WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:57:58.3360000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.465$ Substituting today very happy to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.449$ So we have two talks today and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:06.449 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.605$ it should be a very interesting hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00{:}00{:}09.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.075$ Our first talk will be by Anne Chang and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:13.075 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.134$ actually ends very much in the news today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.524$ Congratulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:16.524 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.596$ And so an is associate Professor of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

00:00:19.600 --> 00:00:21.892 medical oncology and Deputy Chief medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:21.892 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.855$ Officer and Chief Integration Officer

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:23.855 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.740$ Officer for Smile Cancer hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.136$ As of about 3 hours ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.532$ She specializes in thoracic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:30.532 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.272$ oncology with a background in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:32.272 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.849$ translational research and metastases,

 $00:00:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.789$ and a clinical focus has been built

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:35.789 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.019$ has been to build an amazing small

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:38.019 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.568$ cell lung cancer program here with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:40.568 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.452$ comprehensive portfolio of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:42.452 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.336$ trials testing novel the rapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.476$ Her research interests focus on focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:46.476 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.726$ and development of clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:48.726 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.641$ translational studies to test novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:50.641 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.522$ agents and combinations with immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:00:52.522 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.192$ checkpoint inhibitors for both small

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00{:}00{:}54.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.908$ cell and non small cell lung tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00{:}00{:}57.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.136$ Over now nine years an she's helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:00.136 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.219$ to build our smiling network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.509$ which I think will hear about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:04.509 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.958$ and overseas operations quality

 $00:01:05.958 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.598$ efforts in clinical research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.731$ adult care centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

00:01:08.731 --> 00:01:10.993 She's a particular focus in quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:10.993 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.496$ measurement and improvement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

00:01:12.496 --> 00:01:14.626 his work to achieve ASCO copy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

00:01:14.626 --> 00:01:16.217 certification for the entire smell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00{:}01{:}16.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.294$ Academic clinical practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:17.294 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.368$ of actually received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00{:}01{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.170$ Actually, the Joe Simone Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

00:01:20.170 --> 00:01:22.676 just recently in Q just passed away

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

00:01:22.676 --> 00:01:25.548 last week, but a big honor for Masco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.944$ so an it's a pleasure to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:27.944 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.278$ you here for our first talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.192$ Transformation in quality building

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414

 $00:01:32.192 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.626$ a cancer network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80177414 00:01:33.630 --> 00:01:34.100 and.

00:01:35.280 --> 00:01:37.476 Thanks Roy, it's really a pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:01:37.476 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.651$ to be able to talk to you today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:01:40.651 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.686$ and thanks for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:01:42.690 --> 00:01:44.868 I was trying to decide between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:01:44.868 --> 00:01:46.980 lung cancer and the network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:01:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.906$ but because of the timing of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:01:49.906 --> 00:01:51.933 announcement this morning and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:01:51.933 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.355$ thought that it would be nice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}01{:}54.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.730$ highlight the work that has gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}01{:}56.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.680$ into building a cancer network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:01:58.680 --> 00:02:00.630 So that's what I'm focusing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.290$ but I did put in a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.758$ of slides with my trials so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.488$ I suck that in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:02:08.490 --> 00:02:13.834 OK, so I'm sharing my screen my disclosures.

 $00:02:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.570$ And this is for four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:02:15.570 --> 00:02:16.949 If you recognize it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.705$ it's South Ferry terminal farmers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:18.705 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.147$ market in San Francisco and it's amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:02:21.147 --> 00:02:23.324 place because the quality of the food

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}02{:}23.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.597$ and the shops are really outstanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.586$ I had the best Peach I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:27.586 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.410$ ever had in my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}02{:}29.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.995$ The atmosphere is buzzing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:30.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.220$ the shops are full of colorful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}02{:}33.220 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.434$ beautiful produce as far as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:35.434 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.340$ can see and when I was here which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.763$ was pre covid the last time it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:40.763 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.968$ seemed to me that this was more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:42.968 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.944$ than a farmers market where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:44.944 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.632$ Each farmer sets up their shop

 $00{:}02{:}47.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.458$ individually next to each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:49.460 \dashrightarrow 00:02:51.818$ but somehow there was a transformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:51.818 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.892$ of the individual stands into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:53.892 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.640$ a different collective entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.464$ real community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:02:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.760$ and so in the same way that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:02:59.760 --> 00:03:02.592 the same way I want to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}03{:}02.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.119$ cancer or cancer network today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.190$ there really has been a trend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.186$ over the past 10 years of academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:10.186 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.741$ institutions or other entities buying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:12.741 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.018$ up practices or putting up their names on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.802$ Affiliated practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:03:16.802 --> 00:03:19.930 but what I wanted to focus on is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:03:20.003 --> 00:03:22.635 how to how I think we've built

00:03:22.635 --> 00:03:24.420 a real transformative network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}03{:}24.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.826$ a community that is better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:26.826 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.035$ the individual units where cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.685$ delivery is really somehow transformed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:03:31.690 --> 00:03:34.108 And so you know, this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.630$ let's go to transformation theory too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.369$ as a guiding principle for this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.579$ As it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}03{:}40.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.997$ Carter said transformation is a process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.032$ not an event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}03{:}44.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.440$ And you start with a sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}03{:}46.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.116$ urgency form a coalition and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:49.116 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.490$ create and share that vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.714$ You empower others to act on that vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:03:54.720 --> 00:03:57.138 You plan, create short term wins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.352$ consolidate those advances,

00:03:58.352 --> 00:03:59.968 and hardwire new systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:03:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.490$ And I think the bottom line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.860$ Here is that quality improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.645$ methodology is really been the basis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:07.645 --> 00:04:10.349 of my job in the network and formed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:10.349 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.915$ the foundation of building our vision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:12.915 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.456$ over the past almost 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}04{:}15.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.832$ So the objectives for today's talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:17.832 --> 00:04:20.510 really are two number one provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:20.510 --> 00:04:21.926 network development, overview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}04{:}21.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.814$ describe quality concepts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:23.814 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.663$ metrics and network development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:25.663 --> 00:04:27.859 to discuss ways to further the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:27.859 --> 00:04:29.729 research mission in the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.350$ And then finally recognize the

 $00:04:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.446$ benefits of expanded community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:34.450 --> 00:04:38.118 So this is how it all began.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.520$ We we when I started my job this was in 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.056$ There was no network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:44.056 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.256$ We had hired anybody but we did have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

00:04:47.256 --> 00:04:50.512 Yale Smilow state of the art disease based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.120$ patient centered clinical operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.720$ We had outstanding faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.194$ staff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:54.194 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.668$ trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:54.668 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.564$ cutting edge clinical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00{:}04{:}56.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.460$ resources and across organizational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:04:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.375$ commitment for expansion and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8790028

 $00:05:00.375 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.230$ a powerful coalition of folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:05:02.291 --> 00:05:03.716 to carry out that vision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}05{:}03.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.755$ which was really to provide

 $00:05:05.755 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.790$ comprehensive care to all patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}05{:}07.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.569$ Close to home in Connecticut and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:05:10.569 --> 00:05:13.182 provide a platform for Yale Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:13.182 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.876$ Research and expand access to trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.538$ So this is really the result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.210$ 9 1/2 years later the this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.778$ This is our clinical footprint in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:23.778 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.090$ Connecticut and in Rhode Island.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.482$ This stars are where our our care centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:29.482 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.062$ are and we do provide care to patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:33.062 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.299$ within 30 minutes of where they live.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.190$ In Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.325$ We provide care to about 45% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:40.325 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.650$ newly diagnosed cancer patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.888$ Connecticut and we also provide about or.

 $00{:}05{:}45.890 \rightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.271$ We accrue about 25% of of the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:05:49.271 --> 00:05:51.030 clinical trial enrollments as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.500$ as Roy mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.950$ we have achieved ASCO quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.856$ oncology certification throughout our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:56.856 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.424$ entire network in the main campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:05:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.531$ This is away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:00.531 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.100$ This is actually the only way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}06{:}03.184 \to 00{:}06{:}05.500$ certify ambulatory practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:06:05.500 --> 00:06:09.703 Many of you are familiar with the AC OS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}06{:}09.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.218$ which certifies cancer programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.276$ In all of the physicians are what YM?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:06:18.280 --> 00:06:20.570 With the exception of Hartford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}06{:}20.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.850$ where the physicians are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:06:22.850 --> 00:06:24.218 Saint Francis employed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.461$ but do have a faculty appointments and

 $00:06:27.461 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.986$ are a stipend from from Yale and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:30.986 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.729$ of the staff are smilow employed or least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.447$ and we do have cross system policies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:38.447 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.080$ and procedures and quality initiatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.328$ This is a timeline where I started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:44.328 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.495$ here in in in 2011 and and we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:47.495 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.316$ not have a network and then over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:50.316 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.269$ the course of the next 9 1/2 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}06{:}53.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.406$ We we brought in a practices and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:06:56.406 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.610$ in in the in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}06{:}58.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.634$ In doing so really had the opportunity to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}07{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.238$ Have multiple PDF a cycles in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}07{:}06.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.152$ terms of improving our process and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:09.152 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.897$ improving our in perfecting our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:11.897 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.999$ onboarding process and now as I said

 $00:07:14.999 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.496$ we have 15 locations in two states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:07:17.496 --> 00:07:21.064 We have all we have almost 50 MD's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.790$ 16 aips and over 400 staff we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.413$ over 9000 new patients 100 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:07:26.413 --> 00:07:28.743 25,000 treatment visits yearly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:07:28.743 --> 00:07:31.938 the contribution margin on an annual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:31.938 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.583$ basis is greater than 110,000,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}07{:}34.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.054$ So I think that this is really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}07{:}38.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.962$ successful and I'm going to talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:07:40.962 --> 00:07:43.494 little bit about the onboarding practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}07{:}43.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.710$ the onboarding process first, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.434$ This is where we really utilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.250$ integration and transformative change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}07{:}51.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.780$ strategies to engage the stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.130$ The physicians that the staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:07:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.420$ in the practice, and we.

