## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:04:58.1550000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.129$  Good afternoon, my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.735$  name is Katie Politi and I'm an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:06.735 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.876$  associate professor of pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:08.876 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.348$  and of medicine here at the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:12.348 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.727$  School of Medicine and Awesome Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:15.730 --> 00:00:18.832 Leader of the cancer Signaling Networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}00{:}18.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.549$  Research program at the Yale Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.603$  and it is my pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:26.603 --> 00:00:29.090 Doctor Michael Shen today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:29.090 --> 00:00:32.408 Doctor Shen is a professor of medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.129$  genetics and development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}00{:}34.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.994$ urology and systems biology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:36.994 --> 00:00:39.350 Columbia University Medical Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}00{:}39.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.538$  He received his undergraduate

00:00:41.538 --> 00:00:44.273 degree from Harvard University and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:44.273 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.317$  his PhD from Cambridge University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:47.320 --> 00:00:49.930 He then pursued his postdoctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:49.930 --> 00:00:52.542 training with Phil, Doctor Phil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:00:52.542 --> 00:00:55.147 leader at Harvard Medical School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.965$  before becoming an independent investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:00:57.965 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.980$  at Rutgers in 1994 and moved to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:01.980 --> 00:01:05.310 Columbia University Medical Center in 2007.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.368$  He is currently the Co leader

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:07.368 --> 00:01:09.798 of the Tumor Biology and Micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:09.798 --> 00:01:12.268 Environment Program at the Herbert

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:12.268 --> 00:01:14.300 Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}01{:}14.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.191$  as well as the director of Graduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:17.191 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.841$  studies in the Columbia Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:19.841 --> 00:01:22.485 of Genetics and Development.

 $00:01:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.000$  Over the past 26 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}01{:}25.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.870$  Doctor Shen has investigated fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}01{:}27.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.166$  mechanisms of mammalian development

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:30.166 --> 00:01:33.821 in cancer using in vivo analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:33.821 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.825$  genetically engineered mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.192$  Recently his lamp has generated novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:38.192 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.550$  culture conditions for mouse and human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:01:40.550 --> 00:01:42.510 prostate and bladder organized noise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.970$  which have led to the creation of a bio Bank

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:46.049 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.427$  of patient arrived bladder tumor organoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.769$  Current work in the lab focuses on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}01{:}52.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.001$  understanding molecular mechanisms of cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:55.001 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.197$  type differentiation in the normal as

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:01:57.197 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.489$  well as the transformed prostate epithelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.250$  The epigenetic regulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:02:02.250 --> 00:02:04.010 linear plasticity in both

 $00:02:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.030$  bladder and prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.166$  and the role of the tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:10.166 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.019$  in modulating treatment response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.694$  Doctor Sen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:13.694 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.053$  it really is a pleasure to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

00:02:16.053 --> 00:02:18.620 you here today and have you visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.370$  I'll be at virtually from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.070$  Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00:02:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.430$  so thank you very much and we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.83967113

 $00{:}02{:}23.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.269$  forward to your presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00:02:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.438$  Well, thank you Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00:02:28.438 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.850$  It's a real pleasure to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00{:}02{:}30.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.217$  this opportunity to speak to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00{:}02{:}33.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.852$  This audience that yell and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00:02:34.852 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.260$  wish this were in person, but.

 $00:02:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.416$  Make do as best as we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00:02:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.330$  so I'll go ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015736

 $00:02:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.610$  share my screen. Um? And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:02:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.410$  Hopefully. You can see my presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:02:54.410 --> 00:02:57.868 Is that true? Can everyone see my?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:02:57.868 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.708$  Yes we can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:02:59.708 --> 00:03:01.180 Thank you. Yes excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.388$  So today I'd like to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}03{:}03.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.598$  Take the opportunity to discuss published

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:05.598 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.754$  work, but also a lot of work that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}03{:}08.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.119$  be construed as work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.730$  Much of it focusing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.470$  issue of linic plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.116$  And we've been studying this through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:03:18.116 --> 00:03:20.989 in vivo analysis in mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.290$  but also using organoid models,

 $00:03:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.320$  and we've been studying this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}03{:}26.320 \rightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.580$  both the prostate and the bladder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.457$  So to start with, what is plasticity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.890$  So if we consider that plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.351$  in the most general definition is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:37.351 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.025$  the ability of a cell to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:40.025 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.318$  from one identity to another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.197$  we can think of this in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:03:45.200 --> 00:03:47.660 You know, perhaps cliched Waddington model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:03:47.660 --> 00:03:48.893 As you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:48.893 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.770$  sort of balls rolling down a Hill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}03{:}51.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.594$  We start with a stem cell and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:54.594 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.340$  have various differentiated cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:03:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.693$  types and the ability of cells too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:03:59.700 --> 00:04:01.620 Basically change their identity

 $00:04:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.020$  is considered to be plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}790$  and so there are different forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:06.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.005$  there sort of reprogramming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:09.086 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.046$  to a more progenitor state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.380$  There can be transdifferentiation changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.040$  from one identity to another etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.480$  So this is a process that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:04:19.480 --> 00:04:21.804 studied extensively in both development

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}04{:}21.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.570$  and cancer and it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:04:24.570 --> 00:04:27.390 think about when we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}04{:}27.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.158$  plasticity in cancer to consider that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:04:30.158 --> 00:04:32.648 In order to study plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.878$  it's also essential to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}04{:}35.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.030$  normal pathways of differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:38.109 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.527$  so that one can distinguish what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:40.527 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.051$  happens in the normal context from

 $00:04:43.051 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.956$  what might happen in a tumor context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.529$  So over many years we've been studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:48.529 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.589$  these issues in the prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.906$  and more recently in the bladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:04:52.910 --> 00:04:56.375 and to start with, I just like to consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:04:56.380 --> 00:04:57.156 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:57.156 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.872$  sort of a basic review of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:04:59.872 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.400$  prostate in the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}05{:}01.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.095$  The prostate has a distinct anatomy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}05{:}04.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.416$  It sort of has lobular structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.508$  There are four different lobes in the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.050$  There's the anterior prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}05{:}11.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.599$  the dorsal prostate, the lateral prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}05{:}13.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.377$  as well as the ventral prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.098$  However, in the human there is

 $00:05:19.098 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.850$  something a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}05{:}21.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.832$  The human prostate does not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:24.832 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.820$  a distinct lobular structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.850$  Instead, it can be distinguished at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.278$  the pathological level as having you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.256$  sort of architecture of different regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.236$  So there are zones that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:39.236 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.724$  been defined pathologically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:05:40.730 --> 00:05:42.224 The peripheral, central,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:42.224 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.718$  and transition zones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.786$  so the distinct anatomy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:05:46.786 --> 00:05:49.770 mouse and human prostate has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.136$  Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}05{:}50.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.868$  Of note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:50.868 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.002$  for many years and has been used sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:54.002 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.930$  of as an argument as underscoring

 $00:05:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.836$  the inability perhaps of the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:05:59.836 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.548$  to truly model human prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}06{:}02.550 \to 00{:}06{:}05.224$  Now we've known from studies over many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}06{:}05.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.754$  years that there are many conserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:06:07.754 --> 00:06:09.929 signaling pathways in the like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:06:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.755$  but the relationship between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:06:11.755 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.580$  mouse and human prostate still

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}06{:}13.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.258$  remains somewhat mysterious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

00:06:15.260 --> 00:06:18.046 both in terms of normal development as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}06{:}18.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.147$  well as the cell types can contain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:06:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.682$  Within the prostate. No.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:06:22.682 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.508$  The prostate in the mouse is formed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00:06:25.508 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.196$  at late stages of fetal development

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336113

 $00{:}06{:}28.196 {\:{--}{>}\:} 00{:}06{:}29.540$  and organic Genesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:29.621 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.585$  primarily takes place at neonatal stages.

 $00:06:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.739$  The prostate form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:33.739 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.037$  through a process of ductal budding

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:36.037 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.022$  budding from the urogenital sinus

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:38.022 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.320$  and initial prostate bugs are marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:40.391 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.305$  by expression of the homeo box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.802$  Jinan kicks 3.1 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:43.802 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.294$  visualized by Beta Galactoside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}06{:}45.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.268$  ASA Valax Enoch in that we made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}06{:}48.268 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}06{:}51.283$  number of years ago and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:51.283 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.379$  even early on at the time of birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.702$  Their buds that correspond to distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:06:56.702 --> 00:06:59.948 lobes and at least initially and kicks 3.1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:06:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.686$  is expressed by all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}07{:}02.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.430$  epithelial cells in the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.670$  Prostate formation of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.350$  requires androgen signaling,

 $00:07:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.380$  but the requirements for androgen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.756$  are actually fairly complex and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:15.756 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.308$  involve epithelial mesenchymal interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.020$  So androgen receptor is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:07:21.020 --> 00:07:23.188 required in the urogenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:23.188 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.588$  mesenchyme for prostate formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.748$  So if you delete androgen receptor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}07{:}29.748 \to 00{:}07{:}33.216$  the urogenital mesen chyme here in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}07{:}33.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.866$  TFM una testicular feminize mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}07{:}35.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.650$  And you perform a a tissue recombination

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.419$  assay as was first shown through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}07{:}43.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.220$  The studies of Jerry Kunia about four

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}07{:}46.220 \to 00{:}07{:}49.540$  decades ago now the prostate will not form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923 00:07:49.540 --> 00:07:49.971 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:07:49.971 --> 00:07:52.988 if you delete androgen receptor in the

 $00:07:52.988 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.656$  epithelium now you will form a prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.516$  However, the prostate is not entirely normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.800$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:07:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.000$  it lacks secretory protein production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.776$  So what are the cell types that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:08:05.776 --> 00:08:08.608 found in the normal adult prostate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.546$  Well in both the mouse and the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}11.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.108$  there's an array of different cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:08:14.108 --> 00:08:17.576 types in both the epithelium and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:08:17.576 --> 00:08:20.250 stroma that are just now really being

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.046$  able of being characterized in some detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.702$  but historically we've considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:08:24.702 --> 00:08:26.354 the epithelium as containing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:26.354 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.859$  three basic cell types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}27.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.814$  There are the luminal cells which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}30.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.389$  the tall columnar secretory cells.

