WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:55:43" NOTE recognizability:0.829

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.120$ Is a special lecture in our Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00:00:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.200$ Center Grand Rounds series and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00:00:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.675$ the Blanche Tolman lecture series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00:00:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.674$ So this lecture series was established

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

00:00:12.674 --> 00:00:15.236 in 2012 by Doctor Marvin Sears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744377118888889

 $00:00:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.350$ who I believe will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242030325

 $00:00:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.728$ attending today as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.432$ Dr. Sears was a long time chair and

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:20.432 --> 00:00:22.401 founder of of Thermology and Visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}22.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.505$ Sciences at Yale and the lecture was

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}24.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.413$ established in honor of his mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.790$ Blanche Tallman, who passed away

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.686$ from acute myeloid leukemia.

 $00:00:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.634$ So to our delight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:31.634 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.376$ this was the first lecture series at

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:33.376 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.056$ year dedicated solely to hematologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:35.056 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.766$ malignancies and it continues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:36.766 --> 00:00:38.902 bring to Yale pioneers that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:38.902 --> 00:00:40.940 made major contributions to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.246$ understanding of the current trends

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:00:43.246 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.488$ and hematologic malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}44.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.416$ So it is an absolute pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:47.416 --> 00:00:49.779 introduce the actor Irene Gabriel

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:49.779 --> 00:00:52.098 today as our special lecturer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:52.098 --> 00:00:54.402 So Doctor Gabriel is professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}00{:}54.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.640$ medicine at Harvard Medical School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:56.640 --> 00:00:59.016 She received her MD from Cairo

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:00:59.016 --> 00:01:01.269 University School of Medicine in Egypt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.847$ And she then completed her internal

00:01:02.847 --> 00:01:04.832 medicine training at Wayne State

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:04.832 --> 00:01:06.420 University and her hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}06.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.118$ on cology subspecialty training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:08.118 --> 00:01:10.168 Mayo Clinic College of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714 00:01:10.170 --> 00:01:10.818 In 2005, NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:10.818 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.762$ she joined in a Farber Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:12.762 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.725$ Institute in the field of Waldenstrom's

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}14.725 --> 00{:}01{:}17.150$ Macroglobulinemia and a multiple myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:17.150 --> 00:01:18.086 So doctor Gabrielle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:18.086 --> 00:01:19.646 as you will all see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.158$ has risen to become one of the world's

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}22.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.690$ leaders in the democratic field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.466$ Not only has she advanced major

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:25.466 --> 00:01:27.090 novel treatments to the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.589$ but she now also focuses on early

 $00:01:29.589 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.379$ detection and interception to prevent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}31.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.578$ Regression to full blown multiple myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.614$ Doctor Gabriel has a broad background

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

00:01:36.614 --> 00:01:38.769 in the biology of multiple myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:38.769 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.044$ and in the bone Marinette so

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:41.044 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.012$ important in the focus on M gas

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}44.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.590$ and smoldering myeloma and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.132$ preventing disease and her her

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}49.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.580$ research knowledge expertise allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}50.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.830$ us to define both cell autonomous

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}01{:}52.830 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}01{:}54.710$ and bone marrow age dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.720$ and also genetic and epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.180$ mechanisms of disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:01:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.220$ And we couldn't be more excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:02:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.858$ to hear your talk today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00:02:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.574$ So welcome we wish we were in person but.

 $00:02:04.574 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.590$ This is still wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797876849285714

 $00{:}02{:}05.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.094$ And at least we didn't have to cancel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.248$ Yes. Well, thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

00:02:09.250 --> 00:02:11.070 Stephanie. And as you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.888$ it's really a pleasure and honor to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00{:}02{:}12.890 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.570$ And I'm sorry that it's not in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.047$ but it's New England and we all

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:16.047 \dashrightarrow 00:02:17.966$ know how to deal with that, I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00{:}02{:}17.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.950$ So I'll take you through a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:20.011 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.901$ of what we do in the lab and how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:21.957 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.970$ translated it into the clinic on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00:02:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.370$ promise of early detection and interception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788243106666667

 $00{:}02{:}27.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.250$ These are these are my conflicts of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.819$ So I'll just start with a simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:35.819 --> 00:02:38.289 question that many of us ask ourselves.

 $00:02:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.750$ In general, in every Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}39.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.210$ Center when you see patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.535$ it's because they either had

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:42.535 --> 00:02:44.463 symptoms and they want to see their

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:44.463 --> 00:02:46.119 primary care doctor or by accident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:46.120 --> 00:02:47.716 something happened in their blood works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.106$ They had a little bit of anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.358$ a little bit of a higher white count

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}51.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.368$ and that led to further workup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:53.370 --> 00:02:55.519 which led to the diagnosis of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}02{:}55.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.730$ and then they get referred to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:02:57.730 --> 00:02:59.308 But if you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:02:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.894$ this means that we are waiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:00.894 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.470$ for things to happen and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.521$ We react to cancer and by chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:04.521 --> 00:03:06.617 some of those made by good luck

00:03:06.617 --> 00:03:08.694 have an early cancer and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:08.694 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.014$ diagnose it early and we can cure it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:11.020 --> 00:03:13.436 But many of them actually have stage three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.211$ stage four cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:14.211 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.332$ And we do sit down with them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:16.332 --> 00:03:18.194 say we may give you some treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.796$ but we may not cure the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:19.800 --> 00:03:21.176 And in fact if you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:21.180 --> 00:03:22.760 pharmaceutical companies as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.130$ as cancer centers put millions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:25.196 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.081$ billions of dollars into developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:27.081 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.370$ therapies that can change to survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}03{:}29.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.722$ of metastatic cancer by three or four

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:31.722 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.608$ months and we consider that. Success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:33.608 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.260$ So what can we do to change that?

 $00:03:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.717$ How can we become less reactive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}03{:}38.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.677$ cancer and be more proactive to cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.690$ trying to find it early before

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:43.690 --> 00:03:44.695 it becomes symptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.340$ trying to define it early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.230$ And then by doing that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.042$ intervene early and make a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:50.042 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.920$ in the survival of those patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:03:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.810$ Now I would probably say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:53.810 --> 00:03:56.183 myeloma is a great example of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}03{:}56.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.271$ as a potential model system for

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:03:58.271 --> 00:04:00.459 early detection and interception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.276$ We know that myeloma has a well known

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:03.276 --> 00:04:05.299 clinically defined precursor condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.136$ monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:07.136 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.431$ significance and then yet another

 $00:04:09.431 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.778$ stage of the disease that progresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:11.778 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.598$ just before the active cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.740$ sort of a stage one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.504$ stage two breast cancer if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:16.504 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.935$ want to call it and that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:18.935 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.123$ asymptomatic smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:20.123 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.609$ Now I was lucky enough to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.658$ Trained by Bob Kyle at Mayo Clinic who

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:24.658 --> 00:04:26.169 actually coined both of those terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.010$ monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.850$ significance and smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.894$ And he had this amazing vision because

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}31.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.897$ he thought that when he described

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}33.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.325$ those asymptomatic patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:35.325 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.704$ are just walking around with a very

00:04:37.704 --> 00:04:39.269 small tiny monoclonal protein that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}04{:}39.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.854$ they should actually be watched

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:40.854 --> 00:04:42.750 carefully and we they may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:42.809 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.469$ progress to develop the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286 00:04:44.470 --> 00:04:45.289 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:45.289 --> 00:04:47.200 him and Jan Waldenstrom had a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:47.266 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.882$ discussion where Jan Waldenstrom

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:48.882 --> 00:04:51.306 wanted to call it benign gammopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:51.372 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.740$ because those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:52.740 --> 00:04:54.575 Are completely benign and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:54.575 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.043$ would we worry them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:04:56.050 --> 00:04:58.322 Yet Bob Kyle was so good in thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:04:58.322 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.816$ ahead and thinking that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00{:}05{:}00.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.671$ potential of cancer development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:05:02.738 --> 00:05:04.892 he coined the name of undetermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:04.892 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.667$ significance to give it that

00:05:06.667 --> 00:05:07.678 sense of urgency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:07.678 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.026$ of understanding who would

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:09.026 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.005$ progress in their lifetime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:05:11.005 --> 00:05:12.250 potentially preventing it.

NOTE Confidence: $0.890911035714286\,$

 $00:05:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.926$ And indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:12.926 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.616$ even the name smouldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

00:05:14.616 --> 00:05:16.496 gives you that urgency that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.890911035714286

 $00:05:16.496 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.386$ going to be on fire very soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:18.390 --> 00:05:20.226 So let's do something about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.810$ So indeed he had that vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.148$ As we should think of the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}25.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.150$ of disease progression in asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.495$ people and potentially intercepting early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.194$ Now in the older days we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:31.194 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.270$ have good drugs, we had melphalan,

 $00:05:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.620$ Prednisone, fat chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}34.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.715$ So maybe intercepting

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:35.715 --> 00:05:37.540 early May not make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:37.540 --> 00:05:39.521 And indeed the trend or the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:39.521 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.737$ of care was watch and wait until

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:41.737 --> 00:05:43.687 people have symptoms and end organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:43.749 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.066$ damage and then we treat them because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:46.066 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.104$ we have palliative care and myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}05{:}48.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.540$ survival is only three to five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.940$ but now we have 30 new drugs approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:05:52.940 --> 00:05:53.800 For myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.380$ we have amazing responses and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.535$ question is truly can we change that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:05:59.535 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.311$ thinking of disease interception

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:01.311 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.467$ at an earlier time point?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}03.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.444$ Now the other important piece to

 $00:06:05.444 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.845$ think about is myeloma is more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}07.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.891$ in African Americans and people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:09.891 --> 00:06:12.148 African descent 2 times or even higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:12.150 --> 00:06:12.958 more common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:12.958 --> 00:06:15.382 more common to happen at an

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:15.382 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.533$ earlier younger age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:16.533 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.219$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:17.219 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.128$ we know that myeloma is more common because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:20.128 --> 00:06:22.667 they haven't earlier stage of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:06:22.667 --> 00:06:24.552 not because usually of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:24.552 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.060$ mgus transition to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.970$ not that we know of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.106$ but we don't think that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:29.106 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.768$ faster transition from mgus to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.070$ So really understanding what causes.