 $00:07:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.500$ There's at the bottom line is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.140$ there's really no shortcut here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:08:04.140 --> 00:08:06.960 It really is hard work having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.840$ regular meetings on transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:08:08.925 --> 00:08:11.200 issues such as epic pharmacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.346$ workflow changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:12.346 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.211$ and those transitioned into practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}08{:}15.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.940$ meetings which were very useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.918$ On an ongoing are useful on an ongoing basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.566$ We developed a formal onboarding curriculum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.910$ and this utilized leaders and peers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}08{:}26.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.554$ is faculty and it really included

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}08{:}29.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.074$ the Smilow vision and structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}08{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.968$ Faculty roles and expectations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:33.968 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.021$ What does it mean now to be part of

00:08:38.021 --> 00:08:40.928 Yale and Smilow? How does quality work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00{:}08{:}40.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.580$ How does the research apparatus work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.790$ Who are the dart leaders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.210$ What is that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:08:48.210 --> 00:08:52.889 What is what are academic mentors and and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

 $00:08:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.644$ So going through that that curriculum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576237

00:08:55.644 --> 00:08:57.480 also involving team building

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00:08:57.551 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.663$ and leadership training and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00{:}08{:}59.663 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}01.247$ including our multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00:09:01.247 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.020$ members not only met Aachen Heme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00{:}09{:}04.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.115$ but our surgical colleagues are rat out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00:09:08.115 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.858$ colleagues pain and palliative care so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00:09:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.488$ Um? Be the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00{:}09{:}16.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.842$ Either or the next part of quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

00:09:18.842 --> 00:09:20.640 improvement is really measurement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165863

 $00:09:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.024$ and this is a graphic of the really PDS.

 $00{:}09{:}24.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.920$ A cycle you have multiple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:09:28.260 --> 00:09:29.872 You know, iterations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:09:29.872 --> 00:09:31.887 how do you improve care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:09:31.890 --> 00:09:33.900 but measurement is really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:09:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.833$ and so we started measuring at baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:09:36.833 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.047$ because we wanted to make sure that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:09:40.047 --> 00:09:42.370 could measure progress and not just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:09:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.586$ you know, stick a sign on the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:09:45.590 --> 00:09:48.033 That said, Yale Smilow and this paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:09:48.033 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.073$ published in 2018 really showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:09:50.073 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.839$ improvements in multiple domains of quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:09:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.452$ including volume, clinical integration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}09{:}54.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.583$ quality metrics, and patient satisfaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}09{:}56.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.050$ I'm going to show you. Few of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.570$ At some of that data now.

 $00:10:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.120$ So in annual visits for chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}10{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.243$ this you can see the main campus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:08.243 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.344$ in blue over the years and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:10:11.350 --> 00:10:13.140 When the Kirsten's Care Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.572$ started in in 2012,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:10:14.580 --> 00:10:17.429 you could see the growth has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:10:17.429 --> 00:10:19.709 been significant and we do give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:19.709 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.998$ now more chemo in our care centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}10{:}22.075 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}24.037$ closer to home for our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:24.037 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.398$ than we do in the main campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}10{:}28.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.392$ In terms of standardization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:30.392 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.886$ we utilized coping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.454$ Measurement Copy is a program through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:10:35.454 --> 00:10:38.505 ASCO called quality Oncology Practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:38.505 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.430$ Initiative and it consists of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:10:41.430 --> 00:10:45.110 Go up to 90 metrics of of oncology

 $00:10:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.990$ of ambulatory oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}10{:}46.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.415$ quality that have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}10{:}49.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.355$ national iyanar consensus based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:51.355 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.058$ and evidence based when available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:10:54.060 --> 00:10:59.030 so we had a measurement at baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:10:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.970$ Representing the practices before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.743$ joined and then this is four years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:11:03.743 --> 00:11:06.035 later we looked at the significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.217$ differences and saw that really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:08.217 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.245$ only changes were in the positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}10.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.847$ These are actually shown here and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:12.847 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.617$ so this was really important to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}15.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.400$ because I wanted to make sure again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:11:18.400 --> 00:11:22.114 it wasn't just putting in a sign on the door,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:22.114 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.978$ but that we were actually using.

 $00:11:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.172$ National consensus based metrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}28.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.460$ to show that we were improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:11:31.553 --> 00:11:33.729 quality for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.570$ We also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:11:34.570 --> 00:11:37.090 as Roy mentioned at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

00:11:37.090 --> 00:11:39.190 did copy certification across our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}39.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.290$ network and the academic campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.810$ This is similar to the ACOs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.650$ certification there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.611$ 26 standards and you really have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}47.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.557$ show in document that the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}50.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.731$ from soup to nuts of chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:53.731 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.669$ administration and policies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00{:}11{:}55.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.749$ procedures are in place that show us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:11:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.347$ That are up to snuff for ASCO

NOTE Confidence: 0.8692515

 $00:12:01.347 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.100$ certification and we re certified in 2019.

 $00:12:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.040$ Um, regarding clinical integration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.040$ I'll just show you the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.800$ And this represents cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}12{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.560$ President care Center cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.839$ presented at smilow tumor boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.414$ We have 13 disease specific multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:12:20.414 --> 00:12:23.480 D tumor boards and we've asked all

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.006$ of our physicians to present one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:12:26.006 --> 00:12:28.862 to two cases a month understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:28.862 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.136$ that we can't present all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}12{:}32.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.884$ But really, those complex cases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}12{:}34.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.438$ need that multi D discussion needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:38.438 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.947$ presented and so you can see in 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:41.947 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.282$ This was difficult because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:12:44.282 --> 00:12:46.150 logistics around dialing in,

 $00:12:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.494$ which is so easy now by assume it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}12{:}49.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.440$ But at that time was very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.860$ Getting the path reviewed, getting the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.305$ Radiology diagnostic imaging to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:12:58.305 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.555$ available that was really hard at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:01.555 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.082$ time and now I think we've received

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:04.082 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.858$ we've we've sort of the steady state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.548$ is right around 500.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:08.548 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.236$ That's the case also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:13:10.240 --> 00:13:12.360 Sorry, this is 2018-2019, 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.470$ right around the 500 mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}13{:}14.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.640$ which I think represents sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.176$ of the steady state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.700$ Um, with respect to customer service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.330$ Those of you who have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:13:26.330 --> 00:13:27.084 You know,

00:13:27.084 --> 00:13:29.723 pay attention to press ganey know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:29.723 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.538$ we always cluster in this 90s area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:13:32.540 --> 00:13:35.636 It's very difficult to make any changes here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:13:35.640 --> 00:13:38.216 but if you look at again baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:38.216 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.599$ press ganey scores for practices that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}13{:}40.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.005$ we acquired and six years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.618$ you can see that in all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}13{:}45.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.450$ cases we actually stayed the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

00:13:48.450 --> 00:13:50.430 We're actually improved with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00{:}13{:}50.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.099$ exception here is is this the site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.422$ but in some cases really significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547464

 $00:13:55.422 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.583$ improved patient satisfaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00{:}13{:}59.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.915$ Um? This highlights some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:01.915 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.087$ the innovative projects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:04.087 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.830$ we've taken on in the network.

 $00{:}14{:}06.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.294$ This was a pilot with Asko Asko

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:14:09.294 --> 00:14:11.745 has a quality training program that

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:11.745 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.811$ is 6 months and has three didactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:14.894 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.756$ sessions and and a very aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:17.756 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.135$ curriculum every two weeks around

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:14:20.135 --> 00:14:22.460 process mapping and barrier analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.240$ and and ultimately multiple PDS a cycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.592$ In this case, we had a team from

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:28.592 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.156$ every care center at the time in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.316$ 17 and we did it again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00{:}14{:}35.320 \to 00{:}14{:}37.960$ 2018 which were inter disciplinary team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:14:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.590$ So we had doctors partnering

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:14:40.590 --> 00:14:42.694 with nurses or nutrition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:14:42.700 --> 00:14:46.220 or and in one case in MA Pharmacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:14:46.220 --> 00:14:48.440 to conduct quality improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00{:}14{:}48.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.780$ projects and all of those groups were

 $00:14:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.398$ able to complete at least one PDS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:14:56.400 --> 00:15:00.616 a cycle over two years or each year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:15:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.580$ each year getting through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:15:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.520$ Ipedia say cycle and this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:15:05.614 --> 00:15:08.719 ultimately resulted in nine story

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:15:08.719 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.203$ in five national presentations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:15:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.026$ and one of those projects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00{:}15{:}15.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.891$ Jane Kanowitz lead in water Ferd

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:15:18.891 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.084$ in in 2018 is actually the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

 $00:15:23.084 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.497$ center quality goal for 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783603353333334

00:15:26.500 --> 00:15:27.190 Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:15:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.380$ I think many of the participants in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:15:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.494$ these projects were really energized

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:15:34.494 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.606$ by the teams and the and what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:15:37.687 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.359$ learned around quality improvement.

