WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:04:28.7100000"

NOTE recognizability:0.809

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.100$  The Cancer Center grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:03.100 --> 00:00:04.750 I'm Barbara Burtness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}00{:}04.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.950$  and I'm really extraordinarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.831$  thrilled to be introducing agato

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:09.831 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.275$  smuggler Jessica as our speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.695$  She is an associate professor of genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:15.695 --> 00:00:18.159 maintenance at the Rockefeller University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:18.160 \dashrightarrow 00:00:21.009$  She received her PhD from Rockefeller and

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:21.009 \dashrightarrow 00:00:24.539$ her MD from Wild Cornell Medical College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:24.540 --> 00:00:26.688 Following a residency in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:26.688 --> 00:00:28.836 clinical pathology at MGH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.227$  she joined Harvard Medical School as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:31.227 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.539$  postdoctoral fellow in Stephen Elledge's lab.

00:00:33.540 --> 00:00:36.018 She's the recipient of numerous awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}00{:}36.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.220$  including the Irma T Herschel Research Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.784$  the Rita Allen Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:39.784 --> 00:00:40.957 Scholars Program Grant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:40.960 --> 00:00:43.438 the Burroughs welcome Fund Career Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:43.440 --> 00:00:45.800 AV Foundation Translational Research Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:45.800 \dashrightarrow 00:00:49.466$  and the Herald Weintraub graduate student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:49.470 --> 00:00:52.368 Her lab focuses on DNA repair mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:52.370 --> 00:00:54.898 particularly those involved with

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:00:54.898 --> 00:00:57.426 interstrand crosslink repair pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:00:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.035$  and she's interested in identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}00{:}59.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.261$  new genes that are involved in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:01.261 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.256$  repair process in order to reveal the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}01{:}03.256 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}01{:}05.070$  mechanisms at play in human diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}01{:}05.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.545$  that result from deficiencies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.330$  interest strand cross link repair.

00:01:09.330 --> 00:01:11.880 This includes Fanconi anemia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:11.880 --> 00:01:13.920 kerio megalith interstitial nephritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.459$  To this end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:15.459 --> 00:01:18.024 she successfully identified SLX 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}01{:}18.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.257$  red 51 and U BE2T is interstrand

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:21.257 --> 00:01:22.640 crosslink repair factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.120$  as well as developed a mouse model of

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.180$  Carrie Magalog interstitial nephritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:27.180 --> 00:01:29.145 And recently she's begun studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:29.145 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.717$  revolving around how replication

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:30.717 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.532$  stress is addressed by cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:32.532 --> 00:01:33.896 to promote genome stability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}01{:}33.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.210$  She also has a deep interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.970$  those cancers which arise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.725$  patients who have DNA repair.

 $00:01:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.979$  Defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:39.979 --> 00:01:41.722 And it's because of this that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:41.722 --> 00:01:42.849 had the extraordinary pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:42.849 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.577$  of beginning to work with her

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:44.577 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.427$  on the stand up to cancer Grant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:46.430 --> 00:01:48.290 which looks at Fanconi anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:48.290 --> 00:01:50.794 associated head neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954 00:01:50.794 --> 00:01:51.420 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:51.420 --> 00:01:52.575 Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:52.575 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.500$  if you wanna come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}01{:}54.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.812$  This plaque is to thank you for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

00:01:56.812 --> 00:01:59.119 coming to give grand rounds in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00:01:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.001$  which many people have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.783684954

 $00{:}02{:}01.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02.306$  been willing to do recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856249615

 $00:02:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.594$  And we're really looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.856249615

 $00:02:05.594 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.538$  forward to your talk.

00:02:06.650 --> 00:02:10.052 Thank you so much. Yes, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:10.052 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.278$  Well, thank you Barbara for first

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:12.278 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.056$  of all for inviting me all of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:15.056 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.444$  for coming to hear the lecture and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:17.444 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.163$  all of you on zoom for joining in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:02:20.163 --> 00:02:22.774 And it's really my pleasure to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:22.774 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.765$  be here and it's been fantastic to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:02:25.765 --> 00:02:28.550 work with Barbara and and learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.532$  from her more about the the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}02{:}31.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.781$  aspects of what we do and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:34.781 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.474$  bringing some new therapies to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:37.474 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.532$  these patients eventually, OK, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}02{:}40.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.906$  I will talk about DNA interstrand crosslinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:02:43.906 --> 00:02:47.579 and I'll just remind you though that oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:02:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.532$  these are my disclosures.

 $00:02:49.532 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.520$  I'll just remind you that DNA repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}02{:}54.520 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}02{:}57.383$  It's really essential for a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:02:57.383 --> 00:03:00.743 aspects of of life and depending on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:03:00.743 --> 00:03:04.238 kind of flavor of DNA damage you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.560$  whether it's from the outside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:03:06.560 --> 00:03:09.724 from the UV light creating these dimers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.300$  or from within the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:03:11.300 --> 00:03:14.060 something that I'm quite interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:03:14.060 --> 00:03:17.460 for example, creating mismatches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.631$  There is a repair pathway that's dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}03{:}20.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.487$  to these and there are a number of genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.990$  Diseases associated with inability

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.994$  to repair these different lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:29.994 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.928$  and they come again in many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.620$  phenotypes resulting in developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}03{:}36.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.025$  abnormalities and the generate the

 $00{:}03{:}40.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.185$  generative diseases and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}03{:}43.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.660$  them obviously are associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:03:45.750 --> 00:03:48.720 cancer prone due to mutagenic nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.910$  of these lesions if the lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.150$  are not properly repaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:03:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.712$  So my favorite lesion is this interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:03:56.712 --> 00:04:00.083 in Cross link and I'll give you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:00.083 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.609$  bit of introduction about these lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.475$  This is a covalent linkage

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:04.475 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.730$  of two strands of the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.508$  It's repaired by the Franco anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}04{:}09.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.492$  DNA repair pathway and I'll give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:12.492 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.622$  more of a of the mechanism of how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:15.622 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.428$  is done in in a bit in later slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:04:18.428 --> 00:04:21.214 but the whole purpose of this pathway

 $00:04:21.214 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.569$  which is activated during DNA replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.360$  Is to create 2 pristine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.783$  Double stranded DNA that can be then

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:30.783 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.104$  moved to dollars trends during during

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:34.104 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.931$  the cell division and I'll just

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:04:36.931 --> 00:04:39.430 mention I won't talk much about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:39.506 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.182$  but this pathway is also activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:42.182 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.640$  at difficult to replicate regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}04{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.077$  So repetitive DNA are loops and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:04:48.077 --> 00:04:51.540 is growing evidence that at any time

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}04{:}51.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.384$  there is stalling of replication forks

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:04:54.476 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.409$  the pathway can come to to rescue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}04{:}57.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.755$  Those forks so that this this intern

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:01.755 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.871$  crossing is really our model of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:04.871 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.039$  of how pathways activated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.190$  And the outcomes of abnormality in

 $00:05:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.736$  pathway activation or function are

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}05{:}12.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.640$  these genomic instability that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.473$  can heat see here in this metaphase

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

00:05:17.473 --> 00:05:20.131 spread where you see number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00{:}05{:}20.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.390$  these abnormal radial chromosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.334$  So we see that in cells from Franconia

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:26.334 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.768$  anemia patients when they are treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.817401898

 $00:05:29.768 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.010$  with external internal crosslink causing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}05{:}35.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.832$  Chemicals like mydomain sincere splatsin you

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:05:37.832 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.620$  see number of abnormalities including gaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:05:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.170$  breaks and these radio chromosomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:05:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.720$  and the radial chromosomes occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}05{:}45.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.307$  because of two double strand breaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}05{:}48.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.730$  that are that find each other and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:05:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.050$  joined together forming these radios.