 $00:08:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.916$  That produce the prostate secretions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}35.920 \to 00{:}08{:}38.860$  There's an underlying layer of basil cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}38.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.965$  These cells are and rogen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:40.965 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.228$  low or negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}42.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.914$  and express basil cytokeratins

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:43.914 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.598$  unlike the luminal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.126$  which are a are high and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:48.126 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.389$  expressed lumenal cytokeratins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.814$  And then there is a rare third type

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}52.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.809$  known as neuroendocrine cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:08:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.462$  These have been very understudied and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}08{:}58.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.578$  I'll touch upon these later in my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}09{:}01.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.442$  So this is sort of the way we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}09{:}04.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.653$  about the prostate epithelium for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:09:06.653 --> 00:09:08.816 many years now, and classically,

 $00:09:08.816 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.204$  basil cells have always considered have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:11.204 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.330$  been considered to be more interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.562$  They appear to have more stemlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:15.562 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.870$  properties or does luminal cells have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:09:17.870 --> 00:09:20.144 been considered to be somewhat boring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:09:20.150 --> 00:09:22.718 but I think you know for many years

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}09{:}22.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.755$  we've thought that luminal cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:24.755 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.965$  are actually the interesting cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}09{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.616$  And now with the advent of single

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:29.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.372$  cell analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.780$  we see that there's considerable complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.648$  In the lumenal population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:34.648 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.450$  So what do basil cells do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.130$  Well, we believe that there is sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:09:41.130 --> 00:09:43.656 A conserved ancestral role for basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:43.656 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.399$  cells and that's in wound repair.

 $00:09:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.466$  So here, for example, in the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.326$  If we damage the luminal cells by

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00{:}09{:}52.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.030$  deletion of ideat here in inducible

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.814$  deletion of ekit here and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:09:57.814 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.127$  epithelial cells will Slough off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:10:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.412$  And undergo a notice and the basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:10:02.412 --> 00:10:03.862 cells will actually differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

00:10:03.862 --> 00:10:05.887 into luminal cells to replace

NOTE Confidence: 0.81583895576923

 $00:10:05.887 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.507$  the loss luminal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}10{:}07.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.454$  So this is shown in cartoon form here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.348$  So there's sort of a basil to luminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:13.348 --> 00:10:14.889 differentiation that takes place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.650$  so we think that this is a conserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:17.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.430$  function of basil cells in many tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.606$  and more recently,

 $00:10:21.606 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.350$  work from Cedric Web Con Slab has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}10{:}24.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.288$  demonstrated that is in fact the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.826$  What about luminal cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:28.826 --> 00:10:31.120 Well, luminal cells, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:31.120 --> 00:10:33.794 have been considered to be somewhat boring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:33.800 --> 00:10:34.760 but in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:34.760 --> 00:10:37.000 in ex vivo assays at least one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}10{:}37.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.572$  could see that there are luminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}10{:}39.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.840$  progenitors that are by potent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:41.840 --> 00:10:43.796 So if we perform lineages marking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}10{:}43.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.598$  of luminal cells and generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:45.598 --> 00:10:47.743 organoids that are wholly composed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:10:47.743 --> 00:10:49.890 wholly derived from luminal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.085$  then we can see that there are basil cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:10:53.085 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.019$  that can be formed in these organoids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}10{:}56.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.390$  and these basil cells are marked.

 $00:10:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.618$  Indicating their lumenal origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:00.618 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.065$  So of course this is an ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:05.065 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.053$  assay and ex vivo cells often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}11{:}08.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.166$  display more plasticity than is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:11:11.166 --> 00:11:14.526 found in during normal development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.329$  and certainly in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:11:17.330 --> 00:11:19.278 Normal context luminal cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:19.278 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.226$  are usually you'd impotent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.665$  but we think that normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:11:23.665 --> 00:11:24.639 developmental processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.380$  among other things or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.990$  can be constrained by the micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}11{:}29.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.029$ environment and ex vivo assays such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}11{:}32.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.677$  as organoid formation can reveal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:34.677 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.065$  developmental potential that can

 $00:11:37.065 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.050$  be displayed in specific contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.490$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.370$  we think that the ability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:11:44.370 --> 00:11:47.260 luminal cells to display by potency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.173$  to be able to generate basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:50.173 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.221$  cells is actually an ability that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:53.221 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.652$  occurs early in organic Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:55.652 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.809$  So this is sort of a linear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:11:58.809 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.607$  hierarchy as is currently understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.893$  in which there are by potent basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}12{:}04.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.397$  progenitors generating both basal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:07.397 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.937$  luminal cells during organic Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.382$  But.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}12{:}10.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.708$  Luminal progenitors were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:12:11.708 --> 00:12:13.476 generally thought of unipotent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.680$  but in recent studies we found that in

00:12:16.680 --> 00:12:20.347 fact there is a BI potent luminal progenitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}12{:}20.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.095$  so if we lineages mark luminal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.340$  At early postnatal stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:12:26.340 --> 00:12:29.700 using an inducible CK 8 driver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.402$  we can then mark luminal cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:33.402 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.870$  and then analyzed later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.450$  Luminal cells are marked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.030$  but also basil cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.364$  and it's it's a reasonable fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:41.364 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.770$  of basal cells that are marked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.750$  and this by potent progenitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.326$  is fairly short lived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:12:47.326 --> 00:12:48.508 It's fairly transient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:12:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.135$  but it can still be detected at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:12:51.135 --> 00:12:53.694 a week after birth, but again,

 $00:12:53.694 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.613$  the ability of this by potent progenitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}12{:}56.613 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.660$  quickly disappears thereafter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:12:58.660 --> 00:12:59.881 So interesting, Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:12:59.881 --> 00:13:02.730 both the by potent basil progenitor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:02.801 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.489$  this by potent luminal progenitor do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:13:05.489 --> 00:13:07.960 not require androgen receptor function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}13{:}07.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.380$  so if we delete and rogen receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.493$  In basil cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:12.493 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.090$  we find that there's no effect on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.520$  the formation of luminal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}13{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.860$  and similarly if we delete a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.010$  are in the luminal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.384$  we don't see any effect on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:25.384 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.510$  generation of basil cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.219$  So in cartoon form then what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}13{:}30.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.946$  think is going on is that there is

 $00:13:33.946 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.930$  a urogenital epithelial progenitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00{:}13{:}35.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.091$  that gives rise to both basal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:39.091 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.636$  and luminal progenitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.915$  and initially the basil progenitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:42.915 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.237$  can be by potent as as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:46.237 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.149$  as the luminal progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

00:13:48.150 --> 00:13:51.894 But that this by potency is fairly transient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.060$  and then in adulthood both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8089924

 $00:13:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.220$  luminal and basal progenitors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:13:56.298 --> 00:13:57.740 generally unipotent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:13:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.350$  However, this period of by potency

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.571$  is actually occurring in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:02.571 --> 00:14:04.596 first four weeks after birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.864$  and Interestingly, this is a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:07.864 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.980$  when androgen levels are very low.

 $00:14:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.530$  At these pre pubertal stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.774$  Now there is one other interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:15.774 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.270$  aspect of luminal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.006$  which is that in a series of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:20.006 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.657$  we've shown that they are favored as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:22.657 --> 00:14:25.120 cells of origin for prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:25.120 --> 00:14:27.976 so we've shown this in a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:27.976 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.610$  different transgenic mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}14{:}29.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.228$  as well as a hormonal carcinogenesis model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:32.230 --> 00:14:35.214 If we mark Basil cells in these models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}14{:}35.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.091$  for example in the hymec model or a tramp

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:38.091 --> 00:14:40.458 model which are well characterized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:40.460 --> 00:14:42.330 transgenic models of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.330$  the basal cells are marked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:44.330 --> 00:14:47.130 But they don't really contribute to tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:14:47.130 --> 00:14:50.098 However, we mark Lumenal cells now they

 $00:14:50.098 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.560$  readily contribute to tumor formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.656$  So. What we think is going on then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:55.656 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.830$  is that if we mark luminal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:14:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.318$  they will contribute tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}15{:}00.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.918$  and this argues that luminal cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:02.918 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.039$  a cell of origin for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.770$  If we mark Basil cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.490$  they don't contribute to tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:15:08.490 --> 00:15:10.560 However, if you explant these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.188$  they will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:15:11.188 --> 00:15:14.360 You know in this sort of ex vivo context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}15{:}14.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.334$  For example, in a graft undergo a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:16.334 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.150$  basil to luminal differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.220$  and now they can form tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.980$  So we consider basal cells to be a

 $00:15:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.774$  celeb mutation, whereas luminal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:24.774 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.982$  Are the true cell of origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:15:26.990 --> 00:15:27.662 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:15:27.662 --> 00:15:29.342 we've analyzed this in multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:29.342 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.340$  mouse models of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.155$  So because we've observed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:33.155 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.607$  same result every time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.262$  we think that luminal cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}15{:}37.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.350$  generally favored as a cell of origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823 00:15:40.350 --> 00:15:42.050 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.640$  We now have this view that lumenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:15:44.640 --> 00:15:47.638 cells are in fact quite interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.854$  so recently we decided to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:49.854 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.919$  the heterogeneity of the prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:15:51.919 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.519$  epithelium using single cell approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}15{:}54.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.642$  For this we had to really learn how to

 $00:15:58.642 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.989$  dissect the mouse prostate properly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}16{:}01.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.244$  You might think that's a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:04.244 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.264$  an over some bug, an exaggeration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:16:06.264 --> 00:16:07.632 considering we've been studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:07.632 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.490$  the prostate for over 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:16:09.490 --> 00:16:11.428 but in fact it's really not