 $00:15:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.635$ And one question was how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:15:42.635 --> 00:15:44.910 do we sustain that gain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:15:44.910 --> 00:15:48.263 You know, I think that it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:15:48.263 --> 00:15:51.127 those it's important to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:15:51.127 --> 00:15:54.293 use those tools in Q I2 to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:15:54.293 --> 00:15:56.958 care and recognize opportunities to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00{:}15{:}56.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.009$ reuse them to face challenges and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:16:00.009 --> 00:16:02.907 And this is actually what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00{:}16{:}02.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.547$ doing now in the ambulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:16:05.547 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.137$ transformation Work group we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00{:}16{:}08.140 --> 00{:}16{:}10.588$ A number of groups that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:16:10.588 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.214$ really using the same Qi tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:16:13.214 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.474$ and change tools in lockstep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:16:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.470$ Action across multiple teams to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:16:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.016$ respond to the pandemic and work

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:16:20.016 --> 00:16:21.960 towards transformation of care,

 $00{:}16{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.040$ whether it's relocation of sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00{:}16{:}24.040 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}26.720$ or rapid uptake of Tele health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:16:26.720 --> 00:16:28.013 Or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:16:28.013 --> 00:16:30.168 developing and disseminating best practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00{:}16{:}30.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.586$ I think that this this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:16:33.586 --> 00:16:36.279 same process as the ASCO Smiler

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

 $00:16:36.279 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.895$ pilot but now applied to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85358703

00:16:38.900 --> 00:16:39.630 Immediate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69316995

 $00:16:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.330$ Covid pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:16:46.340 --> 00:16:49.028 Now that we're in, so you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:16:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.159$ I think you'll hear more about these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:16:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.400$ The work these groups are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}16{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.640$ doing over the coming months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:16:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.148$ and I think it's been really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.385$ So now I'm going to turn to clinical

00:17:04.385 --> 00:17:06.810 research, and as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:06.810 \dashrightarrow 00:17:10.002$ we have about 25% of our YCC the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:10.002 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.058$ enrollments from the care centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.250$ This is also a process that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.909$ needed to be built and hardwired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.930$ This is back in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.031$ We had three cooperative group trials open

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:22.031 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.786$ and we had to figure out how to do ESO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}17{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.394$ Peas, around drug shipment and lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:28.394 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.178$ processing and training the staff and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}17{:}31.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.903$ The docs to do trials where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:33.903 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.228$ hadn't done that before and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}17{:}36.228 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}17{:}38.727$ well as the Pisa trials to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:38.816 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.041$ sure that they were comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:41.041 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.718$ and had oversight of the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:43.718 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.406$ Overtime we developed a monthly clinical

00:17:46.406 --> 00:17:48.931 research working group that has research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:48.931 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.169$ champions from each site that meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:51.169 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.348$ monthly and vote on their portfolio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.305$ The disease team leaders come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:17:55.305 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.260$ to those meetings and present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:17:57.332 --> 00:17:59.387 their portfolio and new trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:17:59.390 --> 00:18:03.358 and I think that this process is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.000$ Grown obviously here in the next slide you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:07.000 \dashrightarrow 00:18:10.842$ can see that the accrual per the yearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:10.842 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.469$ accrual by sight and the highest sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:18:14.470 --> 00:18:16.398 Saint Francis Northaven Trumbull,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:18:16.398 --> 00:18:16.880 Fairfield.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}18{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.000$ I think one of the things we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.368$ is that they need to have or they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:25.368 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.827$ a ACRSL lab which allows all protocols.

 $00:18:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.411$ No, let's which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:31.411 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.682$ Means that there's no limit on protocols that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:35.682 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.546$ they can open due to lab processing times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.350$ Certainly the 2020 accrual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.600$ was affected by Covid Inc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.632$ In our in 2018 we had 224 this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}18{:}47.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.496$ If you take out the months that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:18:50.496 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.000$ we had covid and we had very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}18{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.762$ accrual prior and and have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:18:56.762 --> 00:19:00.143 picked up now we would have certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}19{:}00.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.298$ hit 200 between 200 and 220.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.808$ If not for the for covid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.318$ so we activated a new site

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:09.318 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.990$ this year in Westerly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:19:10.990 --> 00:19:15.070 They are now treating some of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:15.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.690$ who were started in Waterford and.

00:19:18.690 --> 00:19:19.037 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00{:}19{:}19.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.119$ in Rhode Island and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:19:21.119 --> 00:19:23.179 see it actually in Waterford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.050$ which opened three years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:19:25.050 --> 00:19:26.542 There's been really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:26.542 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.407$ accrual growth there as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.744$ so I think that there's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:30.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.331$ a lot of potential here if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:33.331 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.518$ next slide shows you the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

00:19:35.518 --> 00:19:37.388 yearly accrual by the DART.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.903$ So which kinds of trials are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:39.903 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.198$ having the best accrual breast in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512973

 $00:19:42.198 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.865$ GI have are certainly at the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:19:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.180$ The long and heme are not far behind as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:19:50.180 --> 00:19:53.329 well as T rad ngu that are increasing,

00:19:53.330 --> 00:19:55.920 so I think again, there's a tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:19:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.219$ potential here in the care centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:19:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.260$ and as we have all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.366$ Our docs aligning with disease teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.586$ I think this will just increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:05.586 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.220$ in the future. Um, this is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:20:10.220 --> 00:20:12.240 I will quote Kurt Sabbath,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}20{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.372$ who is one of our care center docs who

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}20{:}15.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.899$ helped to lead research initially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:20:17.900 --> 00:20:21.700 Now now hand it over to Neil Fishback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.372$ Kurt always said that he felt that clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:20:24.372 --> 00:20:26.560 research is synonymous with quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.056$ quality care because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:28.056 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.300$ so many eyes on the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.796$ There's so much emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:31.796 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.918$ on important communication,

00:20:32.920 --> 00:20:34.039 shared decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}20{:}34.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.904$ and that really epitomizes quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:20:35.910 --> 00:20:36.888 In our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:36.888 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.844$ the vision had always been to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:38.844 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.903$ to provide research as access

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:40.903 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.381$ to our patients close at home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.580$ and I think that even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.510$ than the numbers per site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}20{:}47.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.748$ this is what really strikes me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.090$ Every year we have somewhere around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:20:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.074$ 85 to 87% of our physicians who put

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:20:55.074 --> 00:20:57.860 at least one patient onto trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}20{:}57.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.148$ So I think we really changed that culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:21:01.150 --> 00:21:04.034 We've had a research summit every year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.812$ usually around 80 people.

00:21:05.812 --> 00:21:09.284 That includes our care center docs or DART

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}21{:}09.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.867$ team members or CTO staff get prizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.468$ We have about 13 care center

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:14.468 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.018$ physicians who served as PII on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:17.018 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.587$ trials and right now we have 83

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}21{:}19.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.248$ trials open in nine disease types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:21:22.250 --> 00:21:25.710 About 50% cooperative group 43%

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.020$ industry sponsored in 10% IIT's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:21:31.020 --> 00:21:33.840 Here you see that our care

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}21{:}33.840 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}21{:}36.080$ center accruals form about 60%

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}21{:}36.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.380$ of our cooperative group trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}21{:}38.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.728$ so that's really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.118$ This is one of my trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.766$ This is a national trial that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:46.766 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.213$ have opened in about guess 900

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}21{:}49.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.679$ sites across the US in Canada,

 $00:21:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.466$ but in our care centers as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:21:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.762$ I'm National Co chair with has

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:21:56.762 --> 00:22:00.026 poor guy and it's a first line PDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:22:00.026 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.510$ positive trial for non squamous non

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:22:02.589 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.645$ small cell and I think that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:22:05.645 --> 00:22:07.990 an important trial because it either

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:22:07.990 --> 00:22:10.360 randomizes you 2 two arms which

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}22{:}10.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.558$ start with immunotherapy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}22{:}12.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.399$ if you progress you going to chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:22:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.165$ Or you add chemo to the pen

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:22:18.165 --> 00:22:19.350 bro Appan progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00{:}22{:}19.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.026$ That's an important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

00:22:21.026 --> 00:22:24.733 This is the the chemo IO control arm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:22:24.733 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.039$ and this this trial I think will tell us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82509637

 $00:22:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.830$ Very important.

