763$ call it between lysing 83 and license

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:38.847 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.549$ 104 and even though they are 21

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:41.549 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.811$ amino acids apart from each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:37:43.811 --> 00:37:46.013 they come very close here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:37:46.013 --> 00:37:47.880 the structure analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.604$ and they're basically former

 $00:37:49.604 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.759$ clamp to directly interact here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}37{:}51.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.920$ With this pit three molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.128$ So by mutation analysis we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:37:58.128 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.306$ show that the whites are morally cure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.710$ Was this bracket of lice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:38:03.710 --> 00:38:06.000 83 and license 104 intact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.884$ Is a powerful initiator of PSV kindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:08.884 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.740$ and peace a receptor signaling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:38:11.740 --> 00:38:13.900 But when these two amino acids

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.798$ here are mutated through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:38:15.798 --> 00:38:17.366 brackets along the active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.140$ the entire Lee loses ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.880$ So that's something that became

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}38{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.047$ really interested in that we hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:24.047 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.872$ to pursue further in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:25.872 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.332$ with our colleagues at.

 $00:38:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.654$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:27.654 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.852$ like a new way of how proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:29.852 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.081$ can make contact with Pepsi to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:38:32.081 --> 00:38:33.996 initiate PSV kind of signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:33.996 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.336$ in normal and Uncle Genic Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.672$ Transform B says so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:37.672 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.670$ How model is that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:39.749 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.377$ absence of five items,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.028$ we and normal cells also modeling and says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}38{:}45.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.340$ The molecules that initiate PSU

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}38{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.650$ kind of signaling are scattered

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}38{:}49.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.847$ throughout the cell membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.966$ Only five items,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:52.966 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.570$ three is there acting as a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:38:55.643 \dashrightarrow 00:38:58.198$ glues are drawn together and form a

00:38:58.198 --> 00:39:00.699 tight complex to initiate signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:00.700 \dashrightarrow 00:39:05.120$ I'm not coming to the last part of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.888$ which was quite surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:06.888 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.540$ to some of us and Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.627$ Looking for ways to translate that knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.840$ Looking for houses can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00{:}39{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.724$ exploited the rapeutically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.034$ but it essentially starts from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:18.034 \dashrightarrow 00:39:21.029$ question of how do oncogenic pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

 $00:39:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.798$ once activated by mutations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83150226

00:39:22.798 --> 00:39:25.008 How do they interact and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00{:}39{:}27.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.078$ Becoming. Part of an orchestrated move

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:30.078 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.420$ that is to malignant transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.108$ And this idea is based on a concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:37.108 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.961$ that was formulated and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:39.961 \dashrightarrow 00:39:43.597$ time ago by fear and Vogelstein.

00:39:43.600 --> 00:39:46.400 Here's Arconic concept of Mikey

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.640$ step malignant transformation by

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00{:}39{:}48.640 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}39{:}50.754$ sequential acquisition of Driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

00:39:50.754 --> 00:39:53.790 Uncle Gene set together, then form

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.465$ the development of colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:39:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.000$ So the question here is, is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.550$ Same in visa is do we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00{:}40{:}02.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.804$ Is it true that acquisition of addition

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:04.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.300$ mutations lead to more malignant phenotypes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.525$ And how do these oncogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

00:40:09.525 --> 00:40:11.750 pathways interact with each other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.400$ So to answer this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.902$ we formed a collaboration with Children

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00{:}40{:}15.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.950$ psychology Group and Saint Jude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82570585090909100:40:17.950 --> 00:40:20.380 And studied.

 $00:40:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.795$ Mutation data from one 1148

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

00:40:23.795 --> 00:40:27.210 cases of ecel image PLA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.962$ And what we did first was what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00{:}40{:}29.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.769$ would call a mapping analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:32.769 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.319$ affinity versus repulsion of pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.460$ So basically asking the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.616$ So activating lesions in one pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091 00:40:40.620 --> 00:40:41.648 Are they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825705850909091

 $00:40:41.648 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.246$ Do they have affinity to activation of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:40:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.520$ Hidden in a different pathway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}40{:}49.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.012$ Or is there like relationships of mutual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:40:52.012 --> 00:40:54.497 exclusivity and we found a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:40:54.497 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.770$ interactions that we are still working on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:40:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.450$ But one was really striking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:40:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.608$ us and it's an interaction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:00.608 --> 00:41:02.556 repulsion of mutual exclusivity,