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:11.428 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.070$  a trivial matter of two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:16:13.070 --> 00:16:14.282 Dissect the individual mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:14.282 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.520$  lobes all the way down to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.956$  junction with the urethra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.099$  So this is sort of a view of sort of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:21.099 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.829$  we can dissect the weight mouse lobes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}16{:}23.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.105$  This is actually in a green mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.079$  so you can see the lobes that are dissected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.942$  And then we subjected these two

 $00{:}16{:}32.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.393$  single cell RNA sequencing both

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}16{:}35.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.111$  the whole prostate as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:38.111 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.573$  the individual lobes to analyze

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:16:40.573 --> 00:16:43.123 the results we collaborated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:16:43.123 --> 00:16:47.270 Roll Rabadan's lab Raul has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:16:47.270 --> 00:16:48.683 Developed you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:48.683 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.509$  amazing algorithms that are based upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:51.509 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.306$  rather sophisticated mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:53.306 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.056$  approaches for analyzing single cell data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.662$  So in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:16:58.662 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.332$  his laboratory has developed approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:17:01.332 --> 00:17:04.130 based upon random matrix theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}17{:}04.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.310$  which demonstrate that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:17:06.310 --> 00:17:08.490 large arrays of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:17:08.490 --> 00:17:11.927 for example as one generates using so

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:17:11.927 --> 00:17:16.097 single cell RNA sequencing are mostly noise,

 $00:17:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.756$  so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:17:16.756 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.724$  If you consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:17:18.724 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.348$  These as giant matrices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:17:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.768$  well in fact the distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00{:}17{:}23.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.579$  eigenvalues follows a sort of conserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

 $00:17:26.579 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.823$  mathematical distribution known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79517823

00:17:28.823 --> 00:17:31.067 the Marchenko Pastur distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:17:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.034$  and the deviation for this distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:17:34.034 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.909$  is actually where the signal is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:17:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.850$  and typically it's only

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:17:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.248$  about 2 to 3% of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:17:42.248 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.164$  So this is a hypothetical distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}17{:}45.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.600$  This is actually real data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:17:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.310$  This is one of our prostate datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:17:51.310 --> 00:17:53.926 And again, here is the signal,

00:17:53.930 --> 00:17:56.546 so his laboratory is developed randomly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}17{:}56.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.498$  an algorithm to isolate these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.408$  And this proved to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:02.408 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.680$  useful in our analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.039$  because it allowed us to identify a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:06.039 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.198$  cell population that would have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:08.198 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.364$  very difficult to identify other ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.359$  So when we examine an aggregated data

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}18{:}13.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.780$  set of whole prostate, we observe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.548$  Five different lumenal populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:18:19.548 --> 00:18:20.874 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.538$  we only identify one Basil population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.750$  So basil cells are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.634$  not heterogeneous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:18:26.640 --> 00:18:28.408 but instead there's heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:18:28.408 --> 00:18:30.176 in the lumenal population.

 $00:18:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.968$  So, first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:31.968 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.756$  there's four distinct lumenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:33.756 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.984$  populations that correspond to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:35.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.498$  that are located distally in each

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}18{:}38.498 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}18{:}40.334$  prostate lobes a lumet population

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:40.334 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.569$  distally in the anterior prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:42.569 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.015$  loom D in the distal dorsal prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:46.015 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.460$  lumel the lateral movie and eventual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.422$  Then there is a population that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:51.422 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.400$  we call Lumpi for proxamol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.536$  It is similar in all four lobes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:18:56.536 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.376$  it is it is found more approximately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}19{:}00.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.807 \text{ Finally,}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:00.807 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.942$  there is a population that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:02.942 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.223$  we call Paraurethral.

 $00:19:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.942$  This population has both

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:19:05.942 --> 00:19:07.654 basal and luminal properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.090$  and it is found in the region

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.949$  most adjacent to the urethra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.410$  So these are the distinct epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:19:16.410 --> 00:19:18.050 properties that we've identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.690$  We've also identified heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.920$  in the stroma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}19{:}20.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.790$  but I won't speak to that further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921 00:19:23.790 --> 00:19:24.200 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.660$  as you can note from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.890$  sort of illustration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.882$  there is diversity along

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:29.882 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.874$  the proximal distal axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.562$  So to give you an idea of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}19{:}34.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.148$  mean by proximal and distal axis,

00:19:37.150 --> 00:19:38.935 here's an anterior prostate that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}19{:}38.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.795$  been sort of splayed out and cut in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:41.795 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.161$  histological section when we refer to distal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.220$  we're actually referring

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.970$  to this whole region here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.080$  That is more than 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.830$  the volume of the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.630$  The proximal region is just this region here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.094$  And these regions are quite distinct at

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:19:57.094 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.189$  the level of marker expression as well as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:20:00.190 --> 00:20:00.608 Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:00.608 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.534$  so here if we go along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:20:03.534 --> 00:20:04.850 proximal distal axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}20{:}04.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.550$  we have the paraurethral

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.250$  region has specific markers.

 $00:20:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.370$  Here is the proximal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00{:}20{:}10.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.212$  It has a distinct Histology and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:13.212 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.378$  marked by specific gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:15.378 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.416$  of specific genes such as this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.808$  PPP, one R1B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.922$  Then we have in the distal

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:22.922 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.826$  region other markers that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:24.826 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.966$  specific for distal luminal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.370$  The lume population here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.968$  but you will note there are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:30.968 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.518$  proxamol cells that are scattered about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.116$  They're not very common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:35.116 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.938$  but you can definitely find them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:37.938 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.444$  the distal region and then in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.540$  There appears to be a boundary

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.600$  where these regions meet.

00:20:45.600 --> 00:20:47.840 If you perform electron micrography

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:20:47.840 --> 00:20:51.310 micrography of sort of the boundary region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.458$  you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:20:54.460 --> 00:20:56.872 These lumit lumayan lumpi cells actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

00:20:56.872 --> 00:20:59.260 appear to be distinct cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:20:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.660$  so the lume cells again are

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:21:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.260$  tall columnar secretory cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:21:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.460$  The loopy cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:21:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.060$  on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849921

 $00:21:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.060$  have a more cuboidal appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.870$  and they don't seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.140$  particularly secretory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

00:21:13.140 --> 00:21:14.920 At the transcriptomic level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.253$  you can analyze the sort of relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:18.253 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.727$  of these populations with each other.

00:21:21.730 --> 00:21:24.760 So Luis Aparicio, postdoc INR Lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.790$  who who's done these computational analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00{:}21{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.075$  has used. An approach based upon optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00{:}21{:}32.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.050$  transport theory to calculate Wasserstein

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

00:21:34.105 --> 00:21:36.077 distances between these populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.784$  and then relate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:37.784 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.488$  populations to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

00:21:39.490 --> 00:21:42.906 You can see that Lumpi is sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00{:}21{:}42.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.670$  you know, sort of at the center related

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

00:21:45.670 --> 00:21:48.460 to the distal lumenal populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00{:}21{:}48.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.808$  and then PR you and basil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.076$  So in order to investigate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74194753

 $00:21:56.076 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.630$  function of these populations, we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}22{:}02.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.738$  And so, as you might imagine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:04.738 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.330$  from this sort of relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.018$  we observed that there is greater

 $00:22:10.018 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.560$  projector potential in the loom PPR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}22{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.735$  You and basil populations versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:22:14.735 --> 00:22:16.475 the distal lumenal population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.096$  So here's an organoid formation assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.285$  You can see that the distal lumenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:22:22.285 --> 00:22:25.021 populations all have a low efficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:22:25.021 --> 00:22:28.031 of formation of organoids lumpi as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:22:28.118 --> 00:22:30.960 much greater efficiency and PR you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:22:30.960 --> 00:22:34.670 Basel have a greater efficiency yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.935$  We've also isolated these populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:36.935 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.763$  by flow cytometry and performed renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:39.763 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.208$  grafting assays so in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}22{:}42.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.675$  with urogenital mesenchyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.996$  these cells were formed renal grafts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:45.996 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.360$  all be it with different efficiencies,

 $00:22:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.040$  and then we can analyze the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.860$  cell types present within these graphs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:22:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.471$  So using the markers that we've identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:22:59.471 --> 00:23:01.918 that is specific for each population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:23:01.920 --> 00:23:02.696 In brief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:02.696 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.024$  the loom a another distal luminal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}23{:}05.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.358$  Cells can make more of themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:23:07.360 --> 00:23:10.090 but not the loom PNP Ru populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.030$  where is the loom PNP?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:23:12.030 --> 00:23:14.364 Ru populations can make all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}23{:}14.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.920$  the other different populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.640$  so this supports a sort of a projector.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.719$  Increased progenitor potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:22.719 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.184$  for loom PNP RU populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.374$  So finally one can ask what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:28.374 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.830$  is the relationship between

 $00:23:29.906 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.826$  the mouse and human prostate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.592$  After all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:32.592 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.497$  anatomically they are quite different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:34.497 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.970$  and histologically as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.970$  so we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.646$  Analyzed three independent benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:23:39.646 --> 00:23:41.322 prostatectomy specimens at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:41.322 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.258$  single cell level, and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:43.258 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.736$  we can see that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:45.736 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.540$  single basil population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.204$  but they're different lumenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:49.204 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.700$  populations that we can relate to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.945$  The lumenal populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:52.945 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.435$  that we see in the mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:23:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.714$  so there isn't a snare population

 $00:23:57.714 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.235$  that seems more closely related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}24{:}00.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.099$  the distal lumenal populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:24:02.100 --> 00:24:04.180 A ductal populations more proxamol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.156$  as well as a PR you like population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:24:08.160 --> 00:24:08.666 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:24:08.666 --> 00:24:11.196 using analysis of Wasserstein distances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.384$  this time in across species way we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:14.384 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.279$  we can definitely show this relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}24{:}18.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.815$  So the acinar cells are actually interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.260$  Lee most closely related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}24{:}24.260 --> 00{:}24{:}27.390$  lumenal L cells in the mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.920$  the ductal cells to loom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:24:29.920 --> 00:24:32.450 P&PRU 2P RU in Bloom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.392$  P, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:33.392 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.689$  So this analysis highlights loom L as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:36.689 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.879$  a population of interest in the mouse.