 $00:05:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.840$  And obviously these radios come radio

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:05:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.298$  chromosomes can cause instability but

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:05:59.298 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.890$  can also cause death of cells when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.518$  are trying to the cell tries to divide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.008$  So. The reason why we know that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:06:09.008 --> 00:06:11.013 pathway is particularly important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:11.013 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.072$  stem cells are all these phenotypes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:14.144 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.727$  we see in patients with Fanconi anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.010$  There are many developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.490$  phenotypes including these skeletal

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.590$  abnormalities that you see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:06:24.590 --> 00:06:26.422 but pretty much any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:26.422 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.639$  any system can be affected and that's seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:06:30.639 --> 00:06:34.660 patients who are truly null for this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:06:34.660 --> 00:06:37.208 Majority of patients will present with Pence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333 00:06:37.210 --> 00:06:37.737 Cytopenia.

00:06:37.737 --> 00:06:40.899 Around age, median age of 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:06:40.900 --> 00:06:43.396 and even though it's called anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333 00:06:43.400 --> 00:06:46.340 all of the. NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.130$  All of the hematopoiesis can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.510$  affected and and actually platelets

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.576$  are usually the first to to draw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.254$  And then there is very this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:57.254 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.104$  interesting phenotype that will spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:06:59.104 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.640$  a lot of time talking about the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}07{:}01.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.040$  predisposition MSDS and AML occurs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:07:05.040 --> 00:07:08.140 the setting of pancytopenia and squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}07{:}08.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.620$  cell carcinoma occurs later in life

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.176$  but still in in at young age of average 31.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}07{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.220$  We have very young patients as

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.729$  I'll I'll show you in in future

00:07:20.729 --> 00:07:22.889 slides and then depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}07{:}22.889 \to 00{:}07{:}25.267$  actual path of gene that's mutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.490$  We can also have breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.440$  medulloblastoma and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.390$  other embryonal tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.756$  And there are other phenotypes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:33.756 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.905$  still fairly poorly understood like

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:35.905 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.045$  infertility and endocrine abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}07{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.234$  So this is a great model in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:07:42.234 --> 00:07:46.108 mind for studying DNA repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:07:46.110 --> 00:07:49.044 So today, I'll tell you about the first part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.342$  very short part about the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}07{:}51.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.870$  of interstrand crosslink repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333 00:07:52.870 --> 00:07:53.292 Secondly, NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:07:53.292 --> 00:07:55.402 I'll talk about the identification

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:07:55.402 --> 00:07:56.668 of endogenous sources,

 $00{:}07{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.826$  sources of interstrand crosslink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:07:58.826 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.060$  And today I'll talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:02.153 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.608$  bone marrow side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.668$  But we are very much interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:05.668 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.000$  those in the keratinocytes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.186$  And this is an ongoing work in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:08:11.190 --> 00:08:13.990 And then I'll talk about the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}13.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.280$  of tumorigenesis and Fanconi anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}16.280 \to 00{:}08{:}19.430$  Especially in the squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:08:19.430 --> 00:08:23.390 So just to give you a flavor of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:08:23.390 --> 00:08:26.910 this pathway actually functions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.151$  this is a very regulated process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}30.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.180$  repair of these of these lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.876$  So we start with the ACL that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:35.876 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.593$  that I've shown you before and this

00:08:38.593 --> 00:08:41.530 is the structure that we know forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.765$  when the replication forks stall

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:43.765 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.280$  at the lesion and some of this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.199$  has been done in in human cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333 00:08:48.200 --> 00:08:49.067 some of it. NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}49.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.090$  This work was done in Johannes Walters

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:51.157 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.355$  lab in the Xenopus egg extract system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:08:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.588$  So biochemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}54.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.658$  it's a fairly understood pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

00:08:57.660 --> 00:08:59.440 What's essential in this pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}08{:}59.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.904$  and I'll show you the proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:09:00.904 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.359$  that are involved in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:09:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.614$  but what has to happen is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:09:04.614 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.418$  the the DNA needs to be broken,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:09:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.300$  but in a very regulated way that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00{:}09{:}10.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.300$  gets repaired through translesion

 $00:09:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.305$  synthesis and then through homologous

NOTE Confidence: 0.712936646333333

 $00:09:15.305 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.108$  recombination that requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:17.182 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.947$  BRC 2 and other proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.269$  And this eventually leads to this full

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:23.269 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.954$  DNA repaired repaired DNA with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:26.954 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.620$  two strands that are fully repaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.226$  So the proteins that are participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:34.226 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.040$  in this pathway really has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:09:38.040 --> 00:09:40.644 identified through studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}09{:}40.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.248$  Franco anemia patients and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.434$  Just go to go back for a moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:45.434 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.788$  to the history of this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.490$  Fanconi anemia has been identified in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:09:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.976$  1927 by Guido Fanconi and described

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:09:53.976 --> 00:09:56.300 as hereditary pancytopenia with

 $00:09:56.388 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.508$  short stature and hyperpigmentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:09:59.510 --> 00:10:02.408 The first gene fancy was identified 92,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.050$  but even then it was known that more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.499$  than one gene will be causative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:07.499 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.786$  for this mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:10:08.790 --> 00:10:11.298 And this was Buckwald lab who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:10:11.298 --> 00:10:12.970 identified the first gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:12.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.852$  And now we know that there are 22 genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.449$  that can be mutated in Fanconi anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:18.449 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.705$  and we actually are working on on #23,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:21.705 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.290$  which fits very nicely in this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}10{:}26.290 \to 00{:}10{:}29.517$  And the this data is actually showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:29.517 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.592$  the percentage of of patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:32.592 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.272$  different mutations in different genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:35.272 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.608$  and this is from our International

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:10:38.608 --> 00:10:40.784 Franconia registry that I,

00:10:40.790 --> 00:10:44.800 I run at at the Rockefeller University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}10{:}44.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.815$  And you can see that Frank a mutations franc

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:47.815 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.877$  and Frank G mutations are the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.743$  More majority of the diseases of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:53.743 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.310$  disease is autosomal recessive we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:10:56.310 --> 00:10:58.220 Language which is excellent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:10:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.718$  then uh thank our that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:00.718 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.758$  discovered right 51 mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.650$  These are always the Novo dominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.525$  and we have a number of patients now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:07.525 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.460$  with different mutations and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.541$  rat 51 and all of them have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}11{:}12.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.529$  dominant function and they're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:14.529 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.744$  denovo presumably because right 51

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:16.744 --> 00:11:19.329 is necessary during biosis and we

00:11:19.329 --> 00:11:21.771 would never recover any any patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}11{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.676$  any transmission really through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:24.676 --> 00:11:26.848 through the germline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432 00:11:26.850 --> 00:11:27.217 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:27.217 --> 00:11:29.419 And this registry that I've mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:29.419 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.256$  has been really instrumental for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}11{:}31.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.608$  our work and it was started in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:33.610 --> 00:11:36.807 1982 by Arlene Auerbach who's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:36.807 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.792$  participates in the lab meetings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:38.792 --> 00:11:41.991 and has a lot to say about about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:41.991 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.283$  the disease and has been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}11{:}44.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.304$  wonderful in in helping us through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:47.304 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.022$  this through some of these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:50.022 --> 00:11:54.028 So now I'll spend really few just few

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:11:54.028 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.882$  minutes on the proteins themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:11:55.882 --> 00:11:57.880 Just to give you a gift,

 $00:11:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.176$  again a flavor for the for this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.676$  So majority of the proteins whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:03.676 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.659$  genes are mutated in Fanconi patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.540$  form this large core complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.078$  All of the colored proteins are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:12.078 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.352$  actually those that have mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:12:14.352 --> 00:12:16.545 in Fanconi in Fanconi patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00{:}12{:}16.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.766$  And you can see that this core complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:19.766 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.419$  is situated that this cross link as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:22.419 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.659$  if it was identifying the the damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