 $00:06:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.101$ Early development of MGUS in an African

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}36.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.009$ American population at the younger age could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.822$ That you help us understand why

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}40.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.030$ they've developed Milo memoir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.760$ but also intercepting it early

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.490$ because most of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.650$ by the time they're diagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.002$ they're either misdiagnosed because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}06{:}48.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.030$ anemia is very common in African

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:50.081 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.965$ Americans or because of renal failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:51.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.506$ And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:52.506 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.846$ renal failure is more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.410$ So they are getting misdiagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.790$ They don't have the World Cup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.393$ And even when they have the World

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:06:58.393 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.110$ Cup and the disease assessment,

 $00:07:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.238$ they do not get the access to clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:02.238 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.159$ trials and to car T and to transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:04.159 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.249$ and all of the options that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.065$ so the survival of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:08.065 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.154$ in African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:09.160 --> 00:07:11.410 Unfortunately, it's still very poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.146$ Despite all of the amazing advances we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.320$ we still have a huge discrepancy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.750$ So potentially closing that gap would

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}18.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.232$ be critical for us to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:21.232 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.990$ how to change the survival of Milo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.430$ So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}25.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.326$ our hypothesis really our model is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:27.326 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.772$ why are we doing it any different

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:29.772 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.888$ than other cancers?

00:07:30.890 --> 00:07:33.106 If you think of breast cancer for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.970$ you screen early because cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.086$ screening saves lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.746$ And I would tell you that the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:37.746 --> 00:07:39.348 test for a serum protein Electro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.975$ Races and monoclonal protein is much easier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.182$ more sensitive and more specific and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:44.182 --> 00:07:46.274 potentially much better for us because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00{:}07{:}46.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.241$ I would rather get a blood sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

00:07:48.241 --> 00:07:50.419 done than mammography or colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.880$ It's much easier to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.938$ But even though we with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.638$ we don't screen for blood cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.850$ They're easy to screen but

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.060$ we don't screen for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:07:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.412$ And even when we find the monoclonal

00:08:00.412 --> 00:08:02.218 gammopathy is when I find mgus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:08:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.755$ and it's very common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:08:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.676$ the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946298955

 $00:08:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.090$ 3 to 5% over the age of 50 or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:07.162 --> 00:08:09.357 when I find smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.177$ The standard of care to date is still telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:12.177 --> 00:08:14.870 them watch and wait until you have anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.222$ renal failure, fractures in your bones or

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}08{:}17.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.751$ lesions in your bones, and high calcium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:19.751 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.953$ what we call the crab criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.053$ That would be just like telling a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:24.053 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.785$ woman with breast cancer, DCIS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}08{:}25.785 \to 00{:}08{:}28.270$ or stage one, stage two breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.110$ You know what, you're asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:30.110 --> 00:08:32.078 Go watch and wait until you

 $00:08:32.078 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.062$ have metastases everywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:33.070 --> 00:08:34.250 fractures in your bones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.725$ and then I'll treat you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.786$ Now you'll have a lawsuit when that case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:37.790 --> 00:08:39.477 So why are we not getting lawsuits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.680$ Myeloma, when we do that exact same idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.848$ So really we need to rethink the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:44.848 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.288$ we think of treatment of myeloma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:47.288 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.524$ retrain ourselves to think that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:49.524 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.534$ actually the right way of thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}08{:}51.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.214$ Maybe again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:52.214 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.236$ 30-40 years ago when we only

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:54.236 --> 00:08:55.840 had melphalan at Prednisone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:08:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.420$ it was a good idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:08:57.420 --> 00:08:59.300 Right now it may not be a good idea to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}08{:}59.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.366$ watch and wait for those patients or as

00:09:01.366 --> 00:09:03.292 my patients call it, watch and worry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:03.292 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.236$ So how do we change that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.700$ We have three different areas or

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.349$ pillars of work that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.470$ Both in the lab and in the clinic we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.966$ well, let's detect early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:09:13.966 --> 00:09:15.836 let's screen early because currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:15.836 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.278$ most patients with mgus and smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:18.278 \dashrightarrow 00:09:20.288$ myeloma are found purely incidentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}20.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.075$ So let's really understand better

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}22.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.860$ when you screen those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}23.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.724$ what is the prevalence but also who will

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:25.724 \dashrightarrow 00:09:27.598$ progress and who will not in their lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.225$ The next question is let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:29.225 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.525$ risk stratify those patients.

00:09:30.530 --> 00:09:32.492 Not every mgus we diagnose will

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:32.492 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.610$ go on to progress to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.810$ So the question is who in their lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:09:36.810 --> 00:09:38.169 will progress to myeloma because

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:38.169 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.280$ these are the ones you want to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.838$ And the others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:09:40.838 --> 00:09:42.140 you want to tell them you're OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.310$ you're going to live a normal life

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:09:44.310 --> 00:09:46.028 without having to develop myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}46.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.206$ and that differential is critical so

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:09:48.206 --> 00:09:50.789 that you can truly personalize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:50.789 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.577$ risk stratification for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.960$ And then the third one is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}53.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.780$ unless you know that you can change

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:09:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.380$ the survival of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}09{:}57.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.920$ unless you can really intercept

 $00:09:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.152$ and change their survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.516$ why are you screening for it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:01.520 --> 00:10:02.615 Because otherwise you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:02.615 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.440$ causing anxiety and no change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:04.440 --> 00:10:06.680 So truly I reverse it usually and say

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.369$ interception is more important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:08.369 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.517$ without interception we should not be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}10{:}10.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.722$ Training and we should not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:12.722 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.823$ stratifying those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.930$ So let's start with early

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.030$ detection and why it matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.928$ We have seen lots of nationwide studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.108$ the first one in Olmsted County

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:23.108 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.896$ where we indeed know the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:24.896 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.679$ of emcas in the general population

 $00:10:26.679 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.770 3$ to 5% over the age of 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.768$ But that was found in mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:30.768 --> 00:10:32.522 Caucasian population in the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}10{:}32.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.307$ of Olmsted County in Minnesota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.426$ So the question was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:35.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.613$ can we really detect in a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:37.613 --> 00:10:39.853 more sensitive way than serum

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:39.853 --> 00:10:40.749 protein electrophoresis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.244$ And in the high risk population

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00:10:42.244 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.840$ not in the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

 $00{:}10{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.820$ what is the prevalence of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:45.820 --> 00:10:48.164 hemoptysis and does a treaty occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.859871240666667

00:10:48.164 --> 00:10:50.096 a younger age in African Americans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:10:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.557$ So there has been some studies indicating

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:10:52.557 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.347$ that people of African descent as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:10:55.347 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.787$ people with a first degree family member

00:10:57.787 --> 00:11:00.279 are likely two to three times higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}00.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.674$ have a higher chance of developing myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:02.680 --> 00:11:04.680 So we wanted to ask why in high

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.521$ risk screen population and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:06.521 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.759$ started four years ago with the help

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:08.759 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.823$ of a stand up to cancer Dream Team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.458$ Application where we started to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}13.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.410$ let's screen in the US for myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.418$ and we said we will do it nationwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.191$ So it's online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:19.191 --> 00:11:20.476 As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.451$ you get a QR code and if you meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:22.451 --> 00:11:23.980 the eligibility criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:23.980 --> 00:11:25.359 you can sign up wherever you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:25.359 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.799$ and we send you a kit at home.

00:11:26.800 --> 00:11:28.347 You go to a quest diagnostic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}28.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.920$ you send us the blood sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.320$ And the second thing we did is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.020$ we did it by mass spectrometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.098$ which is much more sensitive than

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:36.098 --> 00:11:37.637 serum protein electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.980$ Now to do that effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.526$ we said that we want to screen 30,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:41.526 --> 00:11:44.256 individuals to potentially get 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.280$ screen positive because that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}46.280 \to 00{:}11{:}48.711$ number that from our preliminary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:48.711 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.140$ indicated we will have a positive number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:11:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.555$ And then we will follow those 3000

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:53.555 --> 00:11:55.220 people to understand genomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:11:55.220 --> 00:11:57.900 genetics mechanisms of disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}11{:}57.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.400$ immune microenvironment or non immune

00:12:00.400 --> 00:12:02.400 epidemiological causes like obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:02.400 --> 00:12:02.811 inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:02.811 --> 00:12:04.455 autoimmune diseases and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:04.455 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.099$ course develop therapeutics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:06.099 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.638$ imaging modalities for those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.330$ People now as we started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.138$ we really had to learn to have boots

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:12.138 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.230$ on the ground to really do the effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.607$ because if you talk to anyone about myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:16.610 --> 00:12:17.638 even the African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:17.638 --> 00:12:18.666 population would tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.822$ I didn't even know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:19.822 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.070$ There is more common in the black

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.020$ community than in the white population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.378$ So we have to do effort to even educate

00:12:26.378 --> 00:12:28.564 what is myeloma to gain the trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}28.564 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.272$ of the African American population

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}30.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.307$ and then start screening them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.927$ And that was a lot of effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:33.927 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.488$ from a team that we hired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.370$ just going to church events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.441$ going to healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241 00:12:38.441 --> 00:12:38.798 Events, NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:38.798 --> 00:12:40.583 understanding how to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:40.583 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.363$ our Congress people like Ayanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}42.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.403$ Presley here and of course COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:44.465 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.641$ hit and all our effort got shot down

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:46.641 --> 00:12:48.530 because you cannot do that on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.321$ So it really took us a lot of effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:50.321 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.005$ to try and restart all of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:12:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.082$ And indeed we just started to go back

 $00:12:54.082 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.100$ to health fair events and restarting it

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

00:12:56.100 --> 00:12:58.370 while while we were in COVID we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}12{:}58.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.170$ well let's look at datasets and samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.369$ that are already collected in other cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.349$ And this is when we turned to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.590$ the mass general, Brigham,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.520$ so mass general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}08.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.722$ Brigham is a huge sample collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}10.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.252$ study that's been going on now for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:13.252 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.568$ last 10 years with samples as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:15.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.920$ as of course clinical data annotation

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:17.991 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.097$ from all of the partners healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}20.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.587$ system or MGB as we call it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.146$ So we collected the same criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.665$ African-American or people of first

00:13:27.665 --> 00:13:30.180 degree family members from 80,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00{:}13{:}30.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.760$ samples that we have in MGB and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.892$ total enrolled so far is 12,592

NOTE Confidence: 0.896335315517241

 $00:13:34.892 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.144$ of those from the US is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.210$ 6485. We also opened a promised South

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:13:42.210 --> 00:13:44.498 Africa one where actually they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:13:44.498 --> 00:13:47.124 getting almost to 2000 samples now

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:13:47.124 --> 00:13:49.060 that they've recruited prospectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}49.060 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}50.880$ And we're also going on into opening

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.949$ it now in Israel because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}13{:}52.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.785$ family histories as well as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:54.843 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.595$ other countries that we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.856$ And we were screening in my lab almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:13:58.856 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.897$ 1000 samples a week and we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:00.897 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.223$ even more because mass spectrometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:02.223 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.428$ can get to a higher throughput level

 $00:14:04.428 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.820$ and you can then get detection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.020$ monoclonal proteins as well as light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.330$ Machines in a very quantitative way

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.950$ compared to serum protein electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:13.950 --> 00:14:17.253 In fact, we set up the normals for binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:17.253 --> 00:14:20.887 site and now we are part of their FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:20.887 --> 00:14:23.490 approval hopefully soon for binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.594$ So these are just some of the numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:25.594 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.416$ showing you from MGB from promised

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}27.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.966$ South Africa and promised us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.818$ But this is the largest number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}30.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.376$ African Americans who have been screened

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}32.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.355$ to date as well as people with family

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:34.355 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.029$ history and it was interesting when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:36.029 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.187$ we saw families with 567 members.