 $00:41:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.726$ as shown here in this cartoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:04.730 --> 00:41:08.097 And that involves a stat 5 pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:08.100 --> 00:41:11.396 The Jack Stat 5 Path pathway and IIRC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:11.396 --> 00:41:14.600 home up kinase signaling pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.816$ And here I'm showing you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:17.816 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.250$ result based on these 1148 cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:21.250 --> 00:41:23.810 Many of them have shown here in Green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:23.810 --> 00:41:25.886 Spot 5 activating lesions forming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:25.886 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.050$ one large cluster up here and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.400$ Here's another cluster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:30.400 --> 00:41:33.550 but these are activating lesions and only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:33.621 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.456$ in 35 cases which is just feed the spend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}41{:}36.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38.800$ We found activation of both pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.248$ which which is much lower than than random.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.056$ Also, when we look at individual

 $00:41:45.056 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.152$ cases and look at phosphorylation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:47.152 --> 00:41:49.636 Erk or phosphorylation of stat five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.503$ we have a clear cut negative or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:52.503 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.077$ inverse relationship between them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:41:54.077 --> 00:41:56.534 and you can also see here I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:56.603 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.811$ level off Western blot that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:41:58.811 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.882$ you have either force relation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:00.882 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.954$ step file for false for work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:02.954 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.806$ this leads to different profiles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:04.806 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.050$ in terms of correct sensitivity so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:07.116 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.166$ traumatic Nip is American emitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.330$ It was in the herb signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.260$ pathway that effects on these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}42{:}13.260 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.806$ Leukemias hear worse porn atnip effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:42:17.806 --> 00:42:18.272 mainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:42:18.272 --> 00:42:21.068 the stat 5 signaling pathway which

 $00:42:21.068 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.609$ is affecting those leukemias here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.770$ And I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.486$ So we became interested in this small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:42:28.486 --> 00:42:31.388 minority of cases in which we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:42:31.388 --> 00:42:33.884 indication of activation of both pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.920$ even though they seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.138$ be mutually exclusive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.356$ So wanted to know who they occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:40.356 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.629$ the same say or how does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.660$ And to answer this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:42:45.660 --> 00:42:48.220 or we developed in our lap a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.899$ self also protein analysis that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}42{:}50.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53.354$ us to interrogate her phosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:42:53.354 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.337$ of STAT 5 and phosphorylation of Erk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:42:56.340 --> 00:42:58.372 Concurrently in single cells,

 $00:42:58.372 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.480$ and this is here based on the gel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.854$ matrix where we can deposit 6400 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}43{:}05.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.010$ single cells and then look at STAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:43:09.010 --> 00:43:11.685 5 and workforce relations events

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:11.685 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.750$ individually and this year or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.589$ four patient arrived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.050$ Cases where we looked at individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.612$ sales and were then actually able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}43{:}21.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.156$ to determine that even though for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:24.156 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.278$ all those four cases we get to dual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}43{:}27.278 --> 00{:}43{:}29.652$ signal by Western blot, if he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:29.652 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.844$ Use our single cell for supporting analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.314$ We see that these are actually two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}43{:}35.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.073$ competing clones, 1 colonial start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:43:37.073 --> 00:43:38.768 five Zelda clone, here's org,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:38.768 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.780$ and that goes for all four cases and we

00:43:41.780 --> 00:43:44.114 don't see any double expressing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.255$ So our conclusion is at least are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:46.255 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.339$ actually rare by colonial diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.682$ in which two clones are competing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:43:51.682 --> 00:43:53.353 against each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:43:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.753$ Then we asked what is the reason for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:43:56.760 --> 00:43:59.028 So what is the underlying mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:43:59.028 --> 00:44:01.716 that these two pathways just can't go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:44:01.716 --> 00:44:03.936 together and to address this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:44:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.532$ we actually voiced it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}44{:}05.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.920$ The alternative pathway on the leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

00:44:07.991 --> 00:44:10.364 said are driven by the other pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00{:}44{:}10.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.394$ meaning that here is a visa able or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212341