00:12:25.660 --> 00:12:26.743 It's actually unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328053432

 $00:12:26.743 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.187$  How that's exactly done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}12{:}30.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.928$  The key to this core complex is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:12:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.280$  it has this E3 ubiquitin ligase fancl

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:12:37.280 --> 00:12:40.652 and with Frank T, which is the E2,

00:12:40.652 --> 00:12:43.342 they the whole purpose of this core

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:12:43.342 --> 00:12:46.135 we we understand now that the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:12:46.135 --> 00:12:48.509 purpose is to ubiquitinated Frankie

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:12:48.509 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.215$  and Frankie 2 and that process

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:12:51.215 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.835$  through beautiful structural studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:12:52.835 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.128$  that have been done in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:12:55.130 --> 00:12:56.926 Semoran Pablo Ditches lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}12{:}56.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.620$  shows that the Fanki and Frank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:12:59.620 --> 00:13:03.684 Who forms a clamp around the the crosslink?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.362$  Actually there are more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}13{:}05.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.452$  probably more clamps around as

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:07.452 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.895$  shown by the Dean slab that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:09.895 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.420$  of decorate this cross link.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:13:12.420 --> 00:13:12.935 Eventually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:13:12.935 --> 00:13:17.055 and this is again not quite worked out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.982$  the proteins that are important for

 $00{:}13{:}19.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.794$  in making these incisions come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}13{:}22.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.140$  and one of the important proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.519$  here is this SLX 4 which acts as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:28.519 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.694$  scaffold for three different nucleases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:13:30.694 --> 00:13:34.523 And it's quite amazing how the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:34.523 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.760$  nucleases are associated with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.820$  protein and frank P is or a cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.320 \text{ X4}$  is essential not only for scaffolding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.336$  But for the function of the of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}13{:}46.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.276$  other nucleases without this slex

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:48.276 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.866$  4 none of the nucleus is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}13{:}50.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.190$  are functional within the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}13{:}53.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.882$  They have full in vitro function

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:54.882 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.550$  but not not cellular function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:13:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.370$  So it's it's fascinating but in

 $00:13:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.785$  this case XPF is the one that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:02.785 --> 00:14:04.270 making the incisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.496$  I'll also mention the protein that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:06.496 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.130$  that or disease that Barbara mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}14{:}09.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.290$  the carrier Magali Constitution

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.450$  nephritis which has mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.984$  In fan one and Fan 1 gene codes

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:16.984 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.516$  for this another nuclease and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:19.516 --> 00:14:21.599 system which can also unhook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:21.600 --> 00:14:24.912 but it can unhook this this cross link

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:24.912 --> 00:14:28.155 outside of S phase and we're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:28.155 --> 00:14:31.032 very much interested in knowing how

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}14{:}31.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.287$  how that affects the the function

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:34.287 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.646$  especially in the kidney and and the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364 00:14:38.650 --> 00:14:39.242 Eventually, NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:39.242 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.018$  after these, uh,

 $00:14:41.018 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.386$  these incisions are made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.490$  you bring in translesion polymerases

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:46.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.340$  and they are used to replicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.810$  across this unhooked lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:52.810 --> 00:14:55.790 And that's actually probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:14:55.790 --> 00:14:58.770 not a mutagenic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:14:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.746$  It's mostly a Posada that that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}15{:}02.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.930$  that can repair this this lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:15:05.930 --> 00:15:07.850 And eventually, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.926$  there's a whole homologous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:09.926 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.521$  Combination pathway that is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:12.521 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.669$  in a repair of of the double strand

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:15.669 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.470$  break and here all of your proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.050$  favorite proteins that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.025$  associated with breast and ovarian

 $00:15:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.783$  cancer when they're mutated when

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}15{:}23.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.733$  the genus mutated in one copy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:15:25.740 --> 00:15:29.596 So BRC 2, power B2B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:29.596 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.152 \text{ RC1},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:30.152 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.200$  rad 51 are are functioning in this in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:15:35.200 --> 00:15:39.176 pathway and eventually we go back to SLX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.738$  Or and associated Mercedes one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:42.738 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.132$  the selects one where the repair needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}15{:}47.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.948$  to be completed using these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:50.948 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.126$  nucleases or a bloom healer case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}15{:}54.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.482$  I will also mention that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:56.482 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.112$  another another pathway that's and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:15:58.112 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.328$  the reason why the RCA two and Route

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:16:00.395 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.201$  51 are necessary at these cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:16:02.201 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.328$  links and that's to protect these

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}16{:}04.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.082$  cross links from from nucleases and

 $00:16:07.082 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.180$  our lab has shown that it's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00{:}16{:}10.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.281$  There is a protection against DNA to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

00:16:13.281 --> 00:16:16.644 and Warner and other labs before us

NOTE Confidence: 0.844819513636364

 $00:16:16.644 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.560$  have shown for especially the Jason

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00{:}16{:}19.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.102$  lab that there is also protection

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:22.102 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.292$  against MRE 11 at stalled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

00:16:24.300 --> 00:16:25.890 Forks for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.070$  after hydroxyurea and other other damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.640$  So I this is this is all I I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

00:16:31.718 --> 00:16:34.052 to say about the mechanism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:34.052 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.390$  of function of these proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.006$  There are still a lot of details that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:39.006 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.846$  need to be that need to be filled in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.412$  but we have an idea of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:44.412 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.450$  how this pathway functions.

 $00:16:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.498$  And the only other thing that I say

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:48.498 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.589$  I'll say about this is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:50.589 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.630$  really a very well regulated process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.640$  so you make double strand breaks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.730$  But they are immediately being

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.820$  shuttled to the proper repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:16:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.920$  OK, so we'll talk about what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:17:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.589$  in the in the third part of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:17:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.015$  we'll, we'll talk about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

00:17:05.015 --> 00:17:06.776 happens when this repair is abnormal

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00{:}17{:}06.776 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}17{:}08.474$  and the brakes are still made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818846877727273

 $00:17:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.660$  but they are inappropriately repaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

 $00:17:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.060$  So in the second part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

 $00:17:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.820$  I'll talk about the endogenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

 $00:17:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.000$  sources of interest and cross links.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

00:17:19.000 --> 00:17:22.840 So I showed you that the Franconia pathway

00:17:22.840 --> 00:17:25.508 is necessary for repair of I CL's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

00:17:25.508 --> 00:17:27.275 but you may ask, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

 $00:17:27.275 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.485$  where are these IC's coming from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

 $00:17:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.255$  Obviously in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237806

 $00:17:32.255 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.020$  clinic we are very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}17{:}36.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.137$  Aware of cisplatin and mitomycin C and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:17:40.137 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.686$  interest rate crosslinks occur after

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:17:42.686 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.668$  treatment with these with these agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:17:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.830$  although there are other

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:17:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.280$  lesions that occur as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}17{:}48.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.440$  right intrastrand crosslinks and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:17:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.576$  The exogenous damage also can come from

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}17{:}54.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.018$  bacterial metabolites, and there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:17:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.045$  There are E coli strains that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}18{:}02.045 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.191$  making ICL inducing. Chemicals.