 $00:14:38.187 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.260$ We have mgus and myeloma and lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.594$ Now you start asking questions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:43.594 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.566$ germline events of events that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:45.566 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.624$ really can lead to that development

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:47.624 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.779$ in an early risk population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.663$ So this is the paper that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:51.663 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.678$ published last year just for the 1st

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:14:53.678 --> 00:14:55.394 7000 people and now we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}55.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.197$ going on for the larger cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:14:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.066$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}14{:}59.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.622$ the people with a family history of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:01.622 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.708$ blood cancer were 3866 and people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:05.708 --> 00:15:08.660 African descent or blacks were 2439.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.340$ And this is the mass spectrometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}10.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.580$ and I call this the Christmas tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}12.580 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.700$ So mass spectrometry is quantifiable

 $00:15:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.511$ and you can also reflects it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}17.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.667$ LCMS to give you a further detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:19.667 --> 00:15:21.620 of the monoclonal protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:21.620 --> 00:15:24.308 So all of these were truly monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:24.308 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.180$ proteins that were quantified and verified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.168$ What we found is anything above 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:29.168 --> 00:15:31.045 gram per liter is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}31.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.285$ you can also detect by serum protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}33.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.271$ electrophoresis because we did spap

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:35.271 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.554$ the traditional method in the sum of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}15{:}37.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.350$ the samples or in almost all of the samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.961$ If we did anything below that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:41.961 --> 00:15:43.670 .2 grams per liter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:43.670 --> 00:15:45.394 you could potentially also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:45.394 --> 00:15:46.687 detected by immunofixation,

 $00:15:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.862$ but of course you have quantification

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:48.862 --> 00:15:50.310 and much more sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:50.372 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.808$ by the mass spectrometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.290$ So we kept those terms as they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.650$ But interestingly and I still

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.656$ remember it when we got the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:15:57.656 --> 00:15:59.426 data because we couldn't believe it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:15:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.936$ we found another 20% of people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00{:}16{:}01.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.421$ very small monoclonal gammopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:16:03.421 --> 00:16:05.794 that were much lower than the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:05.794 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.368$ that we can detect by immunofixation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.250$ And at first we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.820$ well these are probably errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.264$ so we reconfirmed them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:12.264 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.158$ Maybe these are people who have infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:15.158 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.966$ so we rescreen them.

 $00:16:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.858$ We kept going on to try and understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:18.858 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.509$ what this is and we finally said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.114$ well no one has they've ever discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

00:16:23.114 --> 00:16:24.840 very small monoclonal proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.225$ Let's let the research tell us what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.760$ Now we wanted to term this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85803577875

 $00:16:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.780$ something separate that mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.718$ because we really didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:30.718 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.290$ know if this is mgus or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.890$ So we called it mgip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:33.890 --> 00:16:35.792 monoclonal gammopathy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:35.792 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.328$ indeterminate potential alert ship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}38.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.358$ Don't let him have the praises

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:40.358 --> 00:16:41.372 of indeterminate potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.977$ And the story goes that David Steensma

 $00:16:43.977 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.057$ is the one who coined the name chip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}16{:}47.060 --> 00{:}16{:}48.356$ And I saw him once and he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.800$ well I called chip based on M Gus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.200$ I was trying to imitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.600$ what doctor Kyle had done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.496$ So now we called M give based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:16:55.496 --> 00:16:57.990 chip and it keeps going round and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.558$ round in hematological malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:16:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.729$ But what is this chip and what is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:01.729 --> 00:17:04.276 mgus prevalence in this high risk population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.114$ So you can see here by age

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:06.114 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.780$ that mgip is very common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.550$ almost 20% of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.522$ It increases with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:10.522 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.004$ but as you go on with age the M

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:13.004 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.609$ Gus proportion of those monoclonal

 $00:17:14.609 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.522$ gammopathy is increases more and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}16.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.726$ light chain mgus was actually a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:18.726 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.606$ small number in that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:20.610 --> 00:17:24.514 If I just take a standard values 3% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:24.514 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.224$ the population in general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:26.224 --> 00:17:28.264 is what doctor Kyle had described

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:28.264 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.490$ before and that was based on Spep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.255$ If you double it because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}33.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.104$ higher risk population which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:35.104 --> 00:17:37.455 true 6% in our population are espec

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:37.455 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.178$ positive and then if you look by mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}40.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.064$ That trauma too because it's much

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}42.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.017$ more sensitive and can get you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}17{:}44.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.018$ immunofixation than we are 13% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:46.018 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.566$ that's not even accounting for the mgip.

 $00:17:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.586$ So a large proportion of our high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:51.586 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.164$ individuals have mgus and we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:54.164 --> 00:17:56.190 understand better why they have it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.875$ but also who would progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:17:57.875 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.886$ in their lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:17:58.890 --> 00:18:02.048 Now in general all monoclonal gammopathy's

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:02.048 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.888$ were associated with worse overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:03.888 --> 00:18:06.688 survival and it was not because of myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.796$ it was also because of many

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:08.796 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.200$ other all caused mortalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:10.200 --> 00:18:11.450 Autoimmune diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:11.450 --> 00:18:12.700 cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.575$ many other lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.494$ So we started seeing may be mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:16.494 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.167$ and immune dysregulation in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:18.167 --> 00:18:19.877 patients may have other effects,

 $00:18:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.404$ not just myeloma development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:21.404 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.187$ And thus lead is leading us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}24.187 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}18{:}25.899$ understand more into correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:25.899 --> 00:18:28.039 of mgus and chip mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.440$ both of them cause inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.984$ potentially increased cardiovascular risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:30.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.300$ We're trying to understand how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:33.352 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.578$ regulates the immune system and immune aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:35.580 --> 00:18:37.320 how it correlates with autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.700$ diseases and so many other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.940$ But what we were intrigued by is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.367$ those M Gibbs and why were they

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}44.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.455$ present in many of those people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.637$ And most of those M gifts were

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:48.637 --> 00:18:51.224 actually IG M Mgip, not IG or IGA.

 $00:18:51.224 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.060$ So the first thing we said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}18{:}53.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.748$ Well, maybe it's an isotype class switch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:55.750 --> 00:18:57.700 This is the precursor of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:18:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.689$ and it's IGM positive and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:18:59.689 --> 00:19:01.880 it's class switches to IgG as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:19:01.880 --> 00:19:03.941 progresses and this is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:03.941 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.626$ event that requires the mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.705$ The other possibility was maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}07.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.234$ these are lymphomas and they secrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:19:10.234 --> 00:19:12.894 very low levels of IGM that's non

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:19:12.894 --> 00:19:15.223 detectable by spep and in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}15.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.461$ we don't even screen for lymphomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.018$ by serum protein electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

00:19:19.018 --> 00:19:21.340 So we're under we're not detecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00:19:21.402 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.334$ enough of the cells and low grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078895047619

 $00{:}19{:}23.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.158$ Performers and now we have a

 $00:19:25.158 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.370$ technology that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}19{:}26.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.604$ more sensitive and indeed for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:19:28.604 --> 00:19:31.060 prove that, we took samples from healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:19:31.060 --> 00:19:33.629 donors from two people who have mgus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:19:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.542$ one of them had mgus and mcgiff and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:19:35.542 --> 00:19:37.569 from 2 participants who had mgip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:19:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.003$ And we did CD19 and CD138 selection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:19:41.003 \dashrightarrow 00:19:42.900$ the peripheral blood because we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:19:42.952 \dashrightarrow 00:19:44.686$ bone marrow biopsies on those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}19{:}44.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.258$ And indeed we did first single

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:19:47.258 --> 00:19:48.970 cell sequencing for VDJ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:19:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.338$ so now for the BCR to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}19{:}51.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.567$ they have clonal BCR in those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:19:53.570 --> 00:19:55.691 Patients and then of course we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:19:55.691 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.000$ gene expression profiling afterwards

00:19:57.000 --> 00:19:59.040 with the single cell RNA sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}19{:}59.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.685$ And what was surprising as you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:00.685 --> 00:20:02.699 see here for this patient for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.060$ they had one clone that was all VDJ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.156$ the same clone and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:08.156 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.400$ in this patient all of those cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.213$ So this is single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:12.213 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.990$ and the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.139$ all of the cells were for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:15.139 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.060$ clone only in that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.223$ And then this second patient had two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:19.223 --> 00:20:21.166 different clones as you can see one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:21.166 --> 00:20:23.050 of them was very high which is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.080$ The red one here and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.218$ second one here in the orange one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.405$ And indeed we reconfirmed that

00:20:29.405 --> 00:20:30.279 those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}30.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.848$ one of them was indeed an early CLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:32.848 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.083$ case because we did flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:35.083 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.369$ and because this patient had almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:37.439 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.260$ 60% of the cells are all clonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.150$ we were able to do whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.400$ sequencing on that sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:42.400 --> 00:20:45.235 And indeed it was an atypical lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.515$ likely a post germinal B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}47.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.160$ So either DLBCL or something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:50.160 --> 00:20:52.601 a marginal zone which was MIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:52.601 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.406$ 88 positive and it had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}20{:}54.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.944$ Copy number alterations as you see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:20:56.950 --> 00:20:57.810 chromosome 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:20:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.960$ chromosome 18 with a gain