 $00:22:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.567$ Give us information on sequencing of chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:22:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.850$ If you can spare it upfront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:22:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.076$ If you add it to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:22:38.076 --> 00:22:40.040 immunotherapy if that will help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:22:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.945$ And ultimately because we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:22:41.945 --> 00:22:43.850 collecting tissue from this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:22:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.436$ we're going to be looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:22:46.436 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.650$ prognostic signatures for Pember Lizum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}22{:}48.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.735$ app and predictive signatures for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:22:50.735 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.450$ addition of chemo to immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}22{:}53.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.609$ This is also an IIT of mine in small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:22:56.609 --> 00:22:59.365 cell which with the biopsy upfront

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}22{:}59.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.769$ and then on treatment at week four

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:02.769 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.279$ after after treatment with EPI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.346$ Nevo and this trial has 17 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:08.346 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.978$ who started on it with 10 paired pre

00:23:11.978 --> 00:23:14.714 and on treatment biopsies and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:14.714 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.002$ trial is open in our network and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:23:18.002 --> 00:23:21.048 had 11 accruals from our network and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:23:21.048 --> 00:23:23.500 I think that's important because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}23{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.135$ Talking to colleagues of bars

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:23:26.135 --> 00:23:29.314 across the country who have networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:29.314 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.008$ and who are trying to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.995$ Clinical trials they've really relied

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:23:33.995 --> 00:23:35.980 on industry sponsored the cooperative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.668$ and very few actually have have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}23{:}38.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41.630$ diploid Iits in in the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.078$ So this this just shows you a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:45.078 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.273$ of the items that we have and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:23:49.273 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.026$ see that here's 12 accruals or 30% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:23:53.026 --> 00:23:54.850 Doctor Lacey's modified folfirinox,

 $00:23:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.049$ and in this case Doctor Kim Johans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}23{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.778$ That's 88% of her seven out of eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:01.778 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.427$ accruals for her and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:24:04.430 --> 00:24:05.798 for Doctor Nipper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:05.798 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.054$ it's a 4040% of her her accruals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:09.054 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.880$ for her IIT as well so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.003$ I think this is really important research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:24:16.003 --> 00:24:20.618 and support of our investigators at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.038$ So what is the future hold?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.315$ Among other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:24.315 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.865$ I think there are certainly opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:26.865 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.759$ for building multidisciplinary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:28.759 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.549$ to enhance our Smiler signature of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:31.549 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.923$ care in the network and to build

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:33.923 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.151$ on our current Med.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}24{:}35.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.955$ Onken Hemang Craddock networks to

00:24:36.955 --> 00:24:38.750 support and our surgical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:24:38.806 --> 00:24:39.610 representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:24:39.610 --> 00:24:41.700 We are developing formalized multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.751$ D clinics and that some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:44.751 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.385$ that I'm going to carry on in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:47.385 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.345$ integration role and strengthen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:49.345 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.795$ our alignment with disease teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.905$ And certainly our disease centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}24{:}53.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.589$ to provide service excellence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:24:55.589 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.710$ and positive patient outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}24{:}57.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.690$ This is my favorite slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}24{:}59.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.850$ This is what I think is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}02.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.268$ exciting piece of all of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:25:05.268 --> 00:25:07.584 is not not numbers of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.854$ but really I think the faculty that have

 $00:25:10.854 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.910$ joined his care center is one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}13.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.328$ Francesca is going to give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}16.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.250$ grand rounds right after me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.218$ They all master clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:20.218 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.170$ They have devoted their really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:25:23.254 --> 00:25:26.173 clinical arm of a female cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}26.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.426$ smilow cancer hospital within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:28.426 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.180$ within the community and all have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}31.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.463$ joined with the disease teams to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:33.463 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.528$ do you know any number of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:36.528 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.538$ exciting things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.730$ BPI on trials do collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:25:39.730 --> 00:25:42.110 research projects, develop Qi projects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}42.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.110$ help teaching our house staff and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.065$ anymore so it has really been my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}48.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.482$ honor to represent these folks and

 $00{:}25{:}50.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.970$ to work with them. And I I can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00{:}25{:}54.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.502$ I can't emphasize enough that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:25:57.502 --> 00:25:59.807 really been a work collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:25:59.807 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.881$ work of many, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:26:01.881 --> 00:26:05.108 many hands to to build the network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:26:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.954$ including Charlie and Lori.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:26:06.954 --> 00:26:07.876 Kevin Billingsley,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:26:07.880 --> 00:26:10.640 Kim's lesser art flim, Aleesa Chomsky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

00:26:10.640 --> 00:26:12.484 Monica Fradkin, Connie Engelking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432984

 $00:26:12.484 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.406$ Roy Herbst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00{:}26{:}13.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.176$ Stephanie Pauline, have invested so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00{:}26{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.748$ Jeremy Court Manske will take over as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

00:26:19.748 --> 00:26:22.605 Chief Network Officer for Medical Services

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00:26:22.605 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.920$ and head up the medication he Monk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00:26:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.712$ And I will be focused on really developing

00:26:28.712 --> 00:26:30.793 the service lines and integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00{:}26{:}30.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.978$ with our delivery care networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00:26:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.956$ So thank you for the time and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00:26:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.744$ Think I. I'm done so I'd love to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7473088

 $00:26:39.744 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.227$ answer any questions if if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

00:26:42.230 --> 00:26:44.344 were any. Thanks and that was wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

00:26:44.350 --> 00:26:46.240 And congratulations on a very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:26:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.979$ deserved promotion and we see why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:26:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.798$ So we have a few questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:26:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.618$ Please put them in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00{:}26{:}51.620 \to 00{:}26{:}53.790$ Melinda Erling comments were lucky to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:26:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.558$ this infrastructure with the care centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00{:}26{:}55.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.716$ I know a lot of non the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:26:57.716 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.566$ interventional research being done in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

00:26:59.566 --> 00:27:01.312 care centers related to tobacco control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

00:27:01.320 --> 00:27:03.112 nutrition, exercise and obesity,

 $00{:}27{:}03.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.748$ so I will just follow up and say no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.533$ Make it secure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

00:27:07.533 --> 00:27:09.099 Diverse state as you go up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:09.099 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.610$ and down the 95 corridor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.546$ How are we trying to help increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00{:}27{:}12.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.107$ diversity of our patient population at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

00:27:14.107 --> 00:27:16.046 our care centers to get more patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:16.046 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.786$ from diverse backgrounds on trials?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.822$ That's certainly something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:18.822 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.014$ we can now do that we have so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8440994

 $00:27:21.014 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.479$ much integration within the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:27:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.226$ Great great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00{:}27{:}26.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.997$ I'm just going to go back and share

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:27:28.997 --> 00:27:31.118 my screen again so you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:27:31.118 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.135$ who's doing a lot of this work.

 $00:27:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.388$ You know, Melinda, that's a that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00{:}27{:}36.388 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}27{:}38.915$ planted question because we just put

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:27:38.915 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.365$ together a narrow one to study the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:27:41.448 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.418$ lean interventions in the care centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:27:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.044$ and I think that's going to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:27:48.044 --> 00:27:50.500 exciting groups if you look here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:27:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.738$ This is Andrea Silver and she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:27:52.738 --> 00:27:56.139 done a lot of work actually devoted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00{:}27{:}56.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.241$ you know, one of her passions is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:27:59.241 --> 00:28:01.903 in in increasing under increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:28:01.903 --> 00:28:04.375 representation of of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.460$ In clinical trials and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:28:06.460 --> 00:28:08.124 addressing disparities of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.049$ and she's done a number of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.718$ including that grant the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:12.718 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.803$ initial grant called own it,

 $00:28:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.450$ which was oncology works

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:28:17.450 --> 00:28:20.090 with New Haven getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:28:20.090 --> 00:28:21.287 Community representatives to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:21.287 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.080$ weigh in on what kind of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:24.144 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.512$ is done in the in at smilow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

 $00:28:26.512 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.070$ building those relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:28:28.070 --> 00:28:30.830 I think that you know our our latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.905967

00:28:30.830 --> 00:28:33.009 cancer Grant has been incredibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750257

 $00:28:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.556$ You know there's been a big focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750257

 $00:28:37.556 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.404$ trying to increase representation of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750257

00:28:39.404 --> 00:28:42.519 of of folks in our in our clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750257

 $00:28:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.008$ and that certainly is a focus for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:28:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.040$ Right, that's that wonderful one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

00:28:48.040 --> 00:28:48.650 More question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:28:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.175$ And then we'll move on.

00:28:50.180 --> 00:28:51.408 Someone comments. It's amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00{:}28{:}51.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.250$ how you built these tumor boards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:28:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.399$ even before anyone knew what zoom was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:28:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.549$ So now that we have zoom in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

00:28:57.550 --> 00:28:59.085 everyone can zoom from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:28:59.085 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.313$ phone from their walk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:29:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.156$ Can we use this technology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:29:02.156 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.768$ further integrate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

00:29:02.770 --> 00:29:04.146 You know multi modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

00:29:04.146 --> 00:29:05.866 care subspecialty care with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00{:}29{:}05.866 \to 00{:}29{:}08.298$ showed us 55 or so doctors around the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:29:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.974$ Are we using these tools of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

00:29:09.974 --> 00:29:11.833 Tele Health to do even better

NOTE Confidence: 0.812013

 $00:29:11.833 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.518$ now to integrate our care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

00:29:14.740 --> 00:29:17.631 Yeah, I mean, I think that with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

00:29:17.631 --> 00:29:19.993 covid we've really we toggled up

 $00{:}29{:}19.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.602$ to over 50% of usage and adoption

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00{:}29{:}22.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.567$ of telehealth during last spring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.007$ And and I think that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

00:29:28.010 --> 00:29:30.440 You know initiatives on next day

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.476$ access and improving access that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:32.476 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.558$ we can utilize Tele health for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

00:29:34.560 --> 00:29:37.388 and certainly within our multi D clinics

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:37.388 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.950$ were thinking about doing that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.830$ I think there's a lot of innovation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

00:29:42.830 --> 00:29:46.109 you know I'll I'll mention you know again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.878$ Melinda's talked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:46.878 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.566$ I didn't talk about the non therapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00{:}29{:}49.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.950$ but we certainly have used the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.933$ centers as places to participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:53.933 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.729$ with the smoking cessation.