 $00:44:13.394 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.290$ start five driven leukemia then was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.282$ And Ross, when Ross driven leukemia was VCR

 $00:44:19.282 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.257$ able and use different models for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.534$ And here, this colony forming assay

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:24.534 --> 00:44:27.420 shows if you have one single driver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.410$ either in the rason start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:29.410 --> 00:44:30.994 Five pathways is dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:30.994 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.578$ increases number of colonies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.956$ but if we have posed together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.415$ we basically lose or colony

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:37.415 --> 00:44:38.397 formation capability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:38.400 --> 00:44:41.286 The same holds true for growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.664$ so single driver nicely lead to outgrows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:44.670 --> 00:44:48.280 but combination of Bosa suppressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.716$ What was really surprising to us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:50.720 --> 00:44:51.412 This actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:44:51.412 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.834$ that if we use genetic ablation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:53.834 --> 00:44:56.398 the diverging or alternative pathway,

 $00:44:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.020$ even though we basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:44:58.020 --> 00:45:00.045 remove an Uncle Genic driver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.080$ this actually Slack celebration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.110$ leukemia initiation in this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.086$ So in this case we have here a

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:07.086 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.952$ visa able or start five driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:09.952 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.270$ leukemia and we remove perk. This.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}45{:}14.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.430$ Accelerates development of leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}45{:}16.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.670$ Likewise in a chaos driven leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.950$ Removal of stat 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:45:22.950 --> 00:45:25.794 Come initiates faster development

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:45:25.794 --> 00:45:28.638 of looking more Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.408$ And biochemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}45{:}29.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.096$ we were able to recover too late.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.790$ This was small molecule inhibitors

 $00:45:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.480$ that Rametta Nathan MacKinnon bitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.343$ Distinguishes her kindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:39.343 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.206$ activity as expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.320$ But it also induces phosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.432$ of STAT 5, and rocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}45{:}45.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.116$ Litten appears opposite effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.232$ It distinguishes start 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:49.232 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.344$ but increases per activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}45{:}51.350 --> 00{:}45{:}53.950$ And so to end here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.070$ this final chapter of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}45{:}57.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.595$ we came across agonists that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:45:59.595 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.615$ we use for pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:01.615 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.828$ reactivation of diverging pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.990$ So in this case BC I hear this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.430$ I 215 is so powerful activator, IIRC agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.730$ Strongly activates falsework at the

00:46:15.730 --> 00:46:19.818 expense of start 5 and then DPH here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}46{:}19.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.725$ Is the stat 5 agonist drive start

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:46:22.725 --> 00:46:24.848 five phosphorylation but at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:24.848 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.254$ expense of work and this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:27.254 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.320$ interesting activity on the clonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.702$ dynamics of these leukemias in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:46:31.710 --> 00:46:34.990 So start five leukemia can be converted into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:46:34.990 --> 00:46:37.040 IIRC, leukemia, and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:46:37.040 --> 00:46:39.090 if you combine these pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.730$ agonist was conventional treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:46:40.730 --> 00:46:41.951 So, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:46:41.951 --> 00:46:43.986 here on Earth inhibitor with

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:43.986 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.649$ a Step 5 agonist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.658$ we achieve a dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:47.658 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.666$ prolongation of overall survival.

 $00:46:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.600$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}46{:}51.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.037$ The final conclusion here is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:55.037 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.178$ propose that diversity of signaling input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:46:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.765$ Is actually an important barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:00.765 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.833$ of malignant transformation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}47{:}02.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.292$ centralization and convergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:04.292 --> 00:47:06.036 onto one single pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.568$ Inactivation of all the other pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}47{:}09.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.030$ Is an early and critical step

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:12.030 --> 00:47:13.260 of malignant transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.260$ and if we achieve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.260$ To reinstate at the very signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:18.357 --> 00:47:19.490 environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.835$ That would resemble the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:21.835 --> 00:47:25.143 of normal cells were sent by him

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}47{:}25.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.358$ and which have multiple receptors.