 $00:24:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.743$  It perhaps is most closely related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}24{:}43.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.559$  the bulk of the human prostate epithelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:24:47.560 --> 00:24:50.416 Yet the lateral lobe is understudied

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:50.416 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.844$  in the mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.386$  and particularly analysis of cancer models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:24:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.395$  So now I'd like to turn to cancer a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:25:00.395 --> 00:25:04.436 little bit and think about plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:25:04.436 --> 00:25:07.220 in advanced prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.609$  So in the current spectrum of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}25{:}11.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.910$  Prostate cancer where we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}25{:}14.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.265$  Treatment of with potent anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:17.265 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.314$  androgens that are very efficient at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}25{:}20.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.766$  suppressing energon receptor function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.252$  Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:23.252 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.662$  castration resistant prostate cancer is

 $00:25:25.662 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.060$  displaying a range of different entities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}25{:}29.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.604$  As sort of a spectrum that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:32.604 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.979$  distinguished perhaps by different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:34.979 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.854$  differential lineages, plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:36.854 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.102$  So there is prostate cancer that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:25:40.102 --> 00:25:43.356 remains a our pathway positive it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:43.356 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.600$  still expresses androgen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.008$  despite the presence of anti androgens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.670$  And then at the other extreme

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:25:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.110$  we have neuroendocrine prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00{:}25{:}55.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.710$  cancer which is a are negative and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:25:58.710 --> 00:26:00.852 He's most extreme forms can display

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

 $00:26:00.852 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.764$  a small cell phenotype that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8025036

00:26:02.764 --> 00:26:04.440 very aggressive and lethal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.325$  so there's been considerable interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:06.325 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.210$  in the mechanisms of neuroendocrine

 $00:26:08.271 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.325$  differentiation in CR, PC, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:10.325 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.469$  There have been studies of CR PC that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:13.469 --> 00:26:16.277 trying to distinguish the different entities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.572$  and, for example, there is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:18.572 --> 00:26:19.718 considered double negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.012$  That is a are negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:22.012 --> 00:26:22.776 neuroendocrine negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.503$  which is defined more by what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:25.503 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.120$  is not rather than what it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.200$  but the relationships between these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:30.200 --> 00:26:32.624 Distinct entities is unclear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:32.624 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.128$  and it may be simple, maybe more complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}26{:}39.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.152$  But there is wide spread agreement that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:41.152 --> 00:26:43.904 there must be a role for epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:43.904 --> 00:26:46.700 reprogramming in this process, and so.

 $00:26:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.235$  A range of studies have provided evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:50.235 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.105$  that there's increase in ezh two as PRC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:26:54.110 --> 00:26:56.888 Two activity in this spectrum of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:56.888 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.740$  plasticity and recently flybot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:26:58.818 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.387$  John Cody's lab has shown that PRC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:27:01.387 --> 00:27:04.081 one activity is elevated in double

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}27{:}04.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.097$  negative prostate cancer etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.554$  But this is all involved studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:08.554 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.731$  either in cell lines or in human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:27:11.731 --> 00:27:13.195 prostate cancer specimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.698$  so it's been difficult to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:27:16.698 --> 00:27:19.030 of study these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}27{:}19.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.320$  You know at a more detailed level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.989$  and in terms of mechanism as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.938$  So to approach this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}27{:}27.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.115$  we started with a mouse model that we

00:27:32.115 --> 00:27:34.990 had been analyzing in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.889$  with Korea Body Schenz lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.768$  So the NPP 53 mouse model uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:41.768 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.929$  inducible deletion of P-10 and P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.680$  Of these animals develop a castration

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.740$  resistant prostate cancer that will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:27:51.740 --> 00:27:53.364 Display features of neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}27{:}53.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.800$  differentiation and we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}27{:}55.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.067$  distinguish what we called focal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:58.067 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.390$  neuroendocrine differentiation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:27:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.775$  which the neuroendocrine cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:01.775 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.180$  not proliferative from overt nor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.044$  endocrine differentiation which often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:06.044 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.279$  displays a small cell phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.068$  These are highly proliferative

 $00:28:10.068 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.409$  or endocrine cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:28:11.410 --> 00:28:14.539 However, since we use lineages marking here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.288$  we could show that the neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:17.288 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.349$  cells are derived from a luminal cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.540$  so the initial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:28:22.540 --> 00:28:26.190 Tumor induction was from luminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.420$  cells and then we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.939$  Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:29.939 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.534$  formation of CR PC neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.123$  differentiation and then there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}28{:}35.123 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}28{:}37.293$  some type of proliferative switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:37.293 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.680$  that we don't understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.565$  results in this highly proliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:42.565 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.296$  neuroendocrine prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.555$  So because we use linear tracing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.788$  we provided evidence that in fact

 $00:28:50.788 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.470$  this was a transdifferentiation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.098$  luminal cells to neuron can cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.788$  So when we think about transdifferentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:28:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.060$  there's sort of a fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.876$  question both in developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:02.876 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.058$  as well as cancer context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.070$  which is what is really going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.361$  on in terms of the pathways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:10.361 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.230$  result in transdifferentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.679$  Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}29{:}12.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.373$  it's possible that this change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:15.373 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.447$  identity occurs through a transition that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}29{:}18.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.647$  happens normally during development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.133$  Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:21.133 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.548$  it's possible that this change

 $00:29:23.548 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.919$  in identity occurs through a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}29{:}25.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.133$  transition that is wholly or at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}29{:}28.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.228$  least partially novel that doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:29:30.228 --> 00:29:32.528 really occur in normal development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.890$  so there could be a hijacking of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.843$  an alternative pathway or or some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:29:38.843 --> 00:29:41.203 other pathway or process that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00{:}29{:}41.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.808$  doesn't occur in normal context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.082$  To understand this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:29:45.082 --> 00:29:48.915 of course it is first of all important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:48.915 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.007$  to discover how neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:29:52.007 --> 00:29:54.326 cells differentiate normally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.791$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

00:29:54.791 --> 00:29:57.096 It's remarkable that there's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:29:57.096 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.309$  little in the published literature about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:30:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.591$  Origin in fact,

 $00{:}30{:}01.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.299$  features of neuroendocrine cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413423

 $00:30:03.299 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.270$  in the normal prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.832$  enlarged likely because they are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:07.832 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.574$  rare and what is also interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:10.574 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.418$  is that there are different models

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:13.418 \dashrightarrow 00:30:16.550$  have been put forth for their origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.004$  So one model says that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:19.004 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.230$  actually are of epithelial origin

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.760$  and arise from Basel progenitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.488$  Another model is that they arise from neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

00:30:27.488 --> 00:30:31.268 Crest and so Cedric Pompons lab published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.625$  That they came from basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00{:}30{:}32.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.370$  cells and a more recent paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.512$  From that they're from their old Crest

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:36.512 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.018$  in both these papers use linear tracing.

 $00:30:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.316$  In fact, we believe that both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00{:}30{:}41.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.595$  these papers are incorrect and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:43.595 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.043$  likely they arise from an early

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:46.043 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.000$  urogenital epithelial progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.436$  So neuroendocrine cells are very rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.888$  But what is make some particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:52.888 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.338$  in the mouse, prostate is they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

00:30:55.338 --> 00:30:56.556 highly asymmetrically distributed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:30:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.824$  so they are mostly found in the proximal

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00{:}30{:}59.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.280$  region, which I showed you earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.722$  So nearly all the owner can

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:04.722 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.943$  cells are proxamol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.078$  They're very rare distally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

00:31:09.080 --> 00:31:10.896 And remarkably, neuroendocrine cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

00:31:10.896 --> 00:31:12.258 despite their rarity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.488$  are heterogeneous.

 $00:31:13.488 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.174$  So about 80% of neuron can cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

00:31:17.174 --> 00:31:19.018 have adluminal like phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.400$  They actually express androgen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.780$  remarkably and they express lumenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:23.845 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.387$  cytokeratins and then another 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:26.387 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.069$  your endocrine cells are basil like

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:29.069 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.929$  they expressed basal cytokeratins and P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.210$  63.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

 $00:31:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.826$  They can be detected very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.834509

00:31:36.826 --> 00:31:39.070 in organic Genesis at burn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}31{:}45.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.157$  Many, most perhaps all Durand Prince cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:31:49.157 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.260$  are actually formed at prepubertal stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:31:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.740$  and since neuroendocrine cells I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}31{:}55.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.059$  mention this on previous slide are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:31:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.492$  But never divide there.