00:29:55.730 --> 00:29:58.240 Joe Biden Moon shot initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.623$ As well as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:29:59.623 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.389$ You know collect samples for Stephanie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

00:30:02.390 --> 00:30:03.058 Aliens, NDS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:30:03.058 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.730$ registry and and and you know attempts

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:30:05.792 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.096$ to understand the science behind that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00{:}30{:}08.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.740$ So I think there's just you know no

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:30:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.064$ end to what you can do with with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81522673

 $00:30:14.064 \dashrightarrow 00:30:16.667$ resources and the team that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:17.290 --> 00:30:18.730 Great well thanks Anne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:18.730 --> 00:30:19.810 Wonderful talking again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.308$ congratulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:20.308 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.296$ So we have a second speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:23.300 --> 00:30:24.820 it's Doctor Francisca Montinari,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.580$ Doctor Martner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:25.580 --> 00:30:27.740 Doctor Martin Ari is an assistant

 $00:30:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.971$ professor of clinical medicine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00{:}30{:}29.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.167$ hematology section and cares for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:32.167 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.179$ with hematologic malignancies at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:34.179 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.219$ southernmost care center in Greenwich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:36.220 --> 00:30:38.120 Documentary recently joined Yell from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:38.120 --> 00:30:40.020 the New York Presbyterian Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:40.020 --> 00:30:41.540 Columbia University Medical Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.440$ College of Physicians and Surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.485$ which she was assistant Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00{:}30{:}45.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.121$ of medicine and Experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:47.121 --> 00:30:48.884 Therapies Therapeutics in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:48.884 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.604$ Center for Lymphoid Malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:50.610 \dashrightarrow 00:30:52.542$ Doctor Montanari received her medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:52.542 --> 00:30:54.610 from the University of Pavia in Italy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:30:54.610 \dashrightarrow 00:30:56.150$ which she graduated Magna ***

00:30:56.150 --> 00:30:57.590 laude and completed both residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00{:}30{:}57.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.030$ and Fellowship and New York

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:30:59.076 --> 00:31:00.468 University School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.252$ but she was awarded the Fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:02.252 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.850$ of the Year Teaching Award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.506$ She served on the Institutional Review

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:05.506 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.328$ Board Committee and as director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00{:}31{:}07.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.668$ the Institutional Lymphoma Tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00{:}31{:}08.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.008$ Board at Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.962$ and he is part of the steering committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:31:11.962 --> 00:31:14.019 of the Lymphoma Research Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.566$ New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:14.566 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.477$ Lymphoma rounds were so lucky to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:31:16.477 --> 00:31:18.638 been able to recruit Francisca recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

 $00:31:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.240$ and she's going to talk to us now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83359927

00:31:21.240 --> 00:31:23.364 About post transplant

 $00:31:23.364 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.488$ lymphoproliferative disorders franceska.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89172757

 $00{:}31{:}27.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.310$ Thank you for the introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89172757

 $00:31:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.770$ so I'll share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:31:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.707$ OK, so good afternoon everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:31:40.707 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.421$ I will review today was transparently

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:31:44.421 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.930$ proliferative disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:31:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.759$ So here's my disclosures. So we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:31:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.491$ We will review together and how PLD

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:31:53.491 --> 00:31:56.308 are classified according to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:31:56.308 --> 00:31:58.560 most recent WHL classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}31{:}58.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.310$ the Epidemiology, the risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.060$ at timing of onset prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}32{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.260$ and the rapeutic options including

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:32:06.260 --> 00:32:08.460 a current treatment paradigms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.220$ ongoing clinical trials and

 $00:32:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.600$ future directions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.611$ So let's start with the classification under

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:16.611 \dashrightarrow 00:32:20.677$ the revised 2016 W 2 classification post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.408$ Transplant lymphoproliferative disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:22.408 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.864$ are classified under the immune deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:32:25.870 --> 00:32:27.658 Associated lymphoproliferative disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:27.658 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.042$ along with lymphoproliferative diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:30.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.799$ associated with primary immune disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.660$ HIV infection and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:32:35.660 --> 00:32:37.090 iatrogenic immunodeficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.247$ Um, by definition they are any lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:32:40.247 --> 00:32:42.531 or plasmacytic proliferation that develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:42.531 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.117$ as a consequence of immuno suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.502$ In order sequence of a solid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:47.502 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.020$ organ or stem cell allograft,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}32{:}50.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.204$ it is considered officiality.

00:32:52.204 --> 00:32:55.480 And based on the new classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:32:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.348$ the device classification detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:32:57.348 --> 00:32:58.749 include nondestructive PTL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:32:58.750 --> 00:33:00.154 These further subclassified

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:00.154 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.558$ into plasmacytic hyperplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.160$ Infectious modern closes like and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.760$ Florida follicular pleasure which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:33:06.842 --> 00:33:09.516 a new entity under the new revision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.544$ polymorphic deity monomorphic TLD

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}33{:}11.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.141$ that comes into the B cell neoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:33:15.141 --> 00:33:18.445 and T cell nail Plaza and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}33{:}18.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.240$ classical Hodgkin lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:33:20.240 --> 00:33:21.638 So purely nondestructive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:21.638 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.968$ these diseases are classified based

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:23.968 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.599$ on the is the pathology major

 $00:33:27.599 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.351$ findings and undersell prevalence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}33{:}29.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.180$ There is usually preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.545$ of the architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}33{:}32.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.232$ and these are polyclonal B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:35.232 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.573$ proliferation by immunophenotyping

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:36.573 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.570$ genetic studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.390$ There typically be positive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}33{:}40.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.646$ responsive to MENA suppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:33:42.646 --> 00:33:45.450 reduction in most of the cases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.599$ they are usually seen in kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}33{:}47.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.250$ So in the pediatric population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.094$ So we do see on the bottom an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:53.094 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.766$ of plasmacytic hyperplasia with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:55.766 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.042$ preservation of the architecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:33:58.042 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.312$ of the lymph node and infiltrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:01.312 \dashrightarrow 00:34:04.282$ of abundant plasma cells. Peter D.

 $00:34:04.282 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.018$ Polymorphic this is an entity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:34:07.018 --> 00:34:09.686 is characterized by either a genius

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}34{:}09.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.776$ mix of immunoblastic plasma cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:11.776 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.334$ and different size. The lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:14.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.619$ The architectural is the architecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:16.619 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.990$ is usually faced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.205$ These are mostly polyclonal but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:20.205 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.964$ presence of monoclonal B cell have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:22.964 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.289$ been described and detected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:25.289 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.590$ immuno phenotype and genetic tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}34{:}27.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.765$ There mostly EBV positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:29.765 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.620$ some of them have been sealed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}34{:}32.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.129$ Six somatic hypermutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

00:34:34.129 --> 00:34:36.644 So here's an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00:34:36.644 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.528$ architectural effacement on the left,

 $00:34:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.057$ with the associated to a large area

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}34{:}41.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.014$ of necrosis and on the right variable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7702043

 $00{:}34{:}44.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.259$ size and shape lymphoid in filtrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:34:48.510 --> 00:34:50.784 Monomorphic PTSD, those are the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:34:50.784 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.708$ that do fulfill the criteria for non

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:34:53.708 --> 00:34:56.372 Hodgkin lymphoma or plasma cell neoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}34{:}56.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.082$ small B cell lymphoma are not considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:34:59.082 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.760$ at not the designated as PTLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.686$ with the exception of the beaded positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:04.686 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.854$ extranodal marginal zone lymphoma that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}35{:}06.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.398$ usually arise in the cutaneous or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:09.398 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.859$ subcutaneous tissue and this is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}35{:}11.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.403$ their standardized incidence ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:13.403 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.325$ is not different than in the regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:16.325 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.209$ population and they're not started to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.765$ As a consequence of the immune suppression,

 $00:35:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.826$ besides those any other kind of non Hodgkin

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:24.826 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.330$ lymphoma is considered uppity ality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.910$ So the architecture defacement

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.095$ is usually present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.025$ Immuno, phenotypic and genetic features

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:32.025 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.415$ are typically recapitulating what we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:34.415 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.039$ see individual competent counterpart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.376$ And here I included an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:39.376 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.600$ on the left of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.008$ You should be selling for infiltrating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.480$ Lymph node and underwrite dereza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.416$ An example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:48.416 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.160$ This is a renal allograft biopsy with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:35:52.255 --> 00:35:55.874 showing about this planning T cell gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.455$ Delta,

 $00:35:56.455 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.905$ T cell lymphoma with the characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:35:59.905 \dashrightarrow 00:36:03.460$ in filtration of the small blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:03.460 --> 00:36:03.957 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:03.957 --> 00:36:05.945 classical Hodgkin lymphoma has

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}36{:}05.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.430$ also been associated and described

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.672$ in the setting of post transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:10.672 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.799$ and is considered to be APTLD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.272$ It fulfills the criteria for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}36{:}15.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.948$ high school informing that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:17.948 --> 00:36:19.856 see and immunocompetent population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.060$ but is typically mixed cellularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.490$ It's always EBV positive and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.185$ do see that predominantly in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:27.185 --> 00:36:28.679 renal transplant recipients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.300$ The rapies treated like the Hodgkin

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.920$ lymphoma and immuno competent counterpart

 $00:36:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.606$ and here an example of a release time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:36.610 --> 00:36:38.920 Excel on the bottom surrounded