 $00:47:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.680$ Multiple cues from the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00{:}47{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.045$ So we're proposing a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:32.045 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.464$ of pharmacological reactivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:33.464 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.221$ that would restore their diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:36.221 --> 00:47:37.379 signaling environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.924$ And we hope that this approach can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:39.924 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.040$ be leveraged to overcome convention

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:42.040 --> 00:47:43.928 mechanisms of black resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.240$ So here we have passed their

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.010$ convergence minimal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.314$ What we called friction and permissive

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:49.314 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.466$ environment for transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

00:47:50.470 --> 00:47:53.165 But here if you have divergent pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.928$ we actually do have some friction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:47:55.928 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.009$ create a non permissive environment.

 $00:47:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.899$ And with that I would like to thank a

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:48:01.899 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.409$ number of collaborators at a dinner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:48:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.080$ Farber at yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:48:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.840$ And would like to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.65469474

 $00:48:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.080$ particular 2 senior members of my lap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76657015

00:48:10.080 --> 00:48:11.740 Linda Shannon and Jerome Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76657015

00:48:11.740 --> 00:48:13.738 who did most of the conceptual

NOTE Confidence: 0.76657015

 $00:48:13.738 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.070$ innovation of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76657015

 $00{:}48{:}15.070 --> 00{:}48{:}16.398$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:48:19.490 --> 00:48:22.034 Marcus that's amazing. Collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:22.034 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.570$ of studies and it is remarkable how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:26.675 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.225$ complex and somewhat almost counter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:30.225 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.357$ intuitive alot of these pathways are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:34.357 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.647$ in B cells and be some legacies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:37.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.468$ And it's obviously a delicate balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.732$ And let me ask you, 'cause you?

00:48:41.732 --> 00:48:43.988 You've identified a number of pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.615$ That I guess are principally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:48:46.615 --> 00:48:49.240 designed for B cell elimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.276$ That you could leverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:48:51.276 --> 00:48:53.312 How would you potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:53.312 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.140$ target those pathways?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:48:55.140 --> 00:48:57.108 Or could you target those pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:48:57.108 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.901$ in conjunction with the growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:48:58.901 --> 00:49:00.173 armamentarium of available

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:49:00.173 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.869$ therapies for be similar?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:49:01.870 --> 00:49:04.120 Concedes that are now in practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

 $00:49:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.239$ Or could you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229462

00:49:05.239 --> 00:49:07.104 could you leverage that combination?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:49:09.170 --> 00:49:11.318 Right in terms of potential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:11.318 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.830$ translation and how we would leverage

 $00:49:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.846$ hyperactivation of Visa receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:15.846 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.600$ signaling to engage negative selection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.290$ and I think we have two options, one is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:49:24.290 --> 00:49:26.660 Already available, but less attractive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.882$ that would be April inhibition or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:49:29.882 --> 00:49:32.930 phosphatases like Ship One and P-10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.972$ I'm attractive cause in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:35.972 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.625$ phosphatase innovation raises a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00{:}49{:}38.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.809$ of questions about specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:49:40.810 --> 00:49:43.288 An alternative approach is one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00{:}49{:}43.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.705$ Mark Lemon and I have discussed and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:49:46.705 --> 00:49:49.719 would be to generate a direct agonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:49:49.719 --> 00:49:52.568 of picky kinase like sick or BDK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00{:}49{:}52.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.530$ Something fairly upstream in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.898$ visa receptor signaling cascade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:49:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.068$ So actually yesterday.

 $00:50:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.311$ I was at at Mark CBI weekly meeting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00{:}50{:}03.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05.457$ and there was some encouraging feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:50:05.457 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.870$ that that might actually be feasable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:50:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.131$ So that's an approach that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:50:10.131 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.156$ definitely like to pursue in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

 $00:50:12.156 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.130$ future to develop a direct hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.86497533

00:50:14.130 --> 00:50:16.298 agonist or one of these key kindness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

 $00:50:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.860$ Excellent, thank you so and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

 $00{:}50{:}20.860 \to 00{:}50{:}23.481$ people should feel free to submit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

00:50:23.481 --> 00:50:26.253 questions on the on the chat box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

 $00:50:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.360$ Dying cross as a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

 $00:50:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.704$ I don't know if you can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

00:50:31.710 --> 00:50:33.800 Marcus, I'll just read it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