 $00:20:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.250$ of those chromosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.530$ So indeed by both DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.396$ by protein level in flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:05.396 --> 00:21:07.228 and by RNA sequencing we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:07.228 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.090$ able to indicate that two of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.249$ cases were lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.266$ Now we're expanding that cohort to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:12.266 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.981$ another 4050 samples with single

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:13.981 --> 00:21:15.829 cell RNA sequencing and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:15.829 --> 00:21:17.707 will be followed by DNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:17.707 --> 00:21:19.786 of course if we find this positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.286$ but that opens the door for saying we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:22.286 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.610$ can screen also for other lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.940$ And not just for myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.949$ And the question is what are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:27.949 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.600$ of those monoclonal gammopathy is

 $00:21:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.415$ doing in our general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.296$ So to answer some of those questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.778$ we're moving on to other bigger cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:35.780 --> 00:21:38.380 So now we're talking to the UK Biobank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.124$ they have a half a million samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:40.124 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.678$ have been collected over 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:41.680 --> 00:21:44.038 We're talking to end Haynes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:44.038 --> 00:21:46.345 trying to get samples from NHANES

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:46.345 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.050$ as you can see here 7937 another

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.381$ 8000 and PLO another 14,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}51.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.069$ We are also trying to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:53.069 --> 00:21:54.619 can get access to the million.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}21{:}54.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56.825$ Veterans project to all of us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

00:21:56.825 --> 00:21:58.903 many other cohorts that have already

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:21:58.903 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.688$ collected large numbers of samples

00:22:00.688 --> 00:22:03.358 to ask big questions of what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}22{:}03.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.045$ prevalence in high risk population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:05.045 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.595$ but also what are those early

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:07.595 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.325$ monoclonal democracies doing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:09.325 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.507$ the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00:22:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.070$ And then of course we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87823653631579

 $00{:}22{:}12.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.110$ collaborations with all link

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:13.164 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.868$ to try and look at the protein level

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}14.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.530$ in those patients with proteomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.048$ So the next step I'll take

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}18.048 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}22{:}19.060$ you through is understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:19.106 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.670$ mechanisms of disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:20.670 --> 00:22:23.428 If you have mgus or smoldering myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.900$ you want to know what is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:24.900 --> 00:22:26.748 My personal risk of going on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}26.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.043$ dissolve myeloma and I don't

 $00:22:28.043 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.604$ have in the slides here what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:29.604 --> 00:22:31.210 just published yesterday night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:31.210 --> 00:22:33.128 it just came out in Lancet hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.356$ a new dynamic model to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:35.356 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.657$ risk of progression because we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:37.657 --> 00:22:39.527 that the current clinical criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:39.530 --> 00:22:41.364 20\% plasma cells in your bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:41.370 --> 00:22:42.486 2 grams M spike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:42.486 --> 00:22:44.613 20 light chain ratio for a smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:44.613 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.336$ myeloma are good but not good enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:47.336 --> 00:22:49.784 because they give you a 50% chance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:49.784 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.866$ progression in two years and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}22{:}51.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.550$ basically like flipping a coin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:22:53.550 --> 00:22:55.382 50% chance of progressing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:55.382 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.840$ 50% said chance of not progressing.

 $00:22:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.375$ So we need something better

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:22:59.375 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.340$ than that or to improve on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:01.340 --> 00:23:02.858 So we developed a dynamic model

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:02.858 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.898$ and now this is a risk calculator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.548$ Any patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:05.548 --> 00:23:07.816 any physician can use the risk calculator

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:07.816 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.095$ and have the prediction of five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.524 10 \text{ years}, 20 \text{ years},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}11.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.304$ what is my personal risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:13.304 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.160$ based on clinical markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.220$ But clinical markers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.556$ assessing the tumor burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:18.556 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.560$ how many cancer cells you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:20.560 --> 00:23:22.856 It doesn't give you the underlying biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.480$ how fast are they growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.532$ So we need more.

 $00:23:25.532 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.110$ And that the dynamic model helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:27.172 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.720$ you because the more data you

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.410$ enter in the light chain increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.910$ or the M spike increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.660$ it gives you the dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.710$ of tumor progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.524$ But we need something as the genomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}37.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.890$ and immune and other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.338$ So here's one of the first papers we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}42.338 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.777$ published a few years ago where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:23:44.777 --> 00:23:46.730 looked at whole exome sequencing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}46.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.710$ 250 patients with smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}23{:}48.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.460$ And now we expanded it of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.210$ so many others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.770$ And we found that there were three main

 $00:23:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.358$ mechanisms of genomic aberrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:55.358 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.186$ That leads to progression or that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:58.186 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.726$ associated strongly with progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:23:59.726 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.399$ to myeloma and these were MAP kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:02.399 --> 00:24:04.109 mutations like ANRAS and Karas

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}04.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.574$ ATM and ATR and P53 mutations DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:06.574 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.225$ repair pathway and of course make

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:09.225 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.749$ alterations or translocations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.009$ In fact I think that if we have Mike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.320$ we already have myeloma and potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}15.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.742$ some of those alterations are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:17.742 --> 00:24:19.378 secondary mutations and secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:19.378 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.514$ alterations that occur when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:21.514 --> 00:24:23.266 already going towards myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.956$ when there is no coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:24.956 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.799$ and hopefully these.

 $00:24:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.640$ Will become routine in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.490$ understanding of if someone has

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:30.490 --> 00:24:32.730 smoldering myeloma and has one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.026$ those likely they have very high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:35.026 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.446$ of progression and we should consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:37.446 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.146$ therapeutic interventions in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.206$ Now what we found lately is that one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:42.210 --> 00:24:43.668 many of our patients don't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:43.668 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.958$ bone marrow biopsies or serial

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:44.958 --> 00:24:46.308 bone marrow biopsies and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:46.310 --> 00:24:48.182 whole exome sequencing is OK and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

00:24:48.182 --> 00:24:50.189 it's not good enough because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}50.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.265$ doesn't give you the primary events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.340$ the translocations that occur in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.980$ patients. So this is a paper that.

 $00:24:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.758$ Just got published a few weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00{:}24{:}57.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.998$ Work from Ankit and John Batiste

NOTE Confidence: 0.833448604347826

 $00:24:59.998 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.490$ where we took circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:01.558 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.014$ tumor cells, isolated them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:03.014 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.750$ developed a method of low input DNA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:05.821 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.082$ were able to do whole genome sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:08.082 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.526$ from as low as 30 to 50 cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:10.526 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.166$ you can get in the peripheral blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:12.166 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.558$ So you can see in mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:13.558 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.770$ and smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}25{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.283$ Many of them have small numbers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:17.283 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.613$ circulating tumor cells and when you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:19.613 --> 00:25:21.770 able to capture them and purify them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:21.770 --> 00:25:23.414 you can do whole genome sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:23.414 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.427$ and you don't even have to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:25.427 --> 00:25:26.579 deep sequencing because the.

 $00:25:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.009$ Security is so good in those samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:29.010 --> 00:25:31.240 So indeed we had head-to-head

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:31.240 --> 00:25:33.024 comparison of circulating tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:33.024 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.276$ cells versus bone marrow cells so

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:35.276 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.773$ that you can show indeed that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:37.773 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.849$ of the clonal and subclonal events

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:39.849 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.330$ can also happen in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:41.330 --> 00:25:43.109 And you don't need the bone marrow biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:43.110 --> 00:25:46.086 but also head-to-head comparison to fish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}25{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.658$ which is the standard of care that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:47.658 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.078$ we have right now in myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.228$ yet another 50 year old technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.490$ So indeed, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.380$ no surprise there that whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.146$ sequencing is better than fish,

 $00:25:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.686$ indeed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:56.686 --> 00:25:58.562 And get you all of the translocations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:25:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.914$ but it can get you much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:25:59.920 --> 00:26:00.919 You get mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:00.919 --> 00:26:02.584 you get copy number alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.225$ you can even get translocations

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:04.225 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.860$ you couldn't detect by fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:05.860 --> 00:26:07.460 And indeed because you're purifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:07.460 --> 00:26:09.060 small numbers of cells especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:09.109 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.269$ in the peripheral bloods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.166$ you can do that multiple times during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:13.166 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.519$ serial development of a patients progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.249$ So you can ask the question when

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:17.249 --> 00:26:18.640 the MIC clone is growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.551$ what is going on and when can

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:20.551 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.960$ I treat this patient.

00:26:21.960 --> 00:26:24.736 Now I'll move on to single cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}24.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.169$ I borrowed this slide from Aviva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.922$ Who basically tries to tell you why do

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:28.922 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.889$ we need to go to the single cell level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.200$ and it's basically when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.986$ do bulk sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.358$ whether it's whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:34.358 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.068$ sequencing or bulk RNA sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}36.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.672$ you're sequencing all of the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}37.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.210$ mushed together like a smoothie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}39.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.210$ Now it tastes good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.123$ but you can't really tell the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}42.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.265$ between a strawberry and a Raspberry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}44.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.574$ You can't even tell if it's a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:46.574 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.288$ Raspberry versus a mutant Raspberry.

 $00:26:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.030$ Single cell sequencing gives you that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.950$ It gives you that ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.630$ differentiate them from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.865$ And of course spatial transcriptomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:26:55.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.206$ or spatial sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:26:57.210 --> 00:26:59.170 Is the ultimate goal where you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}26{:}59.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.375$ the whole fruit tart and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:01.375 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.000$ understand better the localization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.298$ all of those cells in the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.197$ So what we did is we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}07.200 --> 00{:}27{:}07.459 \ well,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:07.459 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.013$ let's look at the tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:09.013 --> 00:27:10.899 in the bone marrow compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.396$ And this is a study where we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}12.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.672$ it in collaboration with MIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:13.672 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.376$ and of course with the broad.