 $00:32:00.492 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.640$  They appear to be post mitotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:02.640 \dashrightarrow 00:32:05.615$  We believe that they are made and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}32{:}05.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07.760$  are not subsequently generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.995$  By lineages tracing, we believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:32:09.995 --> 00:32:12.830 that they have an epithelial origin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:12.830 \dashrightarrow 00:32:15.846$  so using an NCX Cree driver we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:15.846 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.503$  mark most of the prostate epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:18.503 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.399$  cells and in fact the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:32:22.399 --> 00:32:26.193 neuroendocrine cells are marked by NCX Creek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.966$  so we believe they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}32{:}28.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.349$  prostate epithelial origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.806$  So there's more than 95% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}32{:}33.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36.118$  neuroendocrine cells are marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:36.118 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.852$  in this experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.080$  Can finally neuroendocrine cells you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:32:43.080 --> 00:32:43.944 Likely arise,

 $00:32:43.944 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.968$  as has been shown in the lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:32:46.968 --> 00:32:49.269 through a pro neural pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.518$  in which sort of the master regulator

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:52.518 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.796$  at the top of of this of the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:32:57.796 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.489$  transcription factor hierarchy is ASE L1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.521$  So if we delete ACL one in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:03.521 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.820$  the mouse prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.376$  we can actually recover mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}07.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.080$  have prostates that completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:33:09.149 --> 00:33:10.877 lack your endocrine cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}10.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.192$  And yet the prostate appears to be normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:14.192 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.380$  and there is a normal proximal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}17.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.926$  So we do have a rare escaper cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:20.926 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.545$  the Periorbital region which are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:24.545 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.730$  due to incomplete deletion by index 3.1.

 $00:33:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.022$  So our current model for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:31.022 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.503$  origin of your endocrine cells is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:33.503 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.137$  that they likely arise from your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:33:36.137 --> 00:33:37.890 original epithelial progenitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.490$  although we haven't excluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:33:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.490$  the possibility they arise from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}41.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.550$  an early lumenal progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:33:43.550 --> 00:33:46.406 But in either case progenitor activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}46.406 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}33{:}48.758$  coincides with the developmental stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:33:48.758 --> 00:33:51.397 in which androgen levels are very low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}51.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.764$  and we're currently studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:33:53.764 --> 00:33:56.128 The molecular properties of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}56.128 {\:\hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\> 00{:}33{:}57.840$  normal neuroendocrine cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}33{:}57.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.028$  To understand you know in more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:02.028 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.122$  detail their regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:34:04.130 --> 00:34:05.994 Moving on to cancer,

 $00:34:05.994 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.655$  we've used the NP 53 mouse model

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}34{:}09.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.470$  to generate organoid lines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}34{:}13.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.522$  displayed neuroendocrine phenotypes so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.924$  This is work from a talented

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:18.924 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.895$  postdoc in my lab, Jolly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:20.895 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.895$  She has used NP 53 tumors and established

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:34:23.895 --> 00:34:26.898 a large number of organ would lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.300$  some of which have neuroendocrine features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.290$  As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.090$  this is the sort of primary tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}34{:}34.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36.478$  and you'll note that it's heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.072$  These are the organoids

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:34:38.072 --> 00:34:39.266 are established there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.670$  green because of the linear smirking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:34:41.670 --> 00:34:44.365 Here's a different line that you can

00:34:44.365 --> 00:34:47.409 see the region of small cell Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}34{:}47.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.440$  And these organoids are heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.520$  You can see that they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.246$  neuroendocrine region as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:54.246 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.725$  as a non \*\*\*\*\* can region that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:56.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.345$  is mesenchymal in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:34:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.780$  So this can be more clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.400$  revealed by marker analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.420$  So here's a line that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.228$  relatively homogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.235$  It expresses Synaptophysin and Chromogranin

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:07.235 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.080$  a so it has a neuroendocrine phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}35{:}11.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.929$  Here's a different line that is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:13.929 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.464$  heterogeneous there that has sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:16.464 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.120$  mixed expression of neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}35{:}18.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.900$  markers as well as androgen receptors.

 $00:35:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.238$  To some extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:35:22.238 --> 00:35:24.914 it actually has a double positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:24.914 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.000$  or African phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.520$  This is a heterogeneous line that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

00:35:29.520 --> 00:35:31.200 I showed you earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.797$  so it is it has intermixing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:33.797 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.924$  neuroendocrine cells and non your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00{:}35{:}35.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.812$  endocrine cells that express

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690831

 $00:35:37.812 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.756$  androgen receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.466$  Here is a different heterogeneous line

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:41.466 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.420$  again with a similar intermingling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.690$  So these new rendering lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.506$  whether homogeneous or heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:35:48.506 --> 00:35:50.776 or highly stable during passaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.045$  they can be passaged for

 $00:35:53.045 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.857$  more than 20 passages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}35{:}54.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.825$  and the heterogeneous lines will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:57.825 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.604$  maintain their heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:35:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.848$  So we can analyze the heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:01.848 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.046$  using single cell RNA sequencing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}36{:}04.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.940$  so this is the first line I showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}36{:}06.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.332$  and Interestingly the clusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:08.332 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.072$  are sort of grouped together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:10.080 \dashrightarrow 00:36:13.550$  so we have an ARPU C cluster on any PC

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:13.646 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.666$  cluster and and and and a grouping of DN PC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.220$  And this is this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.495$  other heterogeneous line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.716$  and we see a similar arrangement of clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.720$  So the heterogeneity of these organize

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:36:28.720 --> 00:36:31.691 is striking because it suggests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}36{:}31.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.349$  we've been we're able to capture

00:36:34.349 --> 00:36:38.563 much of the spectrum of CR PC within

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}36{:}38.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.727$  organoids established organoid lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.015$  So what can we do with these organoid lines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.948$  Well, we can do a number of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.860$  One thing is we can examine, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:49.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.345$  sort of the epigenetic marks that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:52.345 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.267$  displayed in these organoid lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.362$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}36{:}55.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.546$  we've been pursuing cut and tag

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:57.546 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.300$  analysis here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:36:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.396$  looking at H3K27 trimethyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:00.396 \dashrightarrow 00:37:04.469$  So this is the mark deposited by PRC 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}37{:}04.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.277$  And so I'll just show you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:07.277 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.549$  little this little tidbit here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.815$  What's interesting here is that

 $00:37:11.815 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.627$  actually the non neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:13.627 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.114$  lines appear to be have a somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:37:16.114 --> 00:37:18.019 higher level of H3K27 trimethyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:18.019 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.119$  than the neuroendocrine lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.670$  So that's something interesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.530$  we are currently following up on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}37{:}25.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.162$  We've also been collaborating

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:37:28.162 --> 00:37:30.794 with Andrea Califano's laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}37{:}30.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.716$  Which has developed a set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:33.716 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.174$  computational systems approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}37{:}35.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.658$  to identify master regulators

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:37:37.658 --> 00:37:40.218 that drive biological processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.624$  and so one of the sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}37{:}45.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.354$  Analytical approaches that they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:47.354 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.940$  developed is known as Meta Viper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}37{:}49.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.376$  where we can take single cell

00:37:52.376 --> 00:37:54.519 RNA sequencing data and analyze

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:37:54.519 --> 00:37:56.704 this to infer protein activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:37:56.704 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.990$  at the single cell level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:37:58.990 --> 00:38:02.147 So Alessandro Vasi Evo in Andre's lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:38:02.147 --> 00:38:05.028 postdoc in Andre's lab has done this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}38{:}05.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.352$  and again using the same organoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:07.352 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.958$  line as I showed you earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:09.958 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.918$  here by protein inference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:11.920 \dashrightarrow 00:38:14.937$  we can see again clustering of ARPU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.860$  See any PC and NPC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.400$  The the RPC cluster is elevated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.190$  Using an androgen receptor signal is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}38{:}27.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.547$  is enriched for androgen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}38{:}29.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.061$  signature the any PC cluster is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:32.061 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.497$  enriched for a neuroendocrine signature

00:38:34.497 --> 00:38:37.791 and when we can predict master

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}38{:}37.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.826$  regulators using this sort of approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:40.826 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.378$  Notably one of the newer endocrine master

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:44.378 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.726$  regulators that's predicted is ACL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.946$  So this is a way that we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.547$  This is a method that we're employing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:53.547 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.770$  to identify candidate intrinsic drivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:38:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.336$  of neuroendocrine differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}38{:}57.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.946$  that we're currently seeking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:00.012 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.900$  validate in functional assets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006 00:39:01.900 --> 00:39:02.331 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:02.331 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.917$  One of the sort of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:04.917 \dashrightarrow 00:39:08.188$  questions we can ask is does trans

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:08.188 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.553$  differentiation occur at some level

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:10.553 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.480$  in organoid cultures and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.214$  some evidence that that it might

 $00:39:16.214 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.762$  one way that we've been looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00{:}39{:}18.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.481$  this is using single cell a tax seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:39:22.481 --> 00:39:24.421 to examine chromatin Accessibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

 $00:39:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.558$  and we can see that this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84401006

00:39:27.558 --> 00:39:30.437 same line again by single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:39:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.580$  a taxi there, seven clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:39:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.272$  and these clusters are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:39:34.272 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.387$  Have open chromatin at chromogranin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}39{:}36.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.530$  A neuron can marker these in a R and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:39:39.611 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.716$  you can see is that there is one cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:39:42.716 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.665$  here that has open chromatin for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:39:45.670 --> 00:39:48.385 Chrome Grande are you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:39:48.385 --> 00:39:50.557 see this more readily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:39:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.703$  Looking at the genomic locus so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}39{:}53.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.532$  cluster seven has accessible chromatin

 $00:39:56.532 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.520$  at both chromogranin, A&AR and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.768$  This cluster, we believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}40{:}02.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.238$  corresponds to a potential transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:05.238 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.303$  population in the process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:08.303 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.807$  neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.510$  We've also been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.210$  to assay this directly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.760$  so this is very preliminary data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}40{:}15.760 --> 00{:}40{:}18.070$  but we can isolate non your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.440$  endocrine cells by flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.415$  Mark them with expression of RFP and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:23.415 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.959$  then culture than honor in cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:40:25.960 --> 00:40:28.492 and neurons can sell separately for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:28.492 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.665$  several passages and they maintain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:40:30.665 --> 00:40:33.610 their non \*\*\*\*\* couldn't border and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.160$  phenotypes if we coculture them together.