00:50:33.800 --> 00:50:35.750 PLA suggested that CD 25 C

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

 $00:50:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.050$ 79 eight colocalization is

00:50:37.112 --> 00:50:38.830 predominantly intracellular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305846

 $00:50:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.770$ What do you think that this indicates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:50:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.728$ Great questions so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:50:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.270$ We have done an experiment with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:50:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.049$ WGA, which is a surface marker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:50:53.049 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.207$ we we actually find colocalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:50:55.207 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.426$ of about 60 to 70% of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}50{:}58.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.474$ interactions with the CD79A and B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}51{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.556$ So I don't think it's predominantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.212$ but the question remains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}51{:}05.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.690$ there's still a significant amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:07.758 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.250$ interactions that are was in the sale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

00:51:10.250 --> 00:51:11.774 And you thought, indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:11.774 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.298$ that is very strange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

00:51:13.300 --> 00:51:16.114 because why would the visa receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:16.114 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.798$ be internalized or somewhat was in

 $00:51:18.798 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.976$ this area associated with CD 25?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.018$ And so we can answer this fully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.771$ But in light of the recent study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}51{:}26.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.885$ by whose daughter was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:28.885 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.020$ in nature two years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.449$ where he actually shows that in malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:33.449 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.547$ lymphoma B cell receptor signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:35.547 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.027$ complexes form of endosomal membranes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.212$ his Tinder sale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

00:51:39.212 --> 00:51:41.182 We think that exact same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

00:51:41.182 --> 00:51:42.939 thing might happen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

00:51:42.940 --> 00:51:44.048 You don't know that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}51{:}44.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.450$ but that's that would be our explanation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}51{:}46.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.358$ so I don't think it's predominant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00:51:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.383$ But I agree with Diane that let

 $00:51:50.383 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.870$ me see intracellular complexes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182225

 $00{:}51{:}51.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54.110$ and we think they're an endo zones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

 $00:51:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.556$ Thank you and Diana's second question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

 $00:51:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.302$ which is CD 25 seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

 $00:52:00.302 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.216$ prevent autoreactivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

 $00:52:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.800$ Do you think this is related

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

 $00:52:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.820$ to CD-25 CD 79 interaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

00:52:06.820 --> 00:52:11.128 Does it? Does C25 interact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82619333

 $00{:}52{:}11.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.750$ surface immuno globulin's? I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.610$ So. That's actually a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

00:52:16.610 --> 00:52:18.860 that I asked Eric Metra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.695$ who's my collaborator in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

00:52:20.695 --> 00:52:22.163 field of autoimmune diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.378$ so Eric told us at 3:25,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.744$ and that's known by work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:26.744 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.390$ from his group and also others.

 $00:52:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.690$ It is crucial to maintain

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.990$ central visa tolerance so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:34.074 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.539$ molecule is not there anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.050$ Then central tolerance mechanisms don't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

00:52:40.050 --> 00:52:41.815 I think our signaling studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:41.815 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.970$ just about to clarify how this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

00:52:43.970 --> 00:52:44.325 Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

00:52:44.325 --> 00:52:46.810 you know what this mechanism of that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.426$ I think the link or how C25 interferes

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:49.426 \dashrightarrow 00:52:51.539$ with Visa receptor signaling is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00{:}52{:}51.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.650$ known in our paper is not published yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.380$ so we're still working on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.786$ Honey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00{:}52{:}57.786 --> 00{:}52{:}59.816$ And I I do think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00:52:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.037$ actually,

 $00:53:00.037 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.122$ that it doesn't act in

NOTE Confidence: 0.826858489

 $00{:}53{:}01.122 --> 00{:}53{:}02.280$ service in the global India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:05.370 --> 00:53:06.798 And again, people should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

 $00{:}53{:}06.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.226$ submit their questions online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:08.230 --> 00:53:10.702 Marcus, I mean, could you ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:10.702 --> 00:53:13.649 conceive of you know you identify a?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:13.650 --> 00:53:15.672 Be so malignancy that's driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:15.672 --> 00:53:17.679 jackstadt and you would give them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

 $00:53:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.330$ IIRC activator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:18.330 --> 00:53:20.930 You know I obviously we focus on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

 $00{:}53{:}20.996 \to 00{:}53{:}23.060$ to inhibit the pathway in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

 $00:53:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.075$ but is that something you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513638

00:53:25.075 --> 00:53:26.750 conceive as a therapeutic approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390908

00:53:28.770 --> 00:53:31.098 If I may quote back on my slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390908

 $00{:}53{:}31.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.720$ because there's one that I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390908

 $00:53:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.269$ to show you. This is study.