 $00:18:04.191 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.296$  It can come from acetyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.758$  aldehyde, from ethanol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:18:07.758 --> 00:18:10.674 It can come from to bacco smoke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:18:10.680 --> 00:18:12.420 which is full of formaldehyde,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.540$  acrolein and other mutagens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:18:14.540 --> 00:18:17.190 But formaldehyde and acrolein are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.260$  very good into strong cross linkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.695$  But we are particularly interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}18{:}22.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.670$  in sources of endogenous DNA damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:18:25.670 --> 00:18:28.448 and really beautiful work that I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}18{:}28.448 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}18{:}31.310$  describe a little bit in the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}18{:}31.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.198$  slides that are coming up have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:34.198 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.390$  that endogenous toxic metabolites

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}18{:}37.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.582$  formaldehyde and acetaldehyde are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:18:40.582 --> 00:18:43.774 particularly important in the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:43.774 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.224$  marrow and definitely contribute to bone

 $00:18:47.321 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.976$  marrow failure in Franconia patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:18:49.976 --> 00:18:51.784 And in mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.726$  so let me just introduce them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:18:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.466$  This work was done mostly by

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}18{:}58.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.110$  KJ Patel's group in the UK and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.028$  they've defined LDH 2 and ADH 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.240$  These are alcohol and aldehyde

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:07.240 --> 00:19:09.008 dehydrogenases as being important

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:09.008 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.468$  for this first tier of protection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.476$  So they remove the toxins from

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:14.476 --> 00:19:18.091 the cell and that prevents I CL

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:18.091 --> 00:19:21.085 DNA damage and of course the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}19{:}21.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.050$ Frankonia pathway is a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:23.050 --> 00:19:24.226 tier of protection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}19{:}24.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.625$  Whatever has been incorporated as

00:19:26.625 --> 00:19:29.729 cross links will be removed as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:29.730 --> 00:19:33.123 So let me just give you a a shore

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}19{:}33.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.784$  segue and talk about a LDH 2 and ADH 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.726$  So a LDH 2 is necessary for removal

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:39.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.746$  of acetyl aldehyde which is which can

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:42.746 --> 00:19:45.690 come from ethanol from the outside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.890$  but also from metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.490$  intracellular metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:19:50.490 --> 00:19:54.372 And LDH 2 is responsible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:54.372 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.960$  detoxifying it to acetate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:19:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.859$  And a KG Patels group has described

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:00.859 --> 00:20:03.642 LDH 2 knockouts when combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:03.642 --> 00:20:05.858 with Fangy 2 knockouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.302$  The mouse for the first time

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:08.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.467$  really developed bone marrow fell

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}20{:}10.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.859$  failure and leukemia.

00:20:11.860 --> 00:20:15.318 So the mouse model without just fancd

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:15.318 --> 00:20:18.549 2 mouse model does not develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}20{:}18.550 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.238$  Bank bone marrow failure or leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:21.240 --> 00:20:24.450 It has mild hematopoietic dysfunction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.354$  but it's nothing that you consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:27.354 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.290$  a bone marrow failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:29.290 --> 00:20:31.730 In humans combination of FANK

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:31.730 --> 00:20:34.170 mutations and usually it's frank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}20{:}34.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.730$  a mutation and a LDH 2 star 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.641$  which is the dysfunctional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:39.641 --> 00:20:43.498 Variant of LDH 2 causes early onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:43.498 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.865$  of Fanconi anemia phenotype and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}20{:}46.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.091$  is a really beautiful paper from

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:50.091 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.668$  the Takata group that have that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:20:53.668 --> 00:20:56.798 shown that and that was published

 $00:20:56.798 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.348$  in 2013 which really solidified

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:20:59.348 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.929$  our thinking about this this as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:21:02.929 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.419$  as a modifier of Fanconi anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:21:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.535$  And I also will mention that together

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:21:08.535 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.469$  with Chris Vakoc's lab we showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:21:11.470 --> 00:21:15.610 Somatic Aldh 2 mutation silencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:21:15.610 --> 00:21:19.330 in AML's results in in dependency

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:21:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.642$  on the Fanconi anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:21:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.618$  So when when Chris's lab and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:21:24.618 --> 00:21:27.875 are at Cold Spring Harbor did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}21{:}27.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.701$  screen and showed in number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

00:21:30.710 --> 00:21:33.870 AML's dependency on Fanconi anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}21{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.998$  He called us up and and asked what

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00{:}21{:}35.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.277$  what should I look at why are they dying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.753543265

 $00:21:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.870$  And I said well just look at LDH 2 I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:21:40.950 --> 00:21:42.965 bet it's. Yeah, 2 and that's what

 $00:21:42.965 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.729$  that's what it turns out to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}21{:}44.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.178$  We just helped him a little bit but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:21:47.178 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.974$  some of some of AML's depend on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:21:49.974 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.380$  Franconia because of the of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:21:52.466 --> 00:21:56.214 silencing of LH2 which I think is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:21:56.214 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.567$  interesting and has implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:21:58.567 --> 00:22:01.458 for therapies for these for these AML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:22:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.920$  So then ADH five came.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:22:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.112$  And that that was also from KJ Patel's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}09.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.117$  work that ADH five is necessary for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:13.120 --> 00:22:15.336 I mean this is this has been known

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}15.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.607$  that has been known that ADH five

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}17.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.728$  is necessary for detoxification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}19.728 \to 00{:}22{:}22.548$  formaldehyde through this through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:22.548 --> 00:22:25.960 pathway and again they create a DH,

 $00:22:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.172$  five -, 22 negative mice and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}28.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.148$  had bone marrow failure but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}30.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.596$  had gromel glomerular damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:31.600 --> 00:22:33.600 That's actually poorly understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:22:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.336$  still and there is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:36.336 --> 00:22:39.690 Uh new digenic human disease of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:39.809 --> 00:22:44.275 LDH 2 ADH 5 double knockouts or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:22:44.275 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.558$  the dysfunctional alleles which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:46.558 --> 00:22:48.030 have bone marrow failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:48.030 --> 00:22:49.182 myelodysplastic syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:22:49.182 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.486$  foot for some reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:22:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.800$  foot skeletal abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}53.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.110$  and also neurodegeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:22:56.110 --> 00:22:59.169 So now you have phenotypes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}22{:}59.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.210$  associated with ACL's and creation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}23{:}02.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.490$  I CL's in multiple different tissues.

00:23:05.490 --> 00:23:07.858 Including in the brain and we if somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:07.858 --> 00:23:09.849 is interested in knowing why the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.460$  we can discuss that later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.470$  But there that really shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.180$  us that there is a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325 00:23:18.180 --> 00:23:18.576 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:18.576 --> 00:23:21.348 the cells have a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:21.348 --> 00:23:23.595 pathways for as this first tier

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}23{:}23.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.594$  of protection and my lab has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}23{:}26.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.730$  interested in identifying other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:28.730 --> 00:23:31.691 pathways in different cells as cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:31.691 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.274$  types and we started with with cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}23{:}34.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.936$  of jurk at cells which are T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:36.936 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.170$  leukemia cells to figure this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.282$  So our hypothesis was that there

 $00:23:41.282 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.746$  would be more of these detoxification

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:43.746 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.680$  path pathways in the cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.670$  by understanding what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:48.670 --> 00:23:51.245 They might actually be equipped

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:23:51.245 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.392$  with understanding of what can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:54.392 --> 00:23:56.802 increase to have some preventive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:56.802 --> 00:23:59.400 measures in in Fanconi anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:23:59.400 --> 00:24:02.249 So this is work from Munjung Jung

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}24{:}02.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.301$  who's now a a assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:24:05.301 --> 00:24:08.220 at Hopkins and she was a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}24{:}08.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.966$  scholar in my lab and she did

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:10.966 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.778$  a metabolism focus screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.230$  So this is crisper screen or that she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.134$  Performed in jurkat cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:24:20.134 --> 00:24:22.990 And she took Frankie to positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.892$  and negative jurkat cells.