 $00:40:36.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.566$  However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:36.566 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.002$  we now see their rare cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:39.002 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.360$  that that our RFP positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:40:41.360 --> 00:40:43.440 But now express neuron could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.520$  markers such as synaptophysin or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}40{:}45.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.988$  Chromogranin A and interesting Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.077$  They maintain the expression of the Menton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.616$  So these appear to be a transitional cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.832$  since phenotype seemingly corresponds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:40:56.832 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.540$  to the what the a taxi might predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551 00:41:01.540 --> 00:41:01.854 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:01.854 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.052$  so these are some of the approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:04.052 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.499$  that we've been employing to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:06.499 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.694$  language plasticity in prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:41:08.700 --> 00:41:11.339 In the remaining 10 minutes or so,

 $00:41:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.937$  I'd like to switch over to bladder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:13.937 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.960$  cancer and explain how we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:41:15.960 --> 00:41:18.060 been using organize to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.880$  plasticity in bladder cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.540$  So bladder cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.977$  Is of course a major health problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}41{:}26.980 --> 00{:}41{:}28.411$  It's quite understudied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:41:28.411 --> 00:41:30.796 The normal bladder contains again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}41{:}30.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.849$  sort of three epithelial cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.310$  as it were basil cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.290$  intermediate cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.760$  and umbrella cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.370$  and bladder cancer can be roughly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:41:41.370 --> 00:41:43.758 divided into non muscle invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}41{:}43.758 \rightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.618$  disease and muscle invasive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.111$  Historically,

 $00:41:47.111 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.566$  these have been considered to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:41:49.566 --> 00:41:51.530 be almost distinct entities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.145$  and it's unclear what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:54.145 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.237$  relationship actually is so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:41:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.000$  There are two forms of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:41:59.000 --> 00:42:00.840 muscle invasive bladder cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:42:00.840 --> 00:42:02.776 papillary and carcinoma insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:02.776 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.680$  two and carcinoma insight two has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:05.753 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.469$  been considered to be sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}42{:}08.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.959$  precursor to muscle invasive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:42:10.960 --> 00:42:11.367 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:11.367 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.623$  there is also sorry there is also some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}42{:}14.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.147$  evidence that papillary disease can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:42:17.147 --> 00:42:19.692 progress to muscle invasive disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.126$  so we've been interested in studying

 $00:42:23.126 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.850$  progression of bladder cancer and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.113$  To pursue this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:27.113 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.797$  we've actually established patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

00:42:28.797 --> 00:42:30.710 derived bladder tumor organoids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.038$  and these have been established through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:33.038 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.590$  collaboration with urologists who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.459$  perform transurethral resection's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.204$  So what they do is they sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:39.204 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.760$  of go in and extract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.334$  sort of like the tops of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:44.334 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.620$  these of these tumors here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.830$  This is what they actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.598$  view through the cystoscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.048$  This might look a little uncomfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:53.048 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.828$  for men in the audience, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:42:55.828 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.404$  This is how it's done and we

 $00:42:58.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.279$  take these samples and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:01.279 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.839$  establish organoid lines in culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.820$  which we can seriously passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.190$  These organoids can also be grafted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.122$  Orthotopic Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:09.122 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.452$  This orthotopic grafting it uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:11.452 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.236$  ultrasound guided implantation into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:13.236 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.504$  the bladder wall which is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00{:}43{:}15.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.737$  efficient process so we can readily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348551

 $00:43:17.737 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.637$  interconvert organoids into Xena graphs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00{:}43{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.970$  We can also take the Xeno grafts and convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.784$  them back to organoids, so all of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00{:}43{:}26.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.537$  Together with the parental tumor can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:30.537 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.425$  analyzed by sequencing or histopathology etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.030$  So this just shows you some of the organoid

 $00:43:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.125$  lines that we established and what is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:43:41.130 --> 00:43:43.356 I think, evident is that the organoids

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:43.356 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.918$  and the Xeno grafts retained the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:45.918 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.942$  characteristic characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:43:46.942 --> 00:43:49.502 Histology of the parental tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.030$  We also can establish organoid lines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.540$  have less common histological variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.260$  such as squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:43:57.260 --> 00:43:59.092 In recent unpublished work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:43:59.092 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.459$  we've now increased our bio bank to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00{:}44{:}02.459 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}44{:}04.599$  approximately 50 organoid lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.534$  We've been able to establish organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:07.534 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.490$  lines from cystectomy samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:44:09.490 --> 00:44:12.906 as well as from transferring for receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.606$  and have also a stab Liszt several

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:16.606 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.289$  lines that contain variant histologies.

 $00:44:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.370$  So in collaboration with David

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00{:}44{:}22.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.450$  Solids Group at Memorial Sloan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:44:24.450 --> 00:44:25.386 Kettering Owen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:25.386 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.726$  the previous pathology was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:44:27.726 --> 00:44:29.130 collaborate with collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:29.198 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.106$  with him at all Media Memorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:44:31.110 --> 00:44:33.290 We've analyzed these organoid lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.470$  molecularly using the targeted sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:35.535 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.350$  platform at Memorial MSK impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.725$  We sequenced the organoids parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:44:39.725 --> 00:44:43.059 tumor and normal bloods and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:43.059 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.264$  show generally that the organoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00{:}44{:}45.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.330$  Display mutational profiles that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.420$  concordant with that of the parental tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.620$  We can examine.

 $00:44:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.620$  Sort of the mutational profiles

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.569$  of these organoid lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:44:55.570 --> 00:44:57.755 which really recapitulates sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:44:57.755 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.940$  the distribution of of mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:45:00.005 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.569$  in human bladder cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:45:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.618$  So we can see that among the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00{:}45{:}04.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.271$  mutations we see mutations in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:45:07.271 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.531$  lot of epigenetic regulators which

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

00:45:09.531 --> 00:45:11.815 are frequently mutated in bladder

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:45:11.815 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.439$  cancer such as KDM 6A KMT 2C and 2D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80026895

 $00:45:15.439 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.104$  As well as error 1A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.733$  Interestingly, we also see were also able

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:22.733 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.331$  to capture mutations that are relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:25.331 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.929$  rare but interesting in bladder cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.162$  such as mutations and ERB B2 and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:31.162 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.707$  note we have very few nations in RB,

 $00:45:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.636$  so many bladder cancer cell lines were

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:37.636 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.377$  established from metastatic bladder

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:45:39.377 --> 00:45:41.487 cancer and contain RB mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.182$  whereas are organoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:43.182 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.002$  Are generally established from non

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:45:46.002 --> 00:45:48.156 muscle invasive bladder cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:48.156 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.526$  or earlier stages of muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.946$  Invasive disease and lack RB mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.649$  So what can we do with these organoid lines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:45:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.570$  One thing we can do is we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.580$  examine their drug response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.986$  and of particular interest were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00{:}46{:}05.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08.070$  to establish organoid lines from

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.155$  patients in a longitudinal fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:46:10.160 --> 00:46:12.210 So patients will often undergo

00:46:12.210 --> 00:46:13.852 transurethral resection, be treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:13.852 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.496$  and then sometime thereafter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.560$  their tumors will unfortunately recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.437$  And then we have an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:20.437 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.080$  establish another organoid line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.475$  So here's an example of a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.783$  And a pair of organoid lines where

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:27.783 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.020$  patient was not otherwise treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00{:}46{:}30.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.910$  So the tumor was removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:46:31.910 --> 00:46:34.549 but the patient was not otherwise treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00{:}46{:}34.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.942$  and we can see in in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:36.942 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.539$  response to a range of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:46:39.539 --> 00:46:40.979 drugs that Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.245$  the organoid lines display nearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:43.245 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.057$  overlapping drug response profiles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.543$  However,

 $00:46:45.543 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.441$  in this case the different patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:48.441 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.578$  was treated with Mitomycin C and BCG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:46:51.580 --> 00:46:54.849 The tumor relapsed after over a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.730$  and now the recurrent organoid line is much

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:46:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.297$  more resistant to a range of different drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:47:02.300 --> 00:47:02.765 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:02.765 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.555$  displays similar responses to other drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274 00:47:05.560 --> 00:47:07.400 so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:47:07.400 --> 00:47:12.264 It's of interest to us to understand how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.375$  Drug response has altered the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:14.375 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.059$  properties of these organoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.601$  So one thing we've started to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00{:}47{:}18.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.220$  is to perform single cell analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.635$  here of this recurrent pair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.550$  and Interestingly,

 $00:47:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.825$  the recurrent organoid line is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:26.825 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.638$  actually much more heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:28.638 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.638$  than the sort of the parental of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:31.638 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.158$  order online from the parental tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.366$  And what is interesting is if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:37.366 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.884$  re aggregate these together now

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:39.884 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.468$  you can identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.174$  A cluster that is actually in common

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:46.174 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.267$  between both the between the 1st

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:50.267 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.155$  and the 2nd organoid lines so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.168$  This cluster, we believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:56.168 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.176$  corresponds to a transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:47:58.176 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.612$  population and we can identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

00:48:00.612 --> 00:48:02.872 markers that are specific for

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:48:02.872 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.640$  this transitional population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:48:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.465$  so our hope here is that we can use

00:48:08.465 --> 00:48:11.831 utilized this pair of organoid lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00{:}48{:}11.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.676$  and other examples of recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:48:14.781 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.673$  disease that we have to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:48:17.673 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.080$  of replay in organoid culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00{:}48{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.900$  The events that take place during

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:48:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.190$  the emergence of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822274

 $00:48:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.860$  Resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.839$  So finally I'd like to address the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:48:30.839 --> 00:48:33.738 issue of tumor progression in organoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}48{:}33.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36.645$ so non muscle invasive disease you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:36.645 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.184$  can be classified into two lumenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:39.184 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.033$  categories as well as a basil category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.248$  Muscle invasive disease is more complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:48:44.248 --> 00:48:47.020 and again as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.676$  the relationship between these