 $00:53:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.461$ Yeah, this study heals. Come by Veronica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5958561

 $00:53:40.461 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.540$ Sex is group so that did you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6687811

 $00:53:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.640$ want to share your slide or? Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767513

 $00:53:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.327$ I'm going to show this slide again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:53:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.777$ OK, so I I hope I'm I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:53:56.777 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.560$ got the question correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

00:53:58.560 --> 00:54:01.917 This is what I I would like to refer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.200$ It's a study by the only car sex is cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

00:54:07.200 --> 00:54:10.640 That it's a trial for patients was NPN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.360$ and they received rocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

00:54:12.360 --> 00:54:14.110 Luton Airport, just Jack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.225$ Start fires inhibitor over long periods of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:17.225 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.589$ time is actually going opposite direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.254$ so they found that these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:23.254 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.150$ developed in 6% of nine patients out

00:54:26.150 --> 00:54:29.852 of 157 was NP ND well developed hybrid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:29.852 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.262$ diesel lymphoma that were driven with Keras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.910$ And that's a 15 fold increase risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.773$ So what they said in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:40.773 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.448$ is that actually find one reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

00:54:43.450 --> 00:54:47.286 Population of the Start 5 pathway enables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

00:54:47.290 --> 00:54:48.905 The transformation of the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:48.905 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.903$ malignant B cell tumor that carries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00{:}54{:}50.903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.493$ the Chaos Legion that essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:52.493 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.128$ what we did in our genetic experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00{:}54{:}55.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.640$ So I think it can cut both ways so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:54:57.709 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.421$ can be beneficial if he find ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:55:00.421 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.935$ leverage this activity to completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:55:02.935 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.699$ suppress oncogenic signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

00:55:04.700 --> 00:55:07.028 But like Veronicas NPN study shows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00{:}55{:}07.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.403$ it can also go in your opposite

 $00:55:09.403 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.826$ direction if you try to achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00{:}55{:}11.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.036$ long-term suppression of 1 pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8439994

 $00:55:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.368$ you might inadvertently activate the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375848

 $00:55:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.986$ So it's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375848

 $00:55:18.986 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.182$ 'cause obviously if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375848

00:55:20.182 --> 00:55:21.778 going to use ruxolitinib you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375848

00:55:21.780 --> 00:55:23.616 it's context may be very specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375848

 $00{:}55{:}23.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.076$ I mean, I know it's a small proportion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8375848

 $00:55:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.916$ but that's a pretty heart risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:55:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.868$ Just waiting to see if any other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

00:55:38.870 --> 00:55:40.418 Well, I think we're you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:55:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.219$ really, at the top of the hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00{:}55{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44.443$ so you know, want to thank Mark is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:55:44.443 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.676$ it really is an extraordinary talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

00:55:46.680 --> 00:55:48.580 That's creating so much insight

 $00:55:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.980$ into the biology of AB cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:55:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.326$ Both respect to cancer and autoimmunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

00:55:53.330 --> 00:55:54.738 Wanna thank Doctor, Snyder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

00:55:54.738 --> 00:55:56.850 and Snyder for sort of share

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:55:56.922 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.187$ continuing to lead this lectureship?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:55:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.815$ And I want to thank the Frisbees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00{:}56{:}01.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.768$ for their continued support of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00:56:03.768 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.018$ our Cancer Center and the mission

NOTE Confidence: 0.8601446

 $00{:}56{:}06.018 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}56{:}08.578$ and the support of this lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91440165

 $00:56:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.812$ I wish you all a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.91440165

 $00{:}56{:}12.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.640$ day and happy New year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895135683333333

00:56:17.160 --> 00:56:18.558 Thank you. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.90354365

 $00:56:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.620$ you, thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90354365

00:56:20.620 --> 00:56:21.940 Thank you Marcus. Take care.