 $00:24:24.892 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.418$  And the whole purpose was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:24:27.418 --> 00:24:30.086 identify the genes that are dropping

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}24{:}30.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.702$  out from fangy to negative cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.534$  So these are essential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:34.534 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.611$  would be essential for faculty to cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:37.611 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.998$  And you can see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:39.998 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.253$  lots of genes that that dropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:42.253 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.044$  out and some of them were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:45.044 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.449$  consistent with what we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.498$  So these are these cells, SLC 7A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:24:51.498 --> 00:24:53.942 11 and Assoc 3A2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:24:53.942 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.316$  They form a complex that is responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}24{:}57.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.599$  for cysteine movement across the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

00:25:00.599 --> 00:25:03.947 membrane and that feeds into glutathione,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.994$  which is important for

00:25:05.994 --> 00:25:07.016 formaldehyde detoxification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.112$  so that fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00{:}25{:}08.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.121$  There was also a we did get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:11.121 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.577$  DH5 in the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:12.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.694$  but the gene that we concentrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:15.694 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.830$  on concentrated on was a LH9A1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.596$  Eight out of 10 guides scored in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:23.596 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.026$  this in this assay and the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890958325

 $00:25:27.026 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.490$  of the work was really based on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:25:29.490 --> 00:25:32.934 LH9A1. This is a aldehyde dehydrogenases

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:25:32.934 --> 00:25:35.230 in metabolizes amino aldehydes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:25:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.107$  at least in vitro and it's highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:25:38.107 --> 00:25:40.447 expressed in liver, muscle and kidney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00{:}25{:}40.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43.912$  And the idea here is that it will there

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:25:43.912 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.712$  will be tax toxic metabolite that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:25:46.712 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.740$  now detoxified by AL DH9A1 and this.

00:25:49.740 --> 00:25:52.020 If you don't have aldh 91,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:25:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.615$  the toxic metabolite will create

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:25:53.615 --> 00:25:55.920 DNA damage and you don't have if

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:25:55.920 --> 00:25:57.555 you don't have Franconia pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:25:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.297$  these cells would die and get transformed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:26:00.300 --> 00:26:02.220 But if you have Franconia pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.390$  you would have cell survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00{:}26{:}05.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.555$  So umm munjung has validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:08.555 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.087$  this in multiple assays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.354$  and there is a bio archives paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:14.354 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.470$  we've we put out there competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00{:}26{:}17.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.310$  Assays cells that didn't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00{:}26{:}21.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.478$  two and a LDH 9A1 did much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:26:25.478 --> 00:26:28.389 poorly and growth assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.889$  She also could show that there were

00:26:30.889 --> 00:26:33.049 increased numbers of apoptotic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.788$  increased DNA damage through gamma H2AX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.470$  And increased chromosome breakage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.569$  So this is where we can look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.542$  without exogenous DNA damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:43.542 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.095$  Look at numbers of breaks that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:47.095 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.660$  occurring in the double knockouts

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.182$  in different different clones and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:26:52.182 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.341$  can see that there is a increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:26:55.341 --> 00:26:57.861 of chromosome breakage if we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:26:57.861 --> 00:27:01.990 have a LDH 9A1 Infinity 2 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:01.990 --> 00:27:04.490 She also used human hematopoietic

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.730$  stem cells depleted Frank A with SH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:07.730 --> 00:27:11.114 RNA's did a knockout with of LDH 9A1

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:11.114 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.006$  and those could make fewer colonies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.396$  But what happened?

 $00:27:15.396 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.168$  We made a mouse expecting some

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:18.168 --> 00:27:20.991 level of of bone marrow failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:20.991 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.817$  and we've seen phenotypes so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:23.817 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.625$  mice are born smaller than fanki.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:26.630 --> 00:27:28.390 Negative mice which are already

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.798$  small have increased number

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:29.798 --> 00:27:31.750 of eye abnormalities at birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.479$  which has been seen as a DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.504$  Damage outcome and they have increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:36.504 --> 00:27:39.649 number of variant tumors in aged mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.926$  but really very mild hematopoietic defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.002$  So that tells us that there will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:46.002 --> 00:27:48.206 differences also between mice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:48.206 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.912$  men in how the these detoxification

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:50.912 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.330$  pathways are working.

 $00:27:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.012$  And This is why we when we are modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00{:}27{:}55.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.333$  things in the mouse with in this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:27:57.333 --> 00:27:59.246 this pathway I think it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:27:59.246 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.605$  to to do things and in parallel

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:28:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.270$  in human system and in the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:28:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.320$  And and compare and contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:28:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.370$  because there will be differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:28:08.441 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.891$  and whatever we model in the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

00:28:10.891 --> 00:28:13.618 actually might not be as as important

NOTE Confidence: 0.753777993

 $00:28:13.618 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.120$  in humans and and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.910$  So the last question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.116$  we wanted to ask is, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:22.116 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.796$  we've identified the the enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.120$  but what's really the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:28:27.120 --> 00:28:29.540 the problem, what's the source,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}28{:}29.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.060$  what's the toxic metabolite that these

 $00:28:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.679$  cells are dealing with so much junk

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}28{:}34.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.828$  did a suppressor screen as we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}28{:}36.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.160$  we are genetic ists at heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.108$  So she took the double negative cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}28{:}43.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.149$  frankly to a LH9A1 double knockouts and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:28:47.149 --> 00:28:50.549 I redid the screen the the same method

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:50.549 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.549$  with using the same metabolism library,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.154$  but now wanted to see the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}28{:}56.154 \to 00{:}28{:}58.490$  that are actually growing better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:28:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.066$  So now we are knocking out some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}29{:}02.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.212$  gene that is necessary for production

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:29:05.212 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.318$  of our of our toxic metabolite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}29{:}10.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.572$  And the Omni gene that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:29:13.572 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.426$  identified is this ATP 13A3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:29:16.426 --> 00:29:19.951 We've actually identified a LDH 9A1 itself,

 $00:29:19.951 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.093$  but that's we think is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}29{:}22.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.820$  through reversion mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:29:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.032$  So these are now we are creating a mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:29:28.032 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.116$  and a LDH 91 that reverts the function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:29:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.392$  So we in a way it shows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:29:34.392 --> 00:29:37.420 our screen worked in both ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:29:37.420 --> 00:29:39.310 but this gene is quite interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00:29:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.570$  because this is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

 $00{:}29{:}40.570 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.028$  A protein that's necessary codes for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:29:44.028 --> 00:29:46.690 protein that's necessary for polyamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910159725

00:29:46.690 --> 00:29:48.460 for example spermine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:29:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.115$  Movement through the from between

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:29:53.115 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.580$  different membranes and if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:29:55.666 --> 00:29:57.856 have high level of spermine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:29:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.960$  we know at least this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:29:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.000$  what we think would happen.

 $00:30:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.728$  We would get high levels of I mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:30:04.728 --> 00:30:07.537 the proper now and as I mentioned I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}30{:}07.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.192$  ADH 9A1 is involved in detoxification

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:10.192 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.640$  of amino propanol and propanol

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.760$  can lead to acrolein and cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:30:15.760 --> 00:30:17.846 We actually haven't yet shown that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:17.846 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.445$  these are this is what happens in the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.718$  But everything that we have is consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}30{:}22.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.518$  with this, with this hypothesis, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.342$  If we have triple mutants, these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:32.342 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.499$  these cells now can grow much better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.652$  presumably because we are sequestering the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614857933333333

 $00:30:39.652 \dashrightarrow 00:30:44.359$  polyamines outside of the away from the DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.360$  not creating these these crosslinks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.491$  So I think this is a an example

 $00:30:53.491 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.479$  of how we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}30{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.070$  Identifying endogenous types of DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:30:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.204$  damage that are necessary for crosslink

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:01.204 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.389$  repair for that are necessary to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:04.389 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.114$  repaired by franklinia pathway and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:31:07.114 --> 00:31:10.004 other pathways of crosslink repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:31:10.010 --> 00:31:14.734 And really adding to this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:14.734 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.170$  how the first tier of protection is

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:31:19.170 --> 00:31:22.020 necessary to to protect the the genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.000$  not creating interstrand crosslinks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:31:25.000 --> 00:31:27.748 And that eventually obviously is necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:27.748 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.770$  for normal cell and organ function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.210$  So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.090$  I'll move to the last topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:31:35.090 --> 00:31:37.808 which is cancer and Fanconi anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.190$  children and young adults.