 $00:27:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.484$ All of our work is with the Broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:17.484 --> 00:27:19.301 Institute where we said we're lucky

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:19.301 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.143$ enough in mgus and smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:21.197 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.565$ that not all of the plasma cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:23.565 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.027$ actually malignant plasma cells we

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:25.027 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.450$ have some of them are normal plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.942$ So the potential here is instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:30.942 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.846$ looking at interpatient variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:32.850 --> 00:27:34.370 healthy versus cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.650$ we can actually look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:36.715 --> 00:27:38.389 intra patient variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00:27:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.234$ healthy cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

00:27:39.234 --> 00:27:40.922 plasma cells within one

NOTE Confidence: 0.753337883333333

 $00{:}27{:}40.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.610$ patient versus malignant plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:42.678 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.354$ cells. And now you can ask the

 $00:27:44.354 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.853$ questions of here are the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}27{:}45.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.575$ plasma cells here are the malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:47.575 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.267$ plasma cells from the same patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.433$ what are the differences in them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:51.433 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.070$ can I understand that mechanism of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.722$ genomic events and transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:55.722 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.840$ changes that occur with malignant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:27:57.840 --> 00:27:58.370 Transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:27:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.550$ even within the same neoplastic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}01.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.278$ I can find subclusters that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}03.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.790$ very different from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.610$ There is a proliferating cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.647$ There is some that have higher expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:08.647 --> 00:28:10.885 of certain genes and that can help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:10.885 --> 00:28:12.650 understand when the patient is treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.720$ which subcluster may respond and which

 $00:28:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.809$ one may be resistant to the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.310$ Now we moved on to do even more work on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.802$ So this was presented in Ash this

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}21.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.506$ year where we showed 245 samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:24.506 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.150$ from 234 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.638$ Here we did not only do the jacks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:28.640 --> 00:28:30.950 The gene expression single cell sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.636$ but we also did BCR profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.390$ on all of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}34.390 \to 00{:}28{:}36.638$ So now you can get with the VGA

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:36.638 --> 00:28:39.118 or with the BCR sequencing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:39.118 --> 00:28:40.958 clonality of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}28{:}40.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.319$ So this just shows you the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:43.319 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.140$ of really understanding the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.640$ compartment in those patients.

 $00:28:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.160$ We've done the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:28:48.160 --> 00:28:49.376 on circulating tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.340$ but I'm not showing that data here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.833$ So of course with a huge number of samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.464$ what was very interesting is indeed all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.769$ the malignant samples cluster separately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.766$ It was not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:28:59.766 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.641$ We saw that before and the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}01.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.246$ plasma cells clustered together from

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:03.246 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.501$ all of the patients and indeed the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:05.501 --> 00:29:07.336 you look at the number of cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:07.336 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.932$ increasing as you go on to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.500$ the malignant versus normal compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.786$ But what was interesting is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:13.786 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.929$ compared head-to-head cytogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:14.929 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.099$ from those patients with fish or when

 $00{:}29{:}17.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.994$ we have whole genome sequencing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}18.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.034$ the single cell RNA sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:21.040 --> 00:29:23.352 And indeed you can see that the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:23.352 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.454$ deployed cases were confirmed, the 414,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:25.454 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.132$ you can confirm it with FGFR 311,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:28.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.806$ fourteen with cycling. 11416 and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:30.806 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.844$ So you can be very accurate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:32.844 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.949$ understanding who has a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:34.949 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.370$ translocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.296$ But then we said well 50% of our samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:29:39.296 --> 00:29:41.970 did not even have good fish information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}41.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.682$ Either it failed which happens a lot or

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00{:}29{:}44.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.201$ they give us the fish information with

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:47.201 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.010$ an igh partner that we cannot detect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.650$ So we were basically blinded

 $00:29:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.290$ to know what is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.098$ So we used our single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:56.098 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.856$ to generate what could potentially be the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:29:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.170$ Cytogenetic information of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.510$ So you can see here that all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.645$ unavailable or we didn't know what they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:05.650 \dashrightarrow 00:30:08.716$ we were able to reclassify them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:08.716 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.030$ specific cytogenetic abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.064$ And this is the confusion matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:12.064 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.061$ showing you that indeed all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:14.061 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.801$ the unclassified we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:15.801 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.050$ get them into a 4141114 and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.600$ Biggest number was the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.410$ deployed numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.154$ So that can tell you that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

00:30:22.154 --> 00:30:23.808 use RNA sequencing to basically

 $00:30:23.808 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.748$ predict what are the cytogenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:25.748 \dashrightarrow 00:30:27.748$ abnormalities at the single cell level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.280$ So now you can really say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.790$ Subclusters of those patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791874297272727

 $00:30:31.790 \dashrightarrow 00:30:34.300$ subclonal abnormalities and we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:34.375 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.175$ it even more because we have potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:37.175 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.978$ the ability to identify rare events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}39.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.488$ You can now find 814 translocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:42.488 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.160$ extremely rare in myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}44.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.910$ We miss it in many patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.946$ now we can find it with this math

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:47.946 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.307$ A and you can even look at their

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}30{:}50.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51.739$ expression of certain genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.150$ So for example they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.278$ high levels of Mike,

 $00:30:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.850$ they don't express other levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:30:56.850 --> 00:30:59.790 of other genes for example in 14.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:30:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.340$ 16 or in 1420 translocations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.932$ So now you can really go into the genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:04.932 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.983$ and the transcriptional changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:06.983 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.533$ are occurring in those rare events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:31:09.540 --> 00:31:11.404 So when you go back to also looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}11.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.074$ at the normal versus malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:13.074 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.598$ cells in those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:31:14.600 --> 00:31:16.744 you can also ask questions that are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:16.744 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.976$ specific to the phenotype of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.910$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.647$ we always think that CD 56 is highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:22.647 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.117$ expressed on malignant plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.502$ That's not actually true for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:27.502 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.944$ small numbers of 1416 and 14.

00:31:29.944 --> 00:31:30.766 20 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.486$ they are negative for CD 56

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:32.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.910$ and you can go on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:31:33.910 --> 00:31:36.250 So now you can really say if I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:31:36.250 --> 00:31:38.989 to develop a therapeutic target not BCMA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652 00:31:38.990 --> 00:31:39.614 but others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:31:39.614 --> 00:31:41.486 I want to understand whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:41.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.433$ highly expressed on those cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:43.433 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.701$ certain genetic abnormalities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:44.701 \dashrightarrow 00:31:46.777$ those are the patients that I will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:46.777 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.890$ or I will include in my clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.729$ Now moving on to the gene expression data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}51.730 --> 00{:}31{:}54.586$ you can see here these are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}31{:}54.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.780$ top highly expressed or the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:31:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.031$ Significantly downregulated genes

00:31:58.031 --> 00:32:00.533 across the spectrum from mgus to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}00.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.039$ smoldering myeloma to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.370$ And because again we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.434$ huge numbers of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.574$ you have more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:05.574 --> 00:32:08.220 you have a better ability to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:08.299 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.074$ genes that really are modulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:10.074 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.989$ as you go on to progress like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.838$ T3 which is a leukemia growth factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:14.838 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.682$ as well or transcriptional factor as

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}16.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18.999$ well as many other genes that get

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

00:32:19.062 --> 00:32:20.946 down regulated as you progress but

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:20.946 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.280$ also you can identify new targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}23.280 \to 00{:}32{:}25.420$ potentially for developing the rapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.688$ or new by specifics or new cartes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.588$ And then we developed a signature

 $00:32:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.739$ that was developed not from the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:33.739 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.653$ plasma cells but from the malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:35.653 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.525$ plasma cells and it was increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:37.525 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.628$ as you go on from mgus to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.332$ And that signature by NMF by non

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:42.332 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.562$ matrix factorization was able to also

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:44.562 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.886$ detect when we applied it to compass

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}46.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.465$ data which is the overt myeloma data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}49.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.480$ it showed us a progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}32{:}51.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.820$ survival and overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:52.882 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.826$ difference and it could be predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:54.826 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.010$ of prognostic risk in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.590$ So if you put that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:32:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.984$ In those patients as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.070$ looking at the proliferation index,

 $00:33:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.445$ you can actually stratify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:04.445 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.545$ patients as low risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.446$ intermediate and high risk even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:07.446 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.649$ the compass data in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.477$ We then applied it to the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}11.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.208$ expression data to all gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:13.208 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.294$ data from mgus to myeloma and indeed

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:15.352 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.110$ show that this can be predictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.378$ So again not only genomics like

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:19.378 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.370$ DNA data that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00{:}33{:}21.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.225$ Like map kinase mutations and so on

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:23.225 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.129$ can be predictive of who will progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843883088695652

 $00:33:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.770$ Now at the RNA level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.378$ we also have a gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:28.378 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.103$ profile that can be predictive of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}30.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.189$ who would progress and who will not.

 $00:33:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.570$ So moving on to the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.030$ here I'm showing you that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}37.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.610$ tumor system is an ecosystem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:33:39.610 --> 00:33:41.410 You cannot look only at the cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.453$ you need to look at the cancer and immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:43.453 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.623$ cells and of course not immune cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:45.623 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.409$ understand better what causes progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.360$ So the first thing we did a few years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}49.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.266$ is again we did single cell sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}51.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.454$ Of the immune cells in the bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}53.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.319$ from MGUS smoldering to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.231$ And indeed what was surprising is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}33{:}57.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.771$ found that there were compositional

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:33:58.771 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.095$ changes that happened as early as mgus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:01.100 --> 00:34:02.780 It looked almost like myeloma.

00:34:02.780 --> 00:34:04.621 And we were shocked because we usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:04.621 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.440$ think that mgus is a benign disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:06.440 --> 00:34:07.178 You're walking around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:07.178 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.500$ you have a very small chance of progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.390$ Why would your immune system be so

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.280$ altered that it looks like myeloma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.198$ So we found T regs are increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.628$ 16 monocytes are increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:16.628 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.056$ NK cells are altered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.478$ and then later on you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:20.478 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.687$ further functional changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.050$ You have loss of the memory cytotoxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.330$ CD8 cells and then you start having less

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:28.406 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.024$ granzyme K which are the earlier stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:31.024 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.307$ cells and more granzyme B in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:34.310 --> 00:34:35.480 And this is just showing you

 $00:34:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.260$ some of those changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}34{:}36.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.801$ You can see here those memory excitotoxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:38.801 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.918$ cells almost completely depleted in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:40.918 --> 00:34:42.666 patients with smoldering myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:42.670 --> 00:34:43.730 sorry, with overt myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.018$ So we went on to ask a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:46.018 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.966$ of other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263 00:34:46.970 --> 00:34:47.568 One is, NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:47.568 --> 00:34:49.661 are those changes altered if I treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:49.661 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.950$ someone with smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.560$ and can we expand that in also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.430$ peripheral blood of those patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}34{:}55.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.590$ So this is work by Romanos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:34:57.590 --> 00:34:59.870 just got published a couple of weeks ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:34:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.020$ again also in cancer cell where we took

 $00:35:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.330$ samples from patients on a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:05.330 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.420$ With Elotuzumab limited dexame thasone 51

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.308$ patients who were treated on high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:10.308 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.522$ smoldering trial and we took samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:12.522 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.776$ baseline cycle nine and end of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.376$ And what we found is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:16.376 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.960$ found a couple of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.228$ First is of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:19.228 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.130$ the compositional changes were very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:35:21.185 --> 00:35:23.193 to what you expected in our other study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.260$ but now it's a much bigger #190 samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.735$ So indeed more T regs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.560$ more CD4 TNS and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.042$ But what we found that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:34.042 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.579$ interesting is a couple of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.878$ One,

00:35:35.878 --> 00:35:37.666 because we had single cell TCR

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

00:35:37.666 --> 00:35:39.639 sequencing on all of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.794$ we found that you actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:41.794 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.650$ a significant change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}35{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.726$ diversity of the T cells even

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:45.726 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.360$ in early smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.624$ So this is just showing you when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:49.624 \dashrightarrow 00:35:51.998$ resample the TCR in all of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.672$ always we had a smaller diversity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:54.672 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.698$ healthy compared to smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.632$ So it shrinks significantly and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:35:58.632 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.687$ would think that it shrinks because

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}36{:}00.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.793$ you have one clone that expands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:36:02.800 \dashrightarrow 00:36:05.770$ So the diversity is smaller and indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:36:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.310$ It is clonal expansion,

00:36:07.310 --> 00:36:08.426 but it's not just one clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00{:}36{:}08.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.954$ it's multiple clones and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856670312105263

 $00:36:09.954 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.240$ some of them are very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:12.317 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.029$ clones that expand in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.070$ Now, interestingly, that expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}17.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.932$ was merely in granzyme BC8T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:19.932 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.987$ As well as T regs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.575$ and you can see it here, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:23.575 \dashrightarrow 00:36:26.060$ nicely that those clonal T cell expansions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.009$ were in the CD 8 terms in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}29.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.522$ So that tells you the immune system is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:31.522 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.929$ trying to react to the cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}33.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.454$ but it's exhaustive and it cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:35.454 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.674$ do a very good job in responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:37.674 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.390$ those cancer cells and that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:39.448 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.393$ potentially be useful for the rapeutic

 $00:36:41.393 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.949$ interventions in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.326$ especially with TCR therapeutics as we go on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.530$ Now, the other question we said is can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.170$ we use the immune system as a biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.064$ Of disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}36{:}52.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.852$ can I use an immune signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:53.852 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.575$ that tells me this patient will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:55.575 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.940$ respond to therapy or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.725$ And after therapy did they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:36:58.725 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.153$ normalize their immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}00.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.104$ So indeed we found the signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:02.104 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.067$ that is predictive of response which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}04.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.467$ is if you are reactive to the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:06.533 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.717$ cells then you have a better chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:08.717 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.031$ of responding to the rapy and a

 $00:37:11.031 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.859$ long-term progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:12.860 \dashrightarrow 00:37:15.282$ And post the rapy if you normalize your

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:15.282 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.726$ immune system indeed you have a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:17.726 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.401$ better progression free survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:19.401 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.906$ that tells us that indeed those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}21.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.034$ Can have that normalization of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}24.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.050$ immune system along with MRD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.670$ we're hoping to apply that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.770$ all of the future studies so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:37:29.770 --> 00:37:31.586 you don't only look for Mart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:31.586 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.114$ you also look for pin in those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:34.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.458$ both therapy and your normalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.556$ And this is just showing you some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:38.556 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.607$ those factors specifically for grand time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}40.610 --> 00{:}37{:}40.935 \ \mathrm{OK},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}37{:}40.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.210$ as you go on to that normalization

 $00:37:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.560$ in those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:37:44.560 --> 00:37:46.675 now we moved on into the blood and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.528$ can we use the blood instead of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:48.528 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.220$ bone marrow again in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:50.220 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.439$ So indeed here is just showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:52.439 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.466$ the volcano plot of those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:54.466 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.818$ and indeed you have the same changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:56.883 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.286$ in the blood as you have in the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:37:59.286 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.468$ marrow of those patients and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:01.468 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.000$ thing also happens for the T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:04.000 \dashrightarrow 00:38:05.917$ So this is just showing you the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:05.917 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.287$ diversity and the peripheral blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.036$ And it mimicked exactly what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:09.036 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.210$ in the bone marrow of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:11.210 --> 00:38:12.071 Not only that,

00:38:12.071 --> 00:38:14.080 if I just do another confusion plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}14.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.240$ and say give me randomly anyone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}16.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.235$ has a peripheral blood sample and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:18.235 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.609$ will tell you if they have mgus or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:20.609 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.520$ It was very predictive in the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:22.581 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.492$ by the immune cell signature that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:24.492 --> 00:38:26.507 can tell you this one is healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.558$ this one is mgus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}27.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.635$ Now that opened the door for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:29.635 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.411$ say can we use it also for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:31.472 --> 00:38:32.669 screening in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

00:38:32.670 --> 00:38:34.554 And this is something that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:34.554 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.090$ trying to develop right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.330$ So with that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.144$ Big data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:38.144 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.958$ big questions,

 $00:38:38.958 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.035$ which means that we have 317 new samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}42.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.105$ that we sequenced bone marrow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:44.105 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.321$ peripheral blood to really ask those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:46.321 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.131$ bigger questions of immune regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00{:}38{:}48.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.976$ in mgus and smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.870$ And now you can have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85436714

 $00:38:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.130$ expression data that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}38{:}53.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.909$ defines the progression signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}38{:}54.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.054$ because you have more samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:38:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.082$ you can differentiate what causes progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:38:59.082 --> 00:39:01.020 from mgus to smoldering to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:01.020 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.317$ not causes what is associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}04.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05.755$ Hopefully causative would be all

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:05.755 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.380$ of the functional studies that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.783$ Can do in vivo and in vitro to say

 $00:39:09.783 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.965$ what is really causing progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:11.965 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.274$ in those patients and then of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:14.274 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.122$ course at the gene expression level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.010$ So at the compositional changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:18.010 --> 00:39:20.377 most of the things happen at mgus and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:20.377 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.706$ they stay constant or increased slightly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.897$ But at the signatures of the genes you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:24.897 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.468$ a huge difference in interference signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.612$ You see that sudden change of granzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:29.612 --> 00:39:31.701 B increasing and you have more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:31.701 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.369$ those granzyme BCZ its cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:33.430 --> 00:39:35.341 are more senescent as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:35.341 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.594$ here with their expression of KR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}37.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.506$ One and less cytolytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.706$ So they're not capable of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}41.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43.576$ responding to the cancer cells

 $00:39:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.956$ and this is just showing you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:45.956 \dashrightarrow 00:39:48.217$ altered immune system goes on from

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}48.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.107$ progression from mgus to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.262$ And then again because

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:51.262 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.702$ we have so many samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:39:52.710 --> 00:39:54.118 especially low risk smoldering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:54.118 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.548$ which we think is likely more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}39{:}56.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.361$ an mgus and some of those mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:39:58.361 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.328$ look more like smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}00.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.022$ So the clinical factors of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:02.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.465$ we call mgus and what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}04.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.550$ smoldering myeloma may actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}06.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.040$ biologically completely different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}08.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.590$ And they are intermixed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.830$ mgus and smoldering myeloma.

 $00:40:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.380$ We have biological relevance from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.473$ So you can see here huge diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:16.473 --> 00:40:18.353 changes that occur in some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:18.353 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.251$ MGA samples as well as the smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:20.251 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.506$ myeloma samples in those populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:22.510 --> 00:40:23.566 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:23.566 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.678$ we're starting to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:25.678 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.120$ spatial transcriptomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:27.120 --> 00:40:28.744 But until then we started to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.376$ at the cells that basically are

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:30.376 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.556$ adhered to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.800$ What is close to a myeloma cell when

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.821$ we pull it in a CD130 is selection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:35.821 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.040$ and indeed we found many of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.367$ B cells, granzyme key positive cells and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.819$ Megakaryocytes were highly,

00:40:44.819 --> 00:40:45.302 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316 00:40:45.302 --> 00:40:46.268 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.232$ uh attached to the tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:48.232 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.654$ indicating that there is a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:50.654 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.050$ interaction between those cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:40:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.947$ So in the last few minutes I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:53.947 --> 00:40:55.168 talk about clinical interception

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}40{:}55.168 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}40{:}57.244$ and we have done many clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:57.244 --> 00:40:58.660 trials throughout the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:40:58.660 --> 00:41:00.522 but now we're thinking of it more

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:00.522 --> 00:41:02.108 of that specific interception being

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:02.108 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.943$ precise in our interception what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}41{:}03.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.790$ we call precision interception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.624$ So in the older days we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:07.624 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.153$ shown there is a proof of concept

00:41:10.153 --> 00:41:11.833 that indeed observation versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00{:}41{:}11.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.350$ treatment treatment is better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:13.350 --> 00:41:14.995 In progression free survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:14.995 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.085$ in one case overall survival with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:17.085 --> 00:41:18.637 the Lenalidomide index studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.575$ But these were early events

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:20.575 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.736$ or early attempts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:21.740 --> 00:41:24.236 Let's do something better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.315$ So our efforts are divided

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:26.315 --> 00:41:27.560 into early prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.015$ metformin, intermittent fasting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:29.015 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.440$ things that really prevent progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.240$ Then we have targeted approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:33.240 --> 00:41:35.208 MAP kinase mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:35.208 --> 00:41:37.770 1114 with venetoclax, we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:37.770 --> 00:41:39.540 at synthetically salty in one queue,

 $00:41:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.220$ abnormalities and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:41.220 --> 00:41:42.900 Then we have Immunotherapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316 00:41:42.900 --> 00:41:43.312 vaccines, NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:43.312 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.784$ T cell therapy with carton by

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:45.784 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.320$ specifics and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

 $00:41:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.528$ and then novel combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857445490526316

00:41:48.528 --> 00:41:50.840 And we're doing now 4 drug regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:41:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.640$ There are RVD, which is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:41:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.510$ the standard of care of myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:41:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.472$ Bringing it on into an earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:41:56.472 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.870$ setting with the idea that can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:41:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.910$ cure the patients at the earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}00.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.979$ precursor stages and at least can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}02.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.041$ make sure that we do never develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:05.041 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.943$ end organ damage in those patients.

 $00:42:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.378$ So I'll just give you a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:08.378 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.629$ of examples of those trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:09.630 --> 00:42:11.946 This one is ongoing right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.534$ immunol prism and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:13.534 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.550$ first time we treat patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.350$ immunotherapy in smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.594$ So we chose these inclusion criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:19.594 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.494$ for high risk smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}21.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.842$ and we're randomizing patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:22.842 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.270$ 2 to one to tech listenable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}25.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.020$ Bcma CD3 antibody by specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.670$ antibody or landex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:29.670 --> 00:42:32.162 our first six patients were only to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:32.162 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.139$ Christmas because the FDA mandated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:34.139 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.110$ we go very slowly and we do lose reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.740$ And then now we're actually

00:42:38.740 --> 00:42:40.736 randomizing patients and we're up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}40.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.261$ 18 patients currently either treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:42.261 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.502$ or going to treat soon with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:44.502 --> 00:42:46.107 primary endpoint of response rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:46.110 --> 00:42:47.940 And I can tell you preliminary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.280$ we are not seeing the same rate of CRS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.911$ We are not seeing the same rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:51.911 --> 00:42:53.548 of infections you see in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}53.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.023$ patients and we're seeing impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:42:55.023 --> 00:42:56.379 responses in those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:42:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.962$ And then of course the other option

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}42{:}57.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.141$ is can I use the one and done cartee

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}00.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01.708$ the rapy as early as possible when

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:01.708 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.612$ you have less tumor burden and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}03.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.535$ you have better T cell response

 $00:43:05.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.580$ and potentially will this be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.245$ curative intent in our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:09.250 --> 00:43:11.670 So we're hoping to open soon the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:11.670 --> 00:43:14.454 car T therapy in early precursor settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:14.454 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.730$ in high risk smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.137$ And I can tell you when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:18.137 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.190$ submitted it to the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.880$ the first thing I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.232$ back was absolutely not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.624$ you're not doing this and we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:24.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.897$ to convince the FDA to give us the Ind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:26.900 --> 00:43:29.660 And we're hoping soon to open that trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.494$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:30.494 --> 00:43:32.440 I hope I convince you that early

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:32.504 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.589$ detection and early interception in

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}34.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.674$ one disease like myeloma matters.

 $00:43:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.384$ And hopefully this can be applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:38.384 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.374$ to many other diseases and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:40.374 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.964$ change the survival of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:41.970 --> 00:43:44.418 And I want to thank of course amazing people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.980$ the lab, the clinical teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.505$ And our collaborators from really

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:48.505 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.725$ all over the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.284$ but all of course our funders

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:51.284 --> 00:43:53.140 stand up to cancer, MRI, FLS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:53.140 --> 00:43:53.550 NIH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.190$ our collaboration with gadgets

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00:43:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.209$ who just basically does everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

 $00{:}43{:}57.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.363$ with us at the Broad Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667

00:43:59.363 --> 00:44:01.238 and above all our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792223144166667 00:44:01.240 --> 00:44:01.790 Thank you.

00:44:05.450 --> 00:44:07.690 I mean, absolutely spectacular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

00:44:07.690 --> 00:44:09.160 incredibly, incredibly exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00:44:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.435$ So we have doctor nefarious

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00:44:11.435 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.670$ here as our panelist too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00:44:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.070$ And maybe I have a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00:44:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.570$ Do you see correlations between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00{:}44{:}22.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.030$ you know, the mutational spectrum and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799748

 $00:44:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.270$ then the immune environment? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594678492

 $00:44:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.030$ How do they happen? Yeah, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:32.040 --> 00:44:34.776 haven't even started putting it together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:34.780 --> 00:44:37.844 I mean it's it's an so if any

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:37.844 --> 00:44:39.070 bioinformaticians you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.194$ please come because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:40.194 --> 00:44:41.599 have enough data for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.616$ many years to analyze the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:43.620 --> 00:44:46.113 But yes, now that we have that many samples,

 $00:44:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.884$ you can start asking the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:47.884 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.499$ in an 1114 or in a certain mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.156$ what are the immune, that's regulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.029$ The older samples were very small numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:54.029 --> 00:44:56.100 and of course if you start subdividing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

00:44:56.100 --> 00:44:58.718 if P53 haven't foreseen, you don't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667 00:44:58.720 --> 00:44:59.450 Of data. NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:44:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.005$ But now as we're enlarging the cohorts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946640661666667

 $00:45:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.110$ we will start to see that correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.11864579

00:45:09.990 --> 00:45:11.240 Now you wanna ask a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}11.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.674$ I think there there is a question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:12.674 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.539$ the chat, but Irene congratulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:14.539 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.054$ on your really tremendous success

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:17.054 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.889$ and in terms of promise study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.026$ I think that's really a successful enrollment

 $00:45:23.026 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.968$ and of extensive data generated there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}25.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.510$ In terms of potential future

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.526$ clinical applications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:29.530 --> 00:45:31.595 I mean terms like number needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:31.595 --> 00:45:33.608 screen are used for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.630$ 80 or 100 seems acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}35.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.328$ What's your sense of number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:37.328 --> 00:45:38.805 needed to screen potentially for

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00{:}45{:}38.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.245$ high risk patients with myeloma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

00:45:40.250 --> 00:45:43.076 Or perhaps those with family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767392458

 $00:45:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.690$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.344$ great question. And this is indeed

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00{:}45{:}45.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.921$ exactly the question of how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:46.921 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.199$ we make it standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.604$ what is needed for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:49.604 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.140$ switch to an early detection.

 $00:45:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.443$ I think unlike breast cancer and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:53.443 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.733$ solid tumors where you know that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:45:55.733 --> 00:45:58.018 cut it and the patient survived in mgus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:45:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.477$ if you find it, what is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:00.480 --> 00:46:01.912 what's the relevance, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:01.912 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.344$ because we know sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:03.344 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.758$ and specificity is very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00{:}46{:}04.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.656$ So that's not the problem that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.180$ So I think what we have thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.260$ of is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.510$ That showed that indeed interception

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.310$ matters because then early

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00{:}46{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.143$ detection would matter and 13%

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:16.143 --> 00:46:17.808 prevalence is a huge number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:17.810 --> 00:46:18.951 I mean these are not numbers you

 $00:46:18.951 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.209$ see in any other cancer right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.988$ breast or lung and all of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.580$ So a high risk population being African

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.101$ American or of African descent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:27.101 --> 00:46:28.967 black or first degree family members

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:28.967 --> 00:46:31.110 should be such a low hanging fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.644$ Like you don't need to justify numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:33.644 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.926$ needed to treat with the 13% prevalence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:35.926 --> 00:46:38.770 And that's just mgus if you add the M

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:38.836 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.896$ *** which could be the taxing lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.622$ Now we have a huge number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

00:46:42.622 --> 00:46:44.084 people walking around with early

NOTE Confidence: 0.907051688333333

 $00:46:44.084 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.089$ lymphomas and myelomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786183

00:46:46.520 --> 00:46:49.550 And if I, if I may just ask one more in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

00:46:49.625 --> 00:46:51.215 of I think you put you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.173$ you had some of this in the slides in

 $00:46:53.173 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.452$ terms of you know fasting or metformin

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:55.452 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.788$ or other metabolic interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.720$ What's your potential vision on

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:46:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.650$ preventive intervention for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

 $00:47:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.670$ you capture as mgus or early stage?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

00:47:02.670 --> 00:47:03.966 What's your current counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.885824233125

00:47:03.966 --> 00:47:05.450 that you provide? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}05.460 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.134$ so you know the interceptions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

00:47:07.134 --> 00:47:08.867 easy because I can give something

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:08.867 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.553$ and I can see the response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}10.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.877$ But then so many patients have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}12.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.043$ earlier factors and there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}15.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.888$ of questions of obesity microbiome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

00:47:16.890 --> 00:47:18.820 Metabolic pathways, so we're starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.750$ to do now microbiome studies.

 $00:47:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.418$ We're starting to do metabolic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

00:47:22.418 --> 00:47:24.480 and immune and again they come together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.575$ right, the microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:25.575 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.400$ the metabolomics and the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.208$ dysregulation to lead to progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.730$ So a lot of that effort we're starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.034$ to work on because that can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:34.034 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.498$ be the rapeutically intervened with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:35.498 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.373$ whether you have microbiome therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:37.373 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.188$ or of course other mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

00:47:39.190 --> 00:47:41.386 And then Catherine Mayernik and Betsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:41.386 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.663$ O'Donnell are amazing and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:43.663 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.925$ develop other studies like that metformin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.700$ intermittent fasting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.010$ Exercise and fitness things that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00:47:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.399$ really help modulate the lifestyle of

 $00:47:51.399 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.959$ patients for modifications basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.885631910555556

 $00{:}47{:}52.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.178$ that can help prevent progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651024306

00:47:56.630 --> 00:47:58.020 Yeah, I think your former

NOTE Confidence: 0.635570715

 $00:47:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.428$ answer may have to Natalia may

NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154

 $00:47:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.312$ have answered the question in the chat um

NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154

 $00{:}48{:}02.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.510$ by um Manju Prasad who's asking is risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154

 $00:48:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.490$ stratification for mgas being offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.721241593846154

 $00{:}48{:}07.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10.020$ to patients in the clinical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.456$ Yeah. So actually our publication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:12.456 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.369$ just came out yesterday and Nancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.214$ mythology was specifically to ask that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:17.214 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.308$ question because many of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

00:48:19.310 --> 00:48:20.521 don't have a bone marrow biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:20.521 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.907$ So you think they have mgus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.703$ they actually have smoldering myeloma and

 $00:48:23.703 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.770$ then you don't even know and as I said the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

00:48:26.770 --> 00:48:28.512 Clinical annotation of what is mgus

NOTE Confidence: 0.76735268525

 $00:48:28.512 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.548$ and what smoldering myeloma is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

 $00:48:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.765$ hard because the bone marrow is patchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

00:48:32.770 --> 00:48:35.220 So I can have a 10% plasma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

 $00:48:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.820$ but I'm really mgus or I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.822001147142857

 $00:48:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.170$ really small ring myeloma. So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7761632

 $00:48:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.568$ Pangea model was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.190$ 6700 participants where we annotated

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.686$ all of their clinical data and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:46.686 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.346$ developed the clinical model of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:48.346 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.299$ progression based on dynamic numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.716$ If they're M spike is increasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:48:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.658$ if their light chains chain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

00:48:53.658 --> 00:48:55.480 increasing hemoglobin it would freezing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}48{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.479$ creatinine is increasing.

00:48:56.479 --> 00:48:58.477 Remember all of those are blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}48{:}58.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.427$ things and then we added bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.347$ uh, as well as age and we did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:02.347 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.074$ model with or without bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

00:49:04.074 --> 00:49:05.818 biopsy to help you really say

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

00:49:05.818 --> 00:49:07.449 if I had a bone marrow biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.002$ here's the risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:08.002 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.490$ if I don't have the bone marrow box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.348$ here's the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:10.348 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.205$ But it was a model for all small ring model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:13.205 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.630$ So I would use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}49{:}14.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.550$ It's available online there is calculated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00{:}49{:}16.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.170$ So look up angia and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.812718934545455

 $00:49:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.590$ you'll be able to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:49:21.880 --> 00:49:25.640 Other conflicts? And considering the

 $00:49:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.880$ fact that so many of these younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}27.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.045$ patients who are diagnosed with full

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:30.045 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.158$ blown myeloma in their 30s or 40s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.648$ you'd have to conceive that there are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:34.648 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.217$ have had endust from their teenage years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.541$ So I wonder if you have any germ line

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:40.541 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.510$ genomic data within the within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:49:43.510 --> 00:49:45.821 promise cohort or elsewhere? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}45.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.530$ So we are trying to sequence right now all

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:49:48.598 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.300$ of the samples which won't even sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:49:51.300 --> 00:49:54.867 Uh, the MGB cohort already had their

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:49:54.867 --> 00:49:57.069 smooth arrays or now they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

00:49:57.069 --> 00:49:59.147 redoing whole thing security in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00{:}49{:}59.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.268$ same samples and then of course many

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:50:01.333 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.259$ of those other folks had already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:50:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.018$ So you're right, we're trying to

 $00:50:05.018 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.590$ actually do that all of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:50:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.608$ OK, I think they're having some static

NOTE Confidence: 0.13883433

 $00:50:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.800$ from me or from somewhere else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.497013415

00:50:16.170 --> 00:50:19.412 Nope, it's. OK, it may have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.497013415

00:50:19.412 --> 00:50:21.428 your computer, but let me umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.497013415

 $00:50:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.310$ so there this Mendez

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00:50:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.824$ is asking a question in the question answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

00:50:24.830 --> 00:50:26.386 So how do you think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}26.386 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.998$ mgip compared to lymphoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.758$ clonal hematopoies is and is in GIMP

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

00:50:30.758 --> 00:50:32.844 and the absence of lymphoma CL and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

00:50:32.844 --> 00:50:34.399 manifestation of lymphoid cloning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00{:}50{:}34.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38.040$ hematopoies is and then any information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

 $00:50:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.952$ on overlapping somatic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933679625

00:50:40.960 --> 00:50:42.528 So great question. So we work very

 $00:50:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.340$ closely with Ben Ebert and Lachelle

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.365$ weeks and others to understand really

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:46.365 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.275$ the interlink between Chip and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.786$ Mgus and we are, as we speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.998$ trying to sequence all our samples for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.533$ It's hard to know whether there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:50:56.533 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.200$ an overlap of the mutations or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

00:50:58.200 --> 00:51:00.495 I think we need to 1st see how many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:00.495 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.754$ do have chip and then we try to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.712$ We worked with Dan Lando where we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:04.712 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.885$ some of our chip samples from myeloma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00{:}51{:}06.885 \to 00{:}51{:}09.139$ we did the single cell sequencing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.149$ but most of the chip mutations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:11.149 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.064$ in the myeloid lineage and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:13.064 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.380$ in the lymphoid lineage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.715$ But that brings up the

 $00:51:15.715 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.516$ lymphoid chip question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.996$ And again until we have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:17.996 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.593$ data we don't know the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.738766877647059

 $00:51:19.593 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.978$ but it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790463533333333

 $00{:}51{:}22.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.389$ We have another question from American

NOTE Confidence: 0.790463533333333

 $00:51:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.860$ Idol and I think this highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333

 $00:51:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.718$ how important is it is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333

00:51:28.718 --> 00:51:29.987 think mechanism and disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333

00:51:29.987 --> 00:51:32.060 agnostic and across specialties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839159103333333

 $00:51:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.988$ So Amir is of course loving you talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.926$ And then right we have similar similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.205$ issues in chips because MB spectrum in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00{:}51{:}42.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.413$ of difficulties of response assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:51:44.413 --> 00:51:47.317 And So what do you think the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:51:47.317 --> 00:51:50.420 endpoint of early phase trial for high risk

 $00:51:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.284$ smoldering myeloma should be the great?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:51:52.284 --> 00:51:53.594 Question, because if we wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:53.594 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.860$ for progression to myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.712$ especially if you treat them in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:51:56.712 --> 00:51:58.060 observation arm with Rev depth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:51:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.970$ you're wait for another 1520 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.085$ So we do have a meeting with the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:52:03.090 --> 00:52:05.941 which actually is in Madrid to ask those

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:05.941 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.326$ questions. What are the endpoints?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.980$ Can we get accelerated endpoints?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.050$ Can we look at response, can we look at RT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.802$ Can we consider pure as a sustained MRD

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:14.802 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.970$ negative disease for four to five years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.728$ These are all great questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

00:52:18.728 --> 00:52:21.104 we need answers to be able to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.752938765714286

 $00:52:21.104 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.167$ for this property. Yes.

00:52:22.167 --> 00:52:23.823 Let me maybe go back then to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535

 $00:52:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.500$ interplay between the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535

 $00:52:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.160$ system and your clone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535

00:52:27.160 --> 00:52:29.456 So do you expect that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535

 $00{:}52{:}29.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.819$ get rid of the malignant clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535

 $00:52:30.819 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.093$ however small, that it would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.860350535

 $00:52:33.093 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.600$ an effect on the immune system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:36.340 --> 00:52:37.180 Oh, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:37.180 --> 00:52:38.020 That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:38.020 --> 00:52:39.140 Will it normalize, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.756$ I mean, if you look at the therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:40.756 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.979$ we gave to those patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:41.979 --> 00:52:43.174 when they were MRD negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.380$ they normalized their immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.720$ But the other question is

 $00:52:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.792$ which one started first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.920$ Is it the chicken and the egg?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:48.920 --> 00:52:50.384 And was it already an immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:50.384 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.999$ dysregulation that led to those clones?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:52.000 --> 00:52:52.350 Growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00{:}52{:}52.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.450$ And is that already there even

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.263$ when you get rid of the MRI of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:52:57.263 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.276$ clone that years and years later

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:52:59.276 --> 00:53:01.296 yet another mutation will occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:01.296 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.596$ because the soil is fertile, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:03.596 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.044$ So I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.568$ And I'd love to get samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.382$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:07.382 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.006$ from patients before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

00:53:09.006 --> 00:53:11.439 develop mgus so that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:11.439 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.907$ which one happens first.

00:53:12.910 --> 00:53:14.548 But these are all great questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00{:}53{:}14.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.324$ that we would love to collaborate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85161698

 $00:53:16.324 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.530$ with people and answer them together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727924032857143

 $00:53:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.640$ Awesome. We have a little more Natalia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.930$ Any questions from your team?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:26.930 --> 00:53:29.461 Yeah, I mean, I think, uh, perhaps, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:29.461 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.800$ to answer amers question and perhaps a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.136$ an immune endpoint should be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}36.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.804$ potential secondary endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.502$ how to normalize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:40.502 --> 00:53:41.848 immunosuppressive environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.260$ you know what potential interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}44.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46.188$ strategies like whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:53:46.188 --> 00:53:47.970 nutritional or microbiome or

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.030$ metabolomic strategies that could be,

 $00:53:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.207$ I don't think we pay enough attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00{:}53{:}52.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.804$ to weight loss interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:53.804 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.516$ or exercise interventions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:55.516 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.330$ myeloma and there's so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.202$ Data you made parallels Irene with

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:53:59.202 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.216$ breast cancer and there's so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:01.216 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.636$ commonality between the diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.204$ the role of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:04.204 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.377$ the obesity etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:54:05.380 --> 00:54:07.500 So I I don't think we pay enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.254$ attention to those kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:09.254 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.806$ interventions in myeloma prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:10.806 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.894$ and even relapse prevention once

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

 $00:54:12.894 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.039$ you have successfully treated them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831996064

00:54:15.040 --> 00:54:16.528 Your thoughts on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

00:54:17.700 --> 00:54:19.723 Absolutely. And I think you and Betsy

00:54:19.723 --> 00:54:21.214 O'Donnell would really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00:54:21.214 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.086$ talk for hours because we're even

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00:54:23.086 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.798$ thinking should we use some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

00:54:24.798 --> 00:54:26.110 those new obesity drugs, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00:54:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.470$ Like, there are so many things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00:54:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.477$ can do to prevent progression and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.861487791

 $00:54:30.477 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.970$ of them may be in our hands right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708418995

 $00:54:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.200$ Yeah, excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78088813625

 $00:54:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.025$ So we're getting close to to running

NOTE Confidence: 0.78088813625

 $00{:}54{:}41.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.020$ clock and I don't see additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

00:54:44.630 --> 00:54:48.590 questions. Um, well, I'm Erin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

00:54:48.590 --> 00:54:51.156 thank you so much for this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00{:}54{:}51.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.204$ spectacular grand rounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:54:53.204 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.320$ congratulations on these amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:54:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.094$ advances that are clearly, you know,

 $00:54:58.094 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.356$ advancing prevention which is so amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00{:}55{:}00.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.022$ for many patients and then treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:03.022 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.462$ So thank you. Thank you for sticking

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:05.462 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.398$ through you know with the zoom only option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.395$ And we look forward to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

 $00:55:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.780$ getting together in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.580323506

00:55:11.780 --> 00:55:13.160 and collaborating for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

00:55:13.590 --> 00:55:15.140 Absolutely. Thank you again and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

 $00:55:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.930$ definitely look forward to seeing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

 $00:55:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.946$ Not in person, but this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.888757123636364

 $00{:}55{:}18.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.020$ good alternative. Fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.74254241

00:55:21.030 --> 00:55:22.656 talk, Harry. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82808761

 $00:55:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.920$ Thank you, everyone.