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:38.920 --> 00:36:41.230 by an inflammatory background of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:41.312 --> 00:36:43.587 lymphocytes and using a fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.579$ And this is our typical greatest number

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:46.579 --> 00:36:50.079 Excel with the city 20 CD 30 positive ITI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:36:50.080 --> 00:36:53.456 So very very Inter genius group of diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.005$ And so how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:55.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.095$ How frequently are our diesel informers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:36:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.194$ So we do about 40,000 transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:37:00.194 --> 00:37:02.936 every year in the United States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:02.936 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.486$ period is the second most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:05.486 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.769$ malignancy in this patient population

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:07.769 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.960$ behind non Melanoma skin cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.233$ So it accounts for 21% of all the

 $00:37:13.233 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.798$ cancers in recipients of solid organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:15.798 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.300$ transplant as compared to only four

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}37{:}18.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.000$ to 5% of the cancers and immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.816$ competent population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.020$ The incidence has increased over

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.029$ the past two decades and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:27.029 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.849$ for a variety of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.475$ The increased age at the older age

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}37{:}31.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.468$ of the Gilded Age of and owner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:35.468 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.692$ recipients new immunosuppressive treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.730$ The introduction of the haploidentical stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.309$ cell transplant and improved awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}37{:}43.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.195$ of the disease and diagnostic schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:37:46.200 --> 00:37:49.026 So after a solid organ transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.856$ the risk of developing a PLD

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:51.856 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.674$ varies for over 20% two point,

00:37:54.674 --> 00:37:57.476 8% depending on the organ transplanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:37:57.476 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.888$ multi visceral intestinal being associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

00:37:59.888 --> 00:38:03.157 with a higher risk followed by lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.617$ heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:03.617 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.074$ liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:04.074 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.359$ pancreas and with kidney being

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:06.359 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.238$ the carrying the lower risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:09.238 \dashrightarrow 00:38:11.623$ multiple attic stem cell transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.306$ The risks varies based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:14.306 \dashrightarrow 00:38:17.430$ HLA degree of the HLA matching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:17.430 \dashrightarrow 00:38:21.282$ And the and the need for T cell depletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}38{:}21.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.570$ So it is a highest for upload denticle

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.724$ without this addition 20% and it goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00{:}38{:}27.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.730$ down to 3% in recipients of matched

NOTE Confidence: 0.80955

 $00:38:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.450$ related dollar hematopoetic stem

 $00:38:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.975$ cell transplant. So what do we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00{:}38{:}35.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.080$ in terms of risk factors besides

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.174$ the type of the transplant of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:41.174 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.873$ transplanted organ or the type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

00:38:43.873 --> 00:38:45.605 allogeneic stem cell transplant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.088$ For recipients of solid organ transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.568$ it is an established risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.882$ The degree of Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00{:}38{:}51.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.399$ EBV mismatch at the time of transplantation

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:54.399 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.201$ with the recipients being a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:38:57.201 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.068$ negative and the donor EBV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.536$ Also, the intensity of the induction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.952$ immunosuppression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:02.952 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.600$ treatment and duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.424$ maintenance therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.395$ including increased the need of

 $00:39:07.395 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.980$ treatment due to graft rejection episodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00{:}39{:}09.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.650$ There is a strong evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.320$ associated with the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.73817724

 $00:39:13.392 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.348$ certain immunosuppressive drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.778$ And in contact with others that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:20.778 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.420$ are less associated and weak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.955$ evidence of risk is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}39{:}25.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.983$ with infectious diseases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:39:27.983 --> 00:39:30.747 non EBV infection for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.361$ and other characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}39{:}32.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33.972$ genetic characteristics or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:33.972 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.500$ underlying comorbidities of the host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}39{:}36.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.069$ For hematopoietic stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:39:38.069 --> 00:39:39.638 cell transplant recipient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.091$ age seems to be the biggest risk

00:39:43.091 --> 00:39:45.828 factor for development of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:45.828 \dashrightarrow 00:39:48.160$ diseases and the conditioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:39:48.160 --> 00:39:53.720 Regiment. Um also, um.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.900$ So in terms of timing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:39:55.900 --> 00:39:58.115 typically PTSD arrives early in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:39:58.115 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.330$ the setting of hematopoetic stem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}00.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.622$ The transplant and leader in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:40:02.622 --> 00:40:05.500 setting of a solid organ transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}05.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.086$ but it's not really that predictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.904$ We considered an early onset if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}10.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.999$ PTSD arise in the first year after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}13.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.705$ the transplant and the late onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.290$ if it arises a year later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:40:19.290 --> 00:40:24.197 Starting one year after the transplant and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.280$ And the reason the battle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}26.280 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.860$ the pathogenesis is associated in the

 $00:40:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.910$ early onset to an acute EBV infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}31.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.815$ or a reactivation of the virus in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:34.893 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.574$ the setting of a reduction of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:40:37.574 --> 00:40:39.560 MTV cytotoxic T cell lymphocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:40:39.560 --> 00:40:41.220 usually early PTLDREB positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.800$ and frequently there is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.348$ allograft is involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.626$ In the late onset there have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}48.626 {\: --> \:} 00{:}40{:}51.200$ many hypothesis so hidden around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.900$ the infection with the baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}40{:}53.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.725$ then resolved and lymphoma keeps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:56.725 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.985$ developing other viruses besides

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:40:58.985 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.365$ BV has been hypothesized as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:01.365 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.957$ playing a role such as CMV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:03.960 --> 00:41:06.044 a persistent antigenic stimulation

 $00:41:06.044 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.170$ done by the allograft and lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:09.247 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.109$ deregulation in the setting of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}41{:}12.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}14.017$ chronic immuno suppressed state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.808$ These are usually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:15.808 --> 00:41:17.000 More likely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:17.000 --> 00:41:19.330 extra node with Extranodal involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:19.330 --> 00:41:21.181 not necessarily involving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:21.181 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.266$ the graft and the monomorphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}41{:}24.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.088$ subtype is the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:27.090 --> 00:41:29.394 So what do we know about the prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}41{:}29.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.820$ of this disease that are regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}41{:}31.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.045$ prognosis are mostly from single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:34.045 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.800$ institution retrospective analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:35.800 --> 00:41:38.284 during my time at Columbia University

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:38.284 --> 00:41:40.800 I work on setting up a tumor bank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.773$ for this disease with pathological

00:41:42.773 --> 00:41:45.278 specimen link to clinical information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.905$ and this is what we have learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:47.905 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.309$ from the analysis of 120 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.368$ This is the largest series published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}41{:}52.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54.949$ so far on this specific disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:41:54.950 --> 00:41:55.374 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:55.374 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.070$ most of the clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:41:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.180$ Features that we think might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}41{:}59.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.784$ predict an outcome might have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:01.784 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.849$ impact on the overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.970$ Did not correlate with overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:42:05.970 --> 00:42:08.090 survival in our patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}42{:}08.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.640$ including the subtype of the PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.510$ the decade of diagnosis prior or after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.729$ the introduction of their attack.

 $00:42:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.691$ Some organ do kind of organ transplanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}42{:}18.691 --> 00{:}42{:}20.388~\mathrm{DV}~\mathrm{status,~graft~involvement,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:20.388 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.660$ and extranodal involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.356$ or stage of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:42:23.360 --> 00:42:25.898 So using a recursive partitioning model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.450$ we separated patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:42:28.450 --> 00:42:28.799 Recursively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:28.799 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.893$ at each step into two distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}42{:}30.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.208$ groups based on the variables that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:33.208 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.218$ provided the maximal separation based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}42{:}35.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.327$ on survival and using this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.458$ we were able to identify based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}42{:}39.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.221$ age CD 20 expression and equal status

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

00:42:42.221 --> 00:42:44.891 for groups that were well separated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:44.966 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.388$ in terms of roll survival and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:47.388 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.005$ an even number of patient and in the

 $00:42:50.005 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.513$ lowest group we can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}42{:}52.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.087$ medium overall survival was not reached.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.045$ Those were essentially mostly pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:57.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.440$ patients with a good performance status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:42:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.680$ What is in the very high risk group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00{:}43{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.969$ elderly with a foot Burma status and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300465

 $00:43:03.969 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.634$ essentially all patients with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:43:05.695 --> 00:43:07.115 CD? Twenty negative disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:07.115 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.190$ the median overall survival was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.490$ as short as one point 3 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.190$ So what else we have learned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.702$ we know very well that T cell lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}43{:}16.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.457$ have a much worse prognosis than Bissell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:20.457 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.290$ informal immunocompetent population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:43:22.290 --> 00:43:24.696 But what are?