00:48:48.676 --> 00:48:50.746 entities has been somewhat unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}48{:}50.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.424$  However, there is a question of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:48:53.424 --> 00:48:56.150 you know if we have progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.808$  whether there might be a switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:48:58.808 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.137$  and subtype specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.164$  From sort of Class 2 luminal tumors to Basel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}03.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.356$  to a basal squamous muscle invasive tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.964$  So we believe that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}08.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.410$  recapitulate this in organoid culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.350$  So many of our organoid lines are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.610$  phenotypic least able and culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.627$  If you look at different markers there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:49:19.627 --> 00:49:22.239 stable and organoids as organoid Xena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:49:22.240 --> 00:49:24.892 graphs ansina graph derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:24.892 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.218$  organoids however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.500$  Sort of a little over a

 $00:49:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.490$  majority of the organoids from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}30.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.590$  Non muscle invasive tumors displayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.690$  this sort of phenotypic plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.690$  This is a they start with the luminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:49:37.690 --> 00:49:39.934 phenotype here and they become

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:39.934 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.830$  basil during organoid culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.350$  But notably this phenotype can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:49:44.350 --> 00:49:46.030 largely reversed by xenografting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.368$  so we believe this there's an effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}48.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.441$  of the tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}50.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.429$  that can repress this basil to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}53.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.425$  luminal differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}49{:}54.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.542$  In fact can reverse it but if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:49:57.542 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.409$  remove the tumors again from the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.406$  In a graph and culture miss organoids,

 $00:50:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.545$  they will again undergo the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}50{:}05.545 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}50{:}06.826$  space little differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}50{:}06.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08.850$  So John Kristen Terrific Post

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.456$  Document Lab has pursued a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:11.456 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.016$  molecule screen to examine organoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:50:14.016 --> 00:50:16.473 lines that display plasticity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

00:50:16.473 --> 00:50:18.804 see if it's possible to revert it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.234$  and he identified one big hit here GSK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.420$  Else one, which is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.564$  KTM one inhibitor and it is able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00{:}50{:}29.564 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.299$  partially revert this plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8480736

 $00:50:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.440$  so the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81983423

 $00:50:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.520$  I'm not showing this here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81983423

00:50:44.520 --> 00:50:46.888 but if he knocks out KTM one day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81983423

 $00:50:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.880$  he can also see this effect. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81983423

00:50:51.880 --> 00:50:53.720 He is currently investigating

00:50:53.720 --> 00:50:56.020 the mechanisms by which KTM

NOTE Confidence: 0.81983423

 $00{:}50{:}56.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.559$  1A regulates this transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.858$  In parallel, he's also been pursuing a taxi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:03.860 --> 00:51:06.191 Can Alesis to examine sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00{:}51{:}06.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.697$  epigenetic States and eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:07.697 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.907$  the epigenetic marks that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:09.907 --> 00:51:11.675 associated with this plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.020$  So if you examine, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.584$  these three organoid lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:15.584 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.148$  this is adluminal line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.663$  This is a basil line and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:19.663 --> 00:51:22.629 is what we call a plastic line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00{:}51{:}22.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.750$  The plastic line looks like a basil line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.096$  although it started with a luminal phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.150$  So we've performed a taxi.

 $00:51:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.178$  Can Alesis and we can cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:33.178 --> 00:51:35.632 these so you see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:35.632 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.688$  lumenal lines clustered together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.610$  The basil lines clustered together

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00:51:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.446$  and these are the plastic lines which

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:42.446 --> 00:51:44.561 in the first principle component

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:44.561 --> 00:51:46.280 cluster together with basil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

00:51:46.280 --> 00:51:47.912 But they're separated along

NOTE Confidence: 0.79841167

 $00{:}51{:}47.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.544$  the second principle component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

00:51:51.990 --> 00:51:55.362 So now if we look at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

00:51:55.362 --> 00:51:58.766 sort of genomic tracks, you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:51:58.766 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.700$  at basil markers such as keratin 14,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.070$  that the basil lines of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.622$  have open chromatin and the plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:06.622 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.867$  lines also have open chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.670$  which of course is to be expected

 $00:52:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.358$  because they have a basal phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.355$  But what's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:16.355 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.015$  is that lumenal markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.576$  Such as gotta three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:20.576 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.096$  We we see that the plastic organoid lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

00:52:24.096 --> 00:52:27.390 seem to have partially open chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.200$  so they retain an epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.010$  memory of their lumenal origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.985$  So we are currently pursuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00{:}52{:}34.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.477$  studies to determine whether we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00{:}52{:}37.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39.269$  actually detect this epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

00:52:39.269 --> 00:52:41.509 memory in human prostate tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.228$  which might indicate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.350$  A specific pacifically base of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00:52:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.037$  basal squamous category to determine

00:52:50.037 --> 00:52:53.109 whether they may have in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060535

 $00{:}52{:}53.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.930$  originated from more lumenal tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:52:58.500 --> 00:53:01.295 Finally, we're also pursuing motif

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:01.295 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.531$  discovery approaches to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:03.531 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.804$  candidate transcription factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:05.804 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.529$  might be driving this transition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.020$  and we're coupling this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.114$  other computational approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.529$  We think that this really is modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:53:17.529 --> 00:53:20.728 something that's happening during, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}53{:}20.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.548$  Sort of transition to muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:23.548 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.240$  invasive disease because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:53:25.240 --> 00:53:27.520 As has been noted in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:53:27.520 --> 00:53:29.040 cancer for Andy Walt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.952$  what we've found is at the at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:53:31.952 --> 00:53:33.979 invasive front of these tumors.

 $00:53:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.463$  Now we see the expression of a basal marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}53{:}37.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.676$  cytokeratin 14 at the invasive front,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}53{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.890$  but this is not expressed within

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.495$  the tumor body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:53:44.500 --> 00:53:45.544 So in closing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:45.544 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.504$  then I'd like to underscore that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:48.504 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.180$  that these organoids represent a model

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.780$  system for studying tumor plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.780$  So we can identify transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:53:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.297$  populations in patients in order rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}53{:}58.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.537$  from patients with recurrent disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.500$  and we think that the sort of plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}54{:}03.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.722$ we're studying culture can reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:05.722 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.548$  processings of disease progression in vivo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.306$  and we're using computational

00:54:10.306 --> 00:54:12.501 systems approach is to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:54:12.501 --> 00:54:14.500 the drivers of plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:54:14.500 --> 00:54:16.261 And more generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:16.261 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.196$  we believe that organoid models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.880$  Are incredibly useful because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.024$  will allow mechanistic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}54{:}24.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.782$  of complex questions in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:54:26.782 --> 00:54:29.452 biology that may be inaccessible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}54{:}29.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.108$  used using other approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.002$  Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:34.002 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.232$  the work that I've described

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:36.232 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.540$  involved large team of terrific

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.204$  scientists really did all the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.110$  So notably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.810$  Laura Crowley is a graduate student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.197$  My lap who led the single cell

 $00:54:47.197 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.535$  analysis of the prostate epithelium

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}54{:}49.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.007$  together with Francesco Comma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:54:52.010 --> 00:54:54.260 Boolean, former postdoc Moshe Botton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:54:54.260 --> 00:54:56.510 now at George Washington University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:54:56.510 --> 00:54:59.285 The work on normal neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:54:59.285 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.950$  differentiation was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.549$  by graduate student gave alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:55:03.550 --> 00:55:07.378 And the prostate \*\*\*\* organized by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}55{:}07.378 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.210$  Jolly a terrific postdoc in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.904$  Then former lab members soup soup

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}55{:}13.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.243$  Lee started the bladder organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:16.243 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.027$  project and I mentioned John Kristen

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}55{:}19.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22.590$  is a current post Doc who's played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.130$  major role in continuing this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.930$  We've had terrific collaborators.

 $00{:}55{:}26.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.170$ Roll rabadan Andrea Califano for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.514$  computational systems biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.418$  Jim Mckiernan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:31.418 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.663$  whole team of talented urologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:33.663 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.010$  who provided samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:55:35.010 --> 00:55:37.698 We've collaborated with Korea Body Shen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}55{:}37.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.950$  in analysis of Mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:55:39.950 --> 00:55:42.260 which how Lewin, epigenetic analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00{:}55{:}42.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.374$  Need help search for pathology as

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:45.374 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.298$  as well as Max load at Cornell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.052$  For pathological analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:51.052 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.242$  a great group of collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:55:53.242 --> 00:55:55.498 at Memorial Sloan Kettering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

00:55:55.500 --> 00:55:58.250 David Solid Hickman to Marty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.900$  and Barry Taylor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83516306

 $00:55:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.846$  So thank you very much and I'll

 $00:56:01.846 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.980$  be happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}56{:}05.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.270$  Thank you very much, Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.734$  That was a wonderful talk and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:09.734 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.273$  amazing to see all of these models

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}56{:}12.273 \longrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.385$  and everything that can be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.810$  with all of these different models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.960$  I would like to remind the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}56{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.146$  that they can type questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:22.146 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.720$  the chat and I will read them

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.904$  out and ask them to Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

00:56:26.910 --> 00:56:29.718 I will I will get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

00:56:29.718 --> 00:56:31.590 with a question Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.950$  One of the things that you see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}56{:}34.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38.472$  the organoids and I was thinking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:38.472 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.062$  particular about the prostate cancer

00:56:41.159 --> 00:56:43.841 ones is there's a the heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}56{:}43.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.642$  and I was just wondering whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:46.642 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.678$  you see shifts in the heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:49.678 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.936$  or of the organoids themselves when

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.176$  you use different types of media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}56{:}56.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59.281$  So can you see shifts based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:56:59.281 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.230$  how you grow them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:57:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.086$  And then I was also wondering whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:57:04.086 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.864$  you have applied certain types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:57:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.319$  therapies to those prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00{:}57{:}09.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.229$  organoids and whether you see changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:57:12.229 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.995$  in that heterogeneity and shifts when

NOTE Confidence: 0.91066235

 $00:57:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.748$  you when you use different different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:57:17.750 --> 00:57:19.130 types of treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:57:19.130 --> 00:57:22.486 OK, so Katie, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:22.486 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.462$  Well questions so first

 $00{:}57{:}24.462 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}57{:}26.190$  question regarding media so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.060$  One of the things that I forgot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:29.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.527$  to mention is that we use our own

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00{:}57{:}32.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35.387$  sort of homegrown media for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:35.387 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.867$  of these organoid experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.662$  This is a complex medium containing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:57:41.662 --> 00:57:44.190 hepatocyte media and serum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.578$  You know we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.132$  Develop this years ago to grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:57:50.132 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.169$  mouse prostate organoids so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00{:}57{:}53.169 \to 00{:}57{:}55.974$  quite different from the ENR

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:57:55.974 --> 00:57:58.960 based media that many groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00{:}57{:}58.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02.110$  use to pursue organoid assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:58:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.170$  There are although.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:58:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.900$  You might imagine that some of the

 $00:58:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.080$  growth factors involved are in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00{:}58{:}10.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.028$  There are undoubtedly differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00{:}58{:}12.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}14.463$  between the media compositions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:58:14.463 --> 00:58:16.886 terms of what's actually going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:58:16.890 --> 00:58:18.722 We know that, anecdotally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:58:18.722 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.012$  for bladder tumor organoids that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:58:21.012 --> 00:58:24.025 it is probably easier to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

00:58:24.025 --> 00:58:26.005 patient derived organoids using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553434

 $00:58:26.005 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.795$  our media than in our based media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:58:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.994$  And we also know that you can transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:58:33.994 --> 00:58:36.706 organoid lines from one media to the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:58:36.710 --> 00:58:40.084 but it may not be that straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:58:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.960$  So we have some experience with DNR

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:58:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.764$  based media, but all the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:58:45.764 --> 00:58:49.326 that I've showed you today were done

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00{:}58{:}49.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}52.266$  in our sort of homegrown media.

 $00:58:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.444$  So do we observe shifts in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:58:55.444 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.560$  composition in different media?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:58:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.030$  We have not really examined that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:59:02.030 --> 00:59:04.578 In part because it can be different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.148$  it can be difficult to transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:59:07.148 --> 00:59:10.370 organ lines from one medium to another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.680$  Be a composition to another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:59:12.680 --> 00:59:14.940 In terms of drug treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:59:14.940 --> 00:59:18.588 we have only started to do this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:18.588 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.219$  respect to the prostate organoids the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00{:}59{:}22.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}24.340$  Bladder organizer, something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.520$  we've been examining in more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00{:}59{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.170$  We've been particularly interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

00:59:30.170 --> 00:59:32.290 mechanisms of cisplatin resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.470$  which, of course is of considerable

 $00:59:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.530$  translational interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00{:}59{:}36.530 \to 00{:}59{:}40.770$  So those are studies that are that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.094$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:42.094 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.404$  currently being pursued to examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:45.404 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.170$  how cisplatin treatment alters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.268$  Or the phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:49.268 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.732$  and perhaps the heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:50.732 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.830$  of the organized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:51.830 \dashrightarrow 00:59:55.470$  but I don't have any results yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473046

 $00:59:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.040$  To show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797154

 $00{:}59{:}56.820 {\: --> \:} 00{:}59{:}58.200$  Great, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797154

00:59:58.200 --> 01:00:00.500 There's a question from Gefsky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797154

 $01:00:00.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.020$  Are have you been able to analyze human

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797154

01:00:04.020 --> 01:00:06.738 lumenal bladder tumors for plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797154

 $01:00:06.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.608$  markers and correlate those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797154

 $01:00:08.608 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.476$  results with subsequent development

 $01:00:10.476 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.500$  of muscle invasive tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84377086

 $01:00:14.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.410$  So that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84377086

 $01:00:16.410 \dashrightarrow 01:00:18.498$  Obviously we want to extend what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84377086

01:00:18.498 --> 01:00:20.448 we've been doing in organoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.84377086

 $01:00:20.448 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.780$  culture to human specimens, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:25.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.220$  I have to confess that this work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:00:28.220 --> 01:00:32.798 only recently gotten started, so we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:32.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.152$  Part of the problem is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:35.152 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.282$  having a cohort of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:37.282 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.697$  that's suitable for this so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:39.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.738$  Yeah, we are now in the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:00:42.738 --> 01:00:45.465 of trying to assemble patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01{:}00{:}45.465 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}48.775$  cohorts where we can actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:48.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.720$  Have samples are sort of launch eternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:53.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.590$  from patients who have progressed,

 $01:00:56.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.887$  say from high grade non muscle invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:00:59.887 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.789$  disease to muscle invasive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:02.790 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.886$  Assembling these cohorts is very nontrivial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:05.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.778$  Fortunately we are part

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:08.778 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.666$  of a large collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:11.670 --> 01:01:14.340 Led by Corey Body Shan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:14.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.930$  together with collaborators at Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:16.930 --> 01:01:20.210 Sloan Kettering and at Johns Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:20.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.346$  so collaborates Atmore Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:22.346 --> 01:01:25.016 include David Solid and colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:25.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.690$  as well as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:27.690 --> 01:01:29.822 people like Jonathan Rosenberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:29.822 --> 01:01:31.960 DeAndre Jordan, Barry Wagner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:31.960 --> 01:01:35.170 Bernie Buckner, and at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:35.170 --> 01:01:37.186 Led by David Mcconkey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:37.186 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.706$  Nojan and others to try

01:01:39.706 --> 01:01:42.368 to gather together the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:42.370 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.220$  Cohorts that are essential to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:44.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.070$  address this type of question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

01:01:46.134 --> 01:01:48.329 because they don't currently exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9077339

 $01:01:48.330 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.095$  and these types of samples are rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8201053

 $01:01:52.640 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.070$  Thank you we have another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8201053

 $01:01:55.070 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.228$  from Mike Hurwitz who says great talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8201053

 $01:01:58.228 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.668$  within the different luminal subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8201053

 $01:02:00.670 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.196$  Do you think some are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8201053

 $01:02:03.196 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.570$  likely to develop into cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8201053

01:02:05.570 --> 01:02:07.800 Any correlate in human prostates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:08.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.024$  OK, so this is a question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01{:}02{:}11.024 \longrightarrow 01{:}02{:}13.136$  prostate and of course we're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01{:}02{:}13.136 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}15.026$  interested in cell of origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

01:02:15.030 --> 01:02:17.375 You know, we've always been sort of

 $01:02:17.375 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.108$  dissatisfied with our previous analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:19.108 \dashrightarrow 01:02:21.748$  of Cell of Origin because you know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

01:02:21.807 --> 01:02:23.865 have luminal cells and basil cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

01:02:23.870 --> 01:02:26.250 There's only so much you can say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:26.250 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.456$  but we we think that there's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:29.456 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.999$  something to explore here because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:32.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.747$  This is well known phenomenon in which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:37.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.000$  You know 85 to 90% of prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86231977

 $01:02:41.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.930$  cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:02:44.550 --> 01:02:47.718 With sort of intermediate risk disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01{:}02{:}47.720 \longrightarrow 01{:}02{:}53.187$  you know a will actually have indolent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:02:53.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.740$  Prostate cancer, whereas the remaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:02:55.740 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.764$  10 to 15% of patients actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:02:58.764 --> 01:03:01.254 have aggressive disease and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:03:01.254 --> 01:03:03.871 difficult to distinguish between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01{:}03{:}03.871 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}06.436$  indolent and aggressive tumors and

01:03:06.436 --> 01:03:09.510 despite a lot of molecular analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:09.510 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.060$  they haven't tremendously improved over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:03:12.060 --> 01:03:15.120 Just simple histological police and grading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:15.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.916$  So we think that it remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:17.916 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.144$  possible that cell of origin could

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:03:21.144 --> 01:03:23.680 explain at least partially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:03:23.680 --> 01:03:25.848 The difference between indolent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:25.848 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.474$  and aggressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:27.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.652$  And so that's something we're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:03:29.652 --> 01:03:31.234 interested in pursuing. The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:31.234 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.186$  We we know already that you know from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:35.186 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.413$  the literature that both proximal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:03:38.413 --> 01:03:42.343 and distal luminal cells can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:42.459 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.897$  cells of origin in mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:45.900 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.374$  But that does not necessarily answer

 $01:03:49.374 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.818$  the question because you know they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:52.818 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.409$  may be different in terms of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:56.409 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.679$  phenotype or response to treatment or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:03:59.680 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.960$  Ultimate outcomes so you know we're in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:03.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.367$  process of pursuing these types of studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:08.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.090$  It's not really clear what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:11.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.900$  going on in the human prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:04:14.900 --> 01:04:15.536 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01{:}04{:}15.536 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}17.444$  I think we're just scratching the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

01:04:17.444 --> 01:04:19.428 surface in terms of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:19.428 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.140$  the relationship between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01{:}04{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}23.111$  lumenal populations in the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:23.111 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.977$  and the populations of the human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:24.980 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.032$  There's a lot more work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963109

 $01:04:27.032 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.940$  needs to be done there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

01:04:31.310 --> 01:04:33.599 Well, thank you very much Michael for

 $01:04:33.599 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.039$  this visit for this fascinating talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01:04:36.040 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.830$  I I know I it made me think of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01:04:38.917 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.371$  lot of things or some parallels

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01:04:41.371 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.410$  in in the world of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01{:}04{:}44.410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46.755$  So it was really great to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01:04:46.755 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.204$  about this this so thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01:04:49.204 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.256$  much for visiting us today and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01{:}04{:}51.333 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}53.727$  thank you every body also who joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.88329786

 $01:04:53.727 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.700$  and have a wonderful afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7524229

 $01:04:56.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.155$  Well, thank you Katie. Thank you every.