 $00:31:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.196$  And when you think about Franco

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:45.196 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.198$  anemia pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333 00:31:46.200 --> 00:31:47.194 there are, NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:47.194 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.176$  I already mentioned that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:50.176 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.596$  different types of of tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:31:52.596 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.618$  can form and one of the tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:31:55.618 --> 00:31:58.408 we think about are these embryonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}31{:}58.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.721$  tumors and AML that are forming when

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}32{:}02.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.006$  homology directed repair is absent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.240$  So these are patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}32{:}08.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.470$  have beers biallelic BRC 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.571$  Or probably 2 mutations and they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:14.571 \dashrightarrow 00:32:17.339$  identified early age you know they

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:17.339 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.045$  they have they developed these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:20.045 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.928$  within the first five years of of

 $00:32:22.928 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.949$  their life and we actually have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:32:25.949 --> 00:32:28.254 mouse model of of medulloblastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:28.254 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.188$  that is quite interesting but

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:30.188 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.868$  not ready for prime time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.600$  But the the reason why we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.698$  interested again in these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}32{:}36.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.230$  is because we want to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.670$  why the granule progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614857933333333

 $00:32:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.351$  Cells or the cells that lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:32:44.351 --> 00:32:46.060 neuroblastoma or tulips tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614857933333333

00:32:46.060 --> 00:32:49.636 why do they really need BRC 2 function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.004$  What's so special about these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:32:52.004 --> 00:32:55.439 that require BRC 2 function or probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:55.439 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.815$  2 function homologous recombination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:32:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.214$  So that's something that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:00.214 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.500$  we are continuing to develop.

 $00:33:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.695$  But outside of homologous recombination

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:04.695 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.600$  when the ICL repair is abnormal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.862$  so these are patients with mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:10.862 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.427$  any of the core complex fanki FANGY 2 SLX 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.920$  We don't have yet patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.410$  who have tumors with XPF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.980$  but that's more of a problem of the PF

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}33{:}22.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.370$  being necessary also in other tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:33:26.370 --> 00:33:29.442 These patients develop AML's and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}33{:}29.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.490$  develop squamous cell carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:31.564 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.124$  and today we'll concentrate on

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:33:34.124 --> 00:33:35.660 squamous cell carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00{:}33{:}35.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.182$  So this is a knowledgeable audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:39.182 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.032$  about squamous cell carcinomas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

00:33:42.032 --> 00:33:45.044 we'll concentrate on head and neck.

 $00:33:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.726$  And in sporadic cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:46.726 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.819$  you have two types of of head

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:49.819 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.289$  and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861485793333333

 $00:33:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.826$  One of them is HPV associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:33:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.002$  that we want to spend too

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:33:58.002 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.450$  much time talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:33:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.070$  The other one is a HPV negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:34:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.770$  and that those tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00{:}34{:}05.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.757$  associated with carcinogens that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00{:}34{:}07.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.959$  are present in to bacco and alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

00:34:09.960 --> 00:34:15.014 And I would also claim that endogenous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:34:15.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.656$  Aldehydes will play a role in here as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:34:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.495$  And maybe for franconi patients who might

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:34:21.495 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.405$  not be exposed to alcohol and to bacco

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:34:24.405 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.200$  as much as the general population are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917541422

 $00:34:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.510$  those indulgence aldehydes will be important.

00:34:32.070 --> 00:34:34.966 The the truth about head and neck cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:34:34.966 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.187$  is that the patients are diagnosed late,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:34:38.190 --> 00:34:41.230 the survival is still poor and it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:34:41.230 --> 00:34:43.629 really decreasing and if it's decreasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:34:43.629 --> 00:34:45.987 it's just because there's more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:34:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.394$  there are more HPV positive cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:34:50.394 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.000$  and again the treatment can be quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:34:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.024$  horrendous for for the patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:34:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.210$  really learning from from Barbara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.876$  The the need is really to stratify these

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:04.876 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.062$  patients to also to identify novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:08.062 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.268$  therapeutics that might not be DNA damaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}35{:}11.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.438$  So we, my lab got interested in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:16.438 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.144$  tumors because of our registry and tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:20.144 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.323$  and Fanconi anemia patients just observing

 $00:35:23.323 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.026$  the patients who are becoming young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.286$  especially bone after bone marrow transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.950$  But even without the bone marrow transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.738$  many of these patients have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:34.738 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.322$  cancer and in 2003 there was a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:38.322 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.228$  from the registry when I wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.465$  Yet there but showing that patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:35:45.465 --> 00:35:50.109 Fanconi anemia had 700 at least 700 fold

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}35{:}50.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53.472$  increase of of tumorigenesis in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:35:53.472 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.040$  head and neck cancer in head and neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}35{:}56.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.215$  area and for vulvar cancer and for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}36{:}01.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.485$  cervical cancer and anal cancer there

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:04.485 \dashrightarrow 00:36:06.909$  were thousand fold increases in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.033$  So these are cancers that are squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:11.033 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.438$  carcinomas in Fanconi anemia patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.220$  And they are very there.

 $00:36:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.798$  There are present in the tongue

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:36:20.798 --> 00:36:22.686 gingiva and buccal mucosa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.298$  some pharynx and larynx,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:25.298 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.072$  but a lot of cancers in in the oral cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.439$  We do have some esophageal cancers as

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:32.439 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.939$  well and those are quite interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.259$  but all of them have similar similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}36{:}39.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.233$  genetic or molecular characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:41.233 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.856$  So here is our and this is now I'll describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:45.856 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.400$  work and I'll go fairly quickly through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.820$  Work through that was recently published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.820$  This is our cohort of patients who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}36{:}55.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.776$  whose tumors were sequenced and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:36:57.776 \dashrightarrow 00:37:00.338$  can see that the agent diagnosis is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.298$  On median of 31 years old,

 $00:37:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.901$  but we have some 13 year old 16 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:05.901 \dashrightarrow 00:37:09.104$  olds with head and neck cancer that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:09.104 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.996$  extremely aggressive and extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:11.067 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.734$  difficult to treat since we cannot use

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:13.734 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.176$  this platin for for these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:16.176 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.768$  and they are radiation sensitive as

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:18.768 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.686$  well as as patients although not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:21.686 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.399$  radiation can still be used carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:37:24.400 --> 00:37:26.661 you can see that these patients don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:37:26.661 --> 00:37:30.272 do well at all much worse than the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}37{:}30.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.830$  Sporadic cancers and patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:33.941 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.685$  here and survive long usually are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:37.685 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.940$  patients who had successful surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.504$  resection of their of their primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.774$  Or occasionally radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:47.774 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.604$  that actually was was successful.

00:37:50.610 --> 00:37:51.115 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:37:51.115 --> 00:37:54.145 so we were very much interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:54.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.570$  in understanding the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.570$  pathogenesis in these tumors and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:37:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.681$  showed that majority of these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:38:02.681 --> 00:38:05.962 were HPV negative instead majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:38:05.962 --> 00:38:09.760 them had P53 mutations and the P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:38:09.760 --> 00:38:12.680 mutations were of variety, nonsense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:38:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.730$  missense, frame shifts and deletions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:38:16.730 --> 00:38:20.546 But P53 was pretty much the only Gina gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:38:20.546 --> 00:38:24.306 that was mutated through point mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:38:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.802$  OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:38:24.802 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.754$  All the other changes were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00{:}38{:}27.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.097$  changes that were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:38:30.097 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.428$  with structural variants.

 $00:38:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.974$  So here you can see that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

 $00:38:34.974 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.513$  number of mutations in across different

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388969333333

00:38:37.513 --> 00:38:40.249 cancers through TCG data from TCG

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:38:40.324 --> 00:38:42.580 data and I inserted our Fanconi

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:38:42.580 --> 00:38:44.758 tumors and they have point mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:38:44.758 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.428$  the number of point mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:38:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.254$  It's pretty low and these tumors are lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:38:49.254 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.216$  than in sporadic head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:38:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.948$  And if we look at the what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:38:54.948 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.620$  of signatures are present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:38:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.924$  there is no homology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:38:58.924 --> 00:39:02.964 directed repair or smoking signature and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:02.964 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.844$  lot of these are signatures of cell division.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:06.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.810$  So the reason why we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.410$  they have smaller, fewer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.595$  Mutations is because they are

00:39:14.595 --> 00:39:17.143 present in younger patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.069$  And instead what we see is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:20.069 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.067$  huge genomic instability where this

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:22.067 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.747$  is a circus plot and all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667 00:39:24.750 --> 00:39:27.198 all of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.668$  Lines indicate translocations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:29.668 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.753$  structural variants that are present

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}39{:}32.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.359$  in in these tumors and if we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:36.359 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.194$  number of structural variants across

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:39.194 \dashrightarrow 00:39:42.506$  these tumors the there is about 2 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:39:42.506 --> 00:39:44.688 threefold increase when we compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}39{:}44.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.708$  it to HPV negative tumors and HPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}39{:}47.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.168$  positive tumors have very few of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:50.168 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.372$  these since they already mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}39{:}52.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.146$  P53 and RB and really don't have to

 $00:39:56.146 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.636$  rely on these structural variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:39:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.598$  And this is comparable to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}40{:}01.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.180$  structural variants that we see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.160$  BRC 2 or BRC 1 tumors which have

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.580$  high levels of structural variation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.568$  As far as types of type of structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:14.568 --> 00:40:16.440 variance, we see number of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.752$  we see deletions, translocations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}40{:}18.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.900$  inversions, all of them are increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:20.900 --> 00:40:23.475 And if you take proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:23.475 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.020$  of structural variance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.756$  actually the on the there is a slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:27.756 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.839$  increase of tandem duplication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.744$  So pretty much across all structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:32.744 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.540$  variants there is an increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.224$  Which is consistent with breaks being

00:40:39.224 --> 00:40:43.147 made when the DNA when Fanconi pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:40:43.147 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.081$  cannot function and these breaks being

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:46.081 --> 00:40:48.812 being repaired inappropriately and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:48.812 --> 00:40:51.628 it's and and to show you the extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:51.628 --> 00:40:54.121 of how inappropriate this repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:54.121 --> 00:40:57.693 is we used number of techniques of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:40:57.693 --> 00:41:00.563 long read sequencing and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}41{:}00.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.490$  that pieces of chromosomes are from

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.498$  different chromosomes chromosome 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667 00:41:05.500 --> 00:41:06.388 For 1511, NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}41{:}06.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.052$  they're all sort of strung together

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:09.052 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.647$  in the in in these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:11.650 --> 00:41:14.875 creating these completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:14.875 --> 00:41:18.100 abnormal abnormal structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.430$  So we also asked how these

00:41:21.430 --> 00:41:23.494 DNA molecules come together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:23.494 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.558$  whether there's something special

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:25.558 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.536$  about them and it's many of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:28.536 --> 00:41:31.059 if you have double strand break you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.975$  it can be repaired through

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:32.975 --> 00:41:34.124 three different mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:34.130 --> 00:41:36.638 non homologous end joining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:36.638 --> 00:41:38.519 microhomology mediated repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:38.519 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.330$  and single strand annealing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:41.330 --> 00:41:43.790 And all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.480$  all of these should be functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:47.603 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.900$  in Franconia negative cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.300$  And indeed when we look at the junctions

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:41:53.378 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.318$  in franconi associated squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:55.318 --> 00:41:58.228 cell carcinoma that are shown on

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:41:58.313 --> 00:42:00.965 the left and sporadic HPV negative,

 $00{:}42{:}00.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.042$  we see the same repair mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:04.042 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.359$  being active mostly on homologous non

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:42:07.359 --> 00:42:11.751 homologous end joining and MJ and that's the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

00:42:11.751 --> 00:42:14.186 The percentages are are identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.212$  So the interpretation of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:16.212 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.285$  that whenever there is a break

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:18.285 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.945$  that's formed in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.884$  it's being repaired just by sticking

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:22.884 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.860$  the the ends together and random

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.644$  fashion that results in these high

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:28.644 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.349$  number of structural variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}42{:}31.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.036$  We also asked where these breaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00{:}42{:}34.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.266$  occur and specifically we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:36.266 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.188$  interested to in in knowing whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.813067026666667

 $00:42:39.188 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.818$  they occur at repetitive sites.

 $00:42:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.630$  And there is some increase that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:42:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.535$  statistically significant in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:42:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.960$  sign elements, so I'll repeat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:42:50.960 --> 00:42:55.076 Had higher number of of breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:42:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.720$  but when we look at the whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:42:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.176$  we can see that the brakes and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:02.176 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.465$  I'm showing where all of this breaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:05.465 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.055$  out and structural variant ends are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:43:10.060 --> 00:43:12.499 I think when they're being placed in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:12.499 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.969$  the genome across all of the chromosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}43{:}14.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.770$  you can see that there is the brakes

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.270$  are occurring throughout the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.906$  But you do see that parts of some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:23.906 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.124$  the genes are being hit multiple times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:27.124 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.910$  And that's really the the way we

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:29.986 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.428$  think about it is that there is

 $00:43:32.428 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.702$  mutagenesis across and then on top

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:34.702 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.347$  of that there's a selection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:37.347 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.065$  particular genes that help the tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.598$  Growth for example EGFR here is is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:43:43.598 --> 00:43:46.855 amplified and so are other sites you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83405526333333300:43:46.860 --> 00:43:48.435 but one here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:48.435 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.433$  This is the EGFR and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:52.433 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.588$  others the outcome of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:43:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.510$  Is that these tumors have very high copy

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}43{:}59.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02.936$  number variance and this is just one tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.200$  this is Ascot plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.090$  So this is a little specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}44{:}06.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08.168$  copy number where total alleles

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:08.168 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.569$  obviously in the cells should be 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.186$  the alternative allele should be one.

 $00:44:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.200$  But you can see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.443$  number of amplifications and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:18.443 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.628$  amplifications and genes that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:44:20.628 --> 00:44:23.589 all know from from tumor pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.680$  Pick three CA make cycling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:44:25.680 --> 00:44:28.776 One that's that's amplified in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:28.776 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.224$  of these tumors and then there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:32.224 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.052$  deletions and tumor suppressors

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:34.052 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.270$  like CDK and two way and P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.276$  So this is a pretty representative look

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:42.276 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.844$  at the at the tumor of Fanconi anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:44:45.844 --> 00:44:49.110 patients and this is a different way

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}44{:}49.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.978$  of representing it where all of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.540$  The rows are the the genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.025$  mutated and the columns are single tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:44:59.025 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.455$  that we've sequenced from Fanconi patients.

 $00:45:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.288$  And you can see that orange or

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:04.288 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.932$  amplifications and deletions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:05.932 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.110$  blue and multiple of these pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.499$  are mutated in each of the genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:45:10.500 --> 00:45:13.632 And if you look at pick three CA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:13.632 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.904$  Mick Amplifications 54% of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:16.904 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.644$  tumors have Co Co amplification

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:19.644 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.610$  of these two of these two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.908$  Oncogenes, so this is a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:45:24.910 --> 00:45:27.178 this might explain part of why

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}45{:}27.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.091$  these tumors are aggressive but

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:29.091 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.083$  there are other reasons they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}45{:}31.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.390$  they might be aggressive as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.400$  So to just summarize what

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.808$  happens in franconi tumors?

 $00:45:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.600$  We have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.350$  Franconia pathway that protects cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.666$  from creating DNA breaks when DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:46.666 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.046$  interstrand crosslinks are present and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:49.046 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.617$  if you have DNA breaks the structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:45:52.617 --> 00:45:55.247 variants follow and eventually all

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:45:55.247 --> 00:45:58.385 of them lead to high copy number

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:45:58.385 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.120$  variation in these in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:46:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.408$  And there is also I wanted to mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:46:03.408 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.367$  there is a paper that recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}46{:}05.367 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}46{:}07.347$  came out from Jean Souliers Lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:46:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.900$  who looked at AML's and AML's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:46:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.920$  They also see structural variant formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:46:14.920 --> 00:46:16.831 fewer structural variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:46:16.831 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.712$  and they also see P53 pathway being abnormal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

00:46:21.712 --> 00:46:24.640 But actually P53 itself is not mutated,

 $00:46:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.356$  it's through the MDM 4 pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00:46:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.917$  that there is suppression of P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834055263333333

 $00{:}46{:}29.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.819$  So in the bone marrow similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:46:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.185$  events are happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:46:36.185 --> 00:46:38.915 Maybe it's at a slightly smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:46:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.240$  smaller scale, which I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:46:41.240 --> 00:46:43.730 Alright, understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

00:46:43.730 --> 00:46:46.583 And might have to do with the timing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:46:46.583 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.250$  these tumors and time to evolution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:46:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.760$  of and presentation of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:46:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.820$  So knowing what we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:46:54.820 --> 00:46:56.656 about frankonia tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}46{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.590$  we then turned to sporadic cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.739$  and we were wondering whether the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.540$  Structural variants that are present

 $00:47:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.340$  in sporadic HPV negative tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}47{:}10.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.181$  I showed you that there are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:47:12.181 --> 00:47:13.905 some of them and they're shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:13.905 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.657$  here on the right hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:47:15.660 --> 00:47:17.510 These are all HPV negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:47:17.510 --> 00:47:19.360 TCG head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.750$  You can see that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.140$  plenty of orange and blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.700$  So there are quite a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.740$  of copy number variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.380$  not as many as in in Fanconi tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889 00:47:27.380 --> 00:47:29.810 but still. NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.289$  A high number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:31.289 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.247$  So we were wondering whether what

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:34.247 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.202$  we find in Fanconi tumors might

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:37.202 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.830$  apply to to head and neck cancer

 $00:47:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.532$  and sporadic and sporadic cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:47:43.532 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.882$  And what we decided to do is to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:48.882 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.640$  a copy number variants and stratify tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.400$  So now these are the TCG TCG data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.693$  HPV negative tumors and stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:47:59.693 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.409$  them into top quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.450$  Tumors with a high number

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.082$  of copy number variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.143$  so top quartile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

00:48:07.143 --> 00:48:10.320 and then compare them to the low quartile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:48:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.626$  the top 1/4 of tumors with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:48:13.626 --> 00:48:17.030 the low copy number variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}48{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.970$  and we could correlate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.330$  number of pack years with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.430$  level of copy number variants.

 $00:48:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.970$  So the higher smoking history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}48{:}27.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.870$  the higher copy number variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.712$  And when we looked at signatures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:33.712 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.668$  were present and in these two we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:36.668 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.080$  also show that the top quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:48:39.080 --> 00:48:45.242 Had about twofold increase in signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:45.242 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.520$  of ID 38SBS4 and others and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.490$  are smoking associated in Dells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:48:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.610$  so that's not surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889 00:48:51.610 --> 00:48:51.890 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00{:}48{:}51.890 \to 00{:}48{:}55.550$  That's correlates with the pack history

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

00:48:55.550 --> 00:48:58.652 but also non homologous end joining

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}48{:}58.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.720$  and benzopyrene and acetal dehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:49:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.200$  That suggests something we we already

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.129$  know from epidemiological studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:49:07.129 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.719$  smoking and drinking go together.

 $00:49:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.912$  So we so these patients probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:12.912 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.907$  are also enriched for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:49:15.907 --> 00:49:18.199 increased alcohol exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:49:18.200 --> 00:49:21.998 So taking all of this together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.012$  we come up with this hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:25.012 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.070$  that in sporadic cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.992$  the tobacco and alcohol exposure creates

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:49:32.992 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.680$  DNA inter interesting crosslinks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:49:35.680 --> 00:49:38.680 Obviously it creates other mutagenic events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

00:49:38.680 --> 00:49:39.512 including P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:39.512 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.424$  which is an early event that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:42.424 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.119$  allows structural variants to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}49{:}45.120 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}49{:}47.760$  And Franconia pathway even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}49{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.860$  that it's present in these in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.620$  these tumors and maybe it might

 $00:49:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.943$  be somatically decreased or maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}49{:}55.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58.088$  there are some genetic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:49:58.088 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.876$  that that are involved here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

00:50:00.876 --> 00:50:03.232 but frankonia pathway which

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:03.232 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.460$  is largely efficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.861$  There isn't just enough of it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}50{:}07.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.788$  it's over is overwhelmed and unable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}50{:}10.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.518$  repair all the DNA into crosslinks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.404$  It's also important that P53 is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:16.404 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.206$  mutated in these so you cannot depend

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:50:19.206 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.404$  on P53 pathway to to increase the Franconia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

00:50:25.410 --> 00:50:27.510 Green expression kind of going in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00{:}50{:}27.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.250$  gene expression patterns and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.821754858888889

 $00:50:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.894$  of this results in DNA breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.690$  structural variants and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.640$  pathway leading to copy number alterations.

 $00:50:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.864$  So taken together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82175485888889

 $00:50:38.864 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.720$  you know when we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

00:50:41.810 --> 00:50:44.882 FRANKLINIA pathway function or if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

00:50:44.882 --> 00:50:48.499 have patients with low pathway function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00:50:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.838$  they have very high levels of head

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00{:}50{:}50.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.290$  and neck cancers and other squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00:50:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.269$  cell carcinomas, maybe not 100%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

00:50:55.269 --> 00:50:57.740 but if they Long live long enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00:50:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.960$  very high levels and if we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00{:}51{:}01.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.860$  full function we are protected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00:51:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.002$  However, there are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615

 $00{:}51{:}06.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.610$  genetic modifiers whether it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395544615 00:51:07.610 --> 00:51:07.780 The

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00{:}51{:}10.670 --> 00{:}51{:}13.410~\mathrm{H2CTA4DC}$  GSTM one and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.015$  as well as these environmental

 $00:51:16.015 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.620$  modifiers of alcohol to bacco that

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:51:18.701 --> 00:51:21.546 is making this pathway functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.455$  inefficient leading to to higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:24.455 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.130$  probability of head and neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:51:28.130 --> 00:51:30.910 So I'll finish and um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.790$  I'll just acknowledge my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.360$  This is Arlene, who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.930$  instrumental in starting the registry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:51:38.930 --> 00:51:44.230 Munjung Jung has worked on a LH9A1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:51:44.230 --> 00:51:46.148 They work on head and neck cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00{:}51{:}46.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.498$  was hugely collaborative work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:48.498 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.890$  and we were helped by Matthias Sanders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.560$  Working with Peter Campbell and Andrew

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:51:55.560 --> 00:51:58.138 Webster drove this work in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.592$  And we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:51:59.592 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.528$  A lot of collaborators,

 $00:52:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.586$  clinical and patient collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:05.586 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.150$  and also other bioinformaticians

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.190$  who've who've helped us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:52:13.190 --> 00:52:18.310 And I'd like to thank my funding agencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.558$  tons of collaborators and

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00{:}52{:}20.558 \to 00{:}52{:}22.806$  especially patients and families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.234$  And these are some of the young adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:25.234 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.418$  few years ago before the pandemic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:27.418 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.878$  I know that at least five of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00{:}52{:}29.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.575$  Adults are have passed away with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

00:52:32.575 --> 00:52:35.916 head and neck cancer and during this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.908$  So I'll take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687288722

 $00:52:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.