 $00:43:24.696 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.202$ What is the behavior of this LPT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:43:27.210 --> 00:43:29.010 LD is not well, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.874$ not due to the rarity of these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.440$ So we did analyze in our series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.729$ pulling over monomorphic PTSD and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}43{:}36.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.779$ differences between B cells and T cell TLD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.316$ And we do see that they sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}43{:}42.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.424$ recapitulate what we do see and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:44.424 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.824$ immuno competent counterpart in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:45.824 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.172$ terms of prognosis with the median

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}43{:}48.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.147$ overall survival being very low

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:50.147 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.932$ for the T cell and compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:52.932 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.910$ the diesel in monomorphic PLD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.339$ Also, we did observe that the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:43:57.339 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.047$ of the median time to answer it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}44{:}00.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02.421$ much longer for T cell nine years

 $00:44:02.421 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.899$ compared to three years for the B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:04.899 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.969$ cell type and all T cell period in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:07.969 --> 00:44:10.689 our series were leaving negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.539$ Another thing that that we we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:13.539 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.775$ also learned is that importance of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:16.775 --> 00:44:19.661 marrow of staging and the incidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:19.746 --> 00:44:22.518 of more involvement in the in PLD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:22.520 --> 00:44:26.056 It is very common in our series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:26.056 --> 00:44:28.920 patients at 23% of monomorphic PLD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.570$ had bone marrow involvement compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.030$ in the in the T cell subtypes 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:35.030 --> 00:44:35.514 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}44{:}35.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.450$ polymorphic exhibited our very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.386$ high bone marrow involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.393$ 15.7 All the cases of polymorphic with

 $00:44:42.393 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.679$ where bone marrow involvement was detected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.880$ This resulted in up stage of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.468$ And ever involvement was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:52.468 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.056$ associated with poorer outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.538$ So we did compare the incidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:44:56.538 --> 00:44:58.609 the involvement of Lombardy Boomer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:44:58.609 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.949$ involvement in monomorphic PTSD compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}45{:}00.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03.840$ to the normal diffuse large B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}45{:}03.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.376$ lymphoma and HIV diffuse large B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:45:06.376 --> 00:45:08.000 lymphoma patients diagnosed during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}45{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.857$ the same time frame at our institution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:10.857 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.503$ and we do see here that monomorphic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.102$ detailed involvement compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}45{:}15.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.490$ the HIV positive diffuse large B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:45:17.560 --> 00:45:19.990 cell involvement and this is suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.610$ that that immuno compromised.

 $00:45:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.006$ State regardless of videology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:24.006 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.001$ vial associated or higher trajanic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:45:27.001 --> 00:45:30.516 maybe is a major risk factor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:30.516 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.400$ dissemination to the marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:45:32.400 --> 00:45:33.090 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.850$ this is a loser.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:45:35.850 --> 00:45:37.957 Analyze last year actually two years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:37.957 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.346$ and this was presented at ASH in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.432$ We did analyze the data regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:42.432 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.954$ the cell of origin and the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:44.954 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.078$ of treatment on the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}45{:}47.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.968$ diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.455$ So these type and in our series

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:51.455 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.458$ non germinal center was more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:53.458 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.178$ common than germinal center.

 $00:45:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.996$ I just want to highlight here that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:57.996 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.848$ previously reported and non germinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:45:59.848 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.002$ center PTSD is usually be positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}46{:}02.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.058$ versus germinal center is usually it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:05.058 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.814$ be negative clinical characteristic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.746$ organ transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:46:07.746 --> 00:46:08.209 immunosuppressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:46:08.209 --> 00:46:11.450 treatment time of onset was not different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}46{:}11.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.038$ in these two subtypes and there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

00:46:14.038 --> 00:46:15.740 trend suggesting a better outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.060$ a better PFS and OS in patients with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}46{:}19.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.065$ Germinal standard compared to non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:21.065 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.070$ germinal center mirroring the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00{:}46{:}23.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.504$ in Indiana competent population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:24.504 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.568$ and RE broker did not improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:26.632 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.440$ overall survival or progression.

 $00:46:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.856$ Free survival were compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8097424

 $00:46:29.856 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.980$ to R chop but in not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:32.055 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.617$ resulted also either in an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:46:34.617 --> 00:46:36.784 toxicity in our series was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:36.784 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.040$ extremely low and only one patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.540$ died in each treatment group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.927$ So the current challenges for PT LDR

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:44.927 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.418$ that this is an uncommon disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.265$ as we saw there is a bigot originality

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:46:50.265 --> 00:46:53.489 in in the type of PTSD that is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:53.489 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.664$ originality in the patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:46:55.670 --> 00:46:57.540 in the allograft or multiply

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}46{:}57.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.036$ attic stem cell transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:46:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.716$ and immunosuppressive treatment they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:00.716 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.643$ receive that is a high mortality rate

 $00:47:03.643 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.719$ that is associated with the chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:05.719 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.208$ and this is usually in length to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:08.208 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.938$ the increased risk of infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:09.938 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.636$ that we do see in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.316$ Population and unique to this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:47:15.316 --> 00:47:18.450 population is the risk of graft failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.280$ So currently general principle of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.695$ include immunosuppression reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}47{:}25.700 --> 00{:}47{:}28.500$ I just want to mention that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.746$ only perspective trial that utilize

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}47{:}30.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.156$ immuno suppression reduction as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:33.156 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.836$ of a sequential treatment for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:35.836 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.531$ disease on a very large sample of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}47{:}38.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.852$ you know on a very large number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:41.852 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.528$ patients 160 patient showed a 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.670$ incidence of acute graft reaction.

 $00:47:46.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.556$ So typical in the adult population

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}47{:}49.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.518$ immunosuppression reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:50.518 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.657$ is utilized at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.532$ Other interventions such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:54.532 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.404$ rituximab are or combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.386$ Chemotherapy surgery, radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:47:58.386 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.880$ Unlikely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}47{:}58.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.144$ What we do see in Hodgkin and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.713$ article in former is a well established

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:05.713 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.230$ the treatment for stage one disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}48{:}09.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.182$ PTSD and in the relapsed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:12.182 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.166$ refractory setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:48:13.170 --> 00:48:15.674 There are adoptive immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}48{:}15.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.178$ utilizing EBV specific cytotoxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:18.178 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.653$ T cells and high dose chemotherapy

 $00:48:21.653 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.845$ with a toddler stem cell transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:48:24.935 --> 00:48:26.907 or both being explored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.886$ So there are only few prospective trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:48:30.890 --> 00:48:33.170 primarily on polymorphic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.622$ monomorphic beissel. PTSD and hold the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:36.622 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.934$ Stop for trials here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:48:38.940 --> 00:48:39.310 Um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.900$ use their attack some as a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.082$ agent with a very high with a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:48:45.082 --> 00:48:47.589 overall response rate under an CR rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}48{:}47.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49.290$ but not exciting overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.792$ due to early relapse is the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}48{:}51.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.642$ results that have been achieved

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}48{:}53.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.622$ that utilizing either a sequential

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:55.622 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.647$ treatment or a risk stratifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:48:57.647 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.830$ sequential treatment and this is the

 $00:48:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.070$ PTL D1 trials that is the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:02.143 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.313$ phase two trial ever conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:49:04.313 --> 00:49:06.483 in this patient population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:49:06.554 --> 00:49:08.190 reported by the German.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:49:08.190 --> 00:49:10.810 Study group so will review

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.090$ the results of the PD L D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.550$ The risk stratified sequential treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.485$ This was published in JCO in 2017.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:49:21.490 --> 00:49:23.608 Patients were with that newly diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}49{:}23.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.881$ of PTSD received an induction treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:25.881 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.281$ with rituximab and then based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:28.281 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.317$ their response they received the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:30.317 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.873$ consolidation with rituximab alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}49{:}31.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.580$ or they were escalated to our CHOP

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.890$ and 152 patients were enrolled again.

 $00:49:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.480$ This is the largest study in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}49{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41.665$ disease that has ever been conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:41.665 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.508$ and we do still at 1/4 of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:44.508 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.688$ after the initial induction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:46.688 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.640$ maximum achieved a CR and these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.040$ the patient that did not receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.572 \text{ Um},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:49:52.572 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.700$ instead of toxic treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}49{:}54.700 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58.120$ the overall response rate was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

00:49:58.120 --> 00:50:00.400 dieta CR rate 70%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}50{:}00.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.180$ Army generation of response was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:50:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.360$ not reached and let me down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}50{:}04.360 \to 00{:}50{:}06.315$ Overall survival was 6.6 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:50:06.315 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.270$ treatment related mortality in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00{:}50{:}08.329 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.524$ study was 8% which is the lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7767812

 $00:50:10.524 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.750$ reported in this patient population and

 $00:50:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.080$ this is a attribute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.114$ This has been attributed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:50:16.114 --> 00:50:18.998 fact that a quarter of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:18.998 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.938$ were spared cytotoxic chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.210$ So. What's new in PID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.245$ Recently over the past five

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:26.245 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.196$ years it has been more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:50:29.196 --> 00:50:31.698 recognized than PTSD tend to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.860$ CD 30 positive So this is a study by vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.164$ Others that showed that out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:50:38.164 --> 00:50:40.242 108 patients with PTSD diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:40.242 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.375$ between 1994 and 2011, it was 85%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}50{:}43.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.350$ Of this patient expresses CD 30 including

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:46.439 \dashrightarrow 00:50:49.585$ 81% of the diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.030$ This is very particular to

 $00:50:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.470$ the query after the PTLD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}50{:}54.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55.990$ Because in the immunocompetent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.270$ if you started selling for my

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:50:58.338 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.243$ usually city third expression is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:00.243 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.919$ probably less than 20% and not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}02.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.217$ demonstrated a high CD 30 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.776$ They also demonstrated that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}07.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.735$ associated with a better outcome. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}10.735 \to 00{:}51{:}13.760$ Twice this interesting or important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.770$ we now have a drug that targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:51:16.770 --> 00:51:19.220 specifically city 30 brentuximab windowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.442$ and is an antibody drug conjugate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:22.442 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.509$ that binds to the city 30 and one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}25.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.410$ Since the internalised release,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.785$ monumental restarting E which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}29.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31.573$ microtubule disrupting agent which

 $00:51:31.573 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.643$ end up like causing apoptosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.034$ As we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:35.034 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.110$ grant access method Odin is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:37.193 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.063$ approved with various indication in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:40.063 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.359$ Hodgkin lymphoma anaplastic large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.940$ Calling from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.293$ So in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:51:46.293 --> 00:51:48.097 at the ASH meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.760$ the results of these Phase 1 two

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.372$ trial of Brentuximab in Jordan plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:53.372 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.702$ rituximab as frontline treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:51:55.702 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.700$ patients with immuno suppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}51{:}57.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00.175$ associated lymphoma were presented and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:00.175 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.920$ in the this was a very small study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:03.920 --> 00:52:07.360 22 patients with previously untreated

 $00:52:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.736$ immunosuppression associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.156$ Little pretty effective disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:10.156 --> 00:52:11.926 including 1516 patients were PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:11.926 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.449$ post transplant clipart affective

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:13.449 --> 00:52:14.941 disorder patients who receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:14.941 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.510$ an induction were attacks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00.52:16.510 \longrightarrow 00.52:17.611$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:17.611 --> 00:52:19.446 given in combination with Brent

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}52{:}19.446 \to 00{:}52{:}21.708$ accidents and Odin and patient worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:21.708 --> 00:52:23.910 stage and according to their response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}52{:}23.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.515$ they either received more out

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}52{:}25.515 {\: -->\:} 00{:}52{:}27.639$ attacks immigrant tax map in loading

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:27.639 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.459$ as consolidation and maintenance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.064$ or if they had progression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:32.064 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.669$ disease were removed from the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:34.670 --> 00:52:35.906 Again, small study,

00:52:35.906 --> 00:52:37.554 very short follow-up about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}52{:}37.554 \to 00{:}52{:}39.515$ encouraging result with a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:39.515 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.847$ high overall response rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.150$ and see a rate of 60% so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}52{:}45.150 --> 00{:}52{:}47.376$ I'm I'm very excited to announce

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:47.376 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.358$ that I that we're about to open a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:52:50.358 --> 00:52:52.494 phase two trial for this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:52.569 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.239$ population here in the Yale network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.264$ and this is going to be a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}52{:}58.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.890$ in collaboration with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:52:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.066$ Are you clinic and with UVI so is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:02.066 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.331 \ 1/2$ is just trial where patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}53{:}05.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.921$ post transplant lymphoproliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}53{:}06.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09.793$ disorder CD 20 and CD 30 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:53:09.793 --> 00:53:11.528 which essentially is the vast

 $00:53:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.590$ majority of PTSD will receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.238$ an induction treatment with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.155$ Maximum burn toxin overload and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}53{:}17.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.551$ and then based on the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:53:19.551 --> 00:53:21.969 at a pet city after induction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}53{:}21.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.469$ they will receive more of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:24.469 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.126$ treatment so and there will be spared

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:53:27.126 --> 00:53:29.394 of cytotoxic treatment or will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}53{:}29.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.476$ escalated to rituximab, Pentax,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:53:31.476 --> 00:53:33.060 Melvin Gordon, and Bendamustine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00{:}53{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.436$ for a total of 6 cycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

00:53:35.440 --> 00:53:37.420 Primary objective of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.280$ Our overall response rate complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.050$ and partial at the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.150$ treatment and PFS secondary objective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.419$ Intend to explore.

 $00:53:45.419 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.688$ Additional responsibilities at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82772666

 $00:53:46.688 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.380$ the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:53:48.451 --> 00:53:49.509 induction phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:53:49.510 --> 00:53:51.934 which include the grant axiom of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:53:51.934 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.660$ windowed in on top of rituximab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:53:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.228$ duration of response and overall survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:53:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.100$ and we're planning to also deep dive

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.380$ into the frequency of infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.577$ Peripheral sensory neuropathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:03.577 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.971$ then rate doesn't craft of interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00{:}54{:}05.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07.958$ and treatment related mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.450$ Exploratory studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00{:}54{:}10.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.082$ Are at the end to identify new biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:13.082 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.368$ and genetic markers that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:15.368 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.932$ productive to response resistance

 $00:54:16.932 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.269$ to this therapeutic combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.760$ So. Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:54:22.760 --> 00:54:26.243 I'm going to leave you with this last slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.190$ future strategies and these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.438$ This is a list of the clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:54:30.438 --> 00:54:32.481 that are currently about to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:54:32.481 --> 00:54:34.605 or recruiting for this for post

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:34.673 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.118$ transplant lymphoproliferative disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00{:}54{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39.984$ This top line is the study is our

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:54:39.984 --> 00:54:42.343 study that I just mentioned and

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:54:42.343 --> 00:54:45.169 we're about to open here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

00:54:45.169 --> 00:54:48.353 and then the reason I just want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.779890875

 $00:54:48.353 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.076$ highlight the days a second study is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:54:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.592$ Study that follows up the PLD

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

00:54:55.592 --> 00:54:58.480 one trial from the German group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:54:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.220$ whether increasing where they're

 $00:55:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.386$ integrating the IPI score and the organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00{:}55{:}03.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.796$ transplanted to further risk stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:05.796 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.206$ patients after induction with rituximab

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:08.206 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.286$ and I have substituted rituximab

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:10.286 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.980$ subcu to the regular attacks enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.100$ that they used in the PTL D1 trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.319$ Another trial that I would keep an

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00{:}55{:}19.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.823$ eye on is there tax amount plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:21.823 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.434$ a club routine atrial that is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:24.434 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.709$ yet recruiting and is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

00:55:26.709 --> 00:55:28.756 done in Cleveland and besides this

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00{:}55{:}28.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.501$ retrial which address the untreated

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:30.501 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.149$ population in the refractory setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

 $00:55:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.264$ there are a lot of trials with

NOTE Confidence: 0.74669033

00:55:34.264 --> 00:55:36.250 the adoptive T cell treatment.

 $00:55:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.650$ And with this and this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754213

 $00:55:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.930$ is it? So thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00:55:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.506$ Thank you, that was a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

00:55:48.506 --> 00:55:50.839 talk and it's great to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00:55:50.839 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.792$ you have a trial and I guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00{:}55{:}52.865 \to 00{:}55{:}55.030$ it's opening Greninger will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

00:55:55.030 --> 00:55:56.731 So very very well linked to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

00:55:56.731 --> 00:55:58.329 first talk we heard from Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00{:}55{:}58.330 \to 00{:}56{:}00.164$ Do you have any questions or comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00:56:00.164 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.629$ where we're just about a time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00:56:01.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.916$ but we might have time for one or two?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81910676

 $00:56:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.940$ If there are any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730721

 $00:56:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.171$ Are you seeing a lot of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730721

 $00{:}56{:}11.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.659$ in your practice right now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730721

00:56:12.660 --> 00:56:13.509 Princeska in Greenwich?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00:56:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.630$ Currently have one patient which is,

 $00:56:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.976$ which is a lot considering that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00{:}56{:}18.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.430$ I've just started two months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00:56:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.922$ So we have we typically used to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00:56:24.922 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.237$ Colombia is a big transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00:56:27.237 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.200$ center and we we tend to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00:56:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.472$ We were we were seeing a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00:56:32.472 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.545$ these patients, but to say a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

00:56:35.545 --> 00:56:38.450 was about 20-30 cases per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719744

 $00{:}56{:}38.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.320$ Just to put this in context, so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

00:56:41.320 --> 00:56:42.524 a rare disease weapon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

00:56:42.524 --> 00:56:45.149 As we grow Greenwich as a expert site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

00:56:45.150 --> 00:56:47.004 you know for these types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

 $00{:}56{:}47.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.626$ diseases you'll get you'll draw

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

00:56:48.626 --> 00:56:50.246 more and more from Manhattan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

 $00:56:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.164$ and where I'm sure there are

00:56:52.164 --> 00:56:53.440 many post transplant patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

 $00{:}56{:}53.440 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}56{:}55.040$ Francine Flash has a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

 $00:56:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.852$ She asks any role for checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.78447115

 $00:56:56.852 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.869$ inhibitors in these EBV driven tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:56:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.606$ This is a very good good question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:02.606 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.679$ I think that due to the peculiarity

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

00:57:05.679 --> 00:57:07.547 of these patients population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00{:}57{:}07.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09.440$ which are recipients of hematopoietic

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00{:}57{:}09.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.870$ stem cell transplant or solid organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.860$ transplant, there is a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00{:}57{:}15.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.140$ We're very worried about causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.467$ it'd have to be action or graft

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:21.467 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.190$ versus host disease, and so I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.110$ I'm not aware of any study

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:26.187 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.775$ utilizing checkpoint inhibitors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862677

 $00:57:28.775 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.363$ this specific patient population.

 $00:57:32.500 \dashrightarrow 00:57:35.362$ OK, may be I see a study in the making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.120$ maybe an investigator initiated trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.758$ Well, our Francisco that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.852$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00{:}57{:}40.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42.217$ Welcome to the group you've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.998$ It's great to have you and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:43.998 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.759$ will end grand rounds for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00{:}57{:}45.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.040$ I want to thank Renee and her team

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

00:57:48.040 --> 00:57:50.456 for helping get this set up and I hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:50.456 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.586$ everyone has a good rest of the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00:57:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.638$ Will see you see you soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85083014

 $00{:}57{:}55.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58.336$ Thank you, thanks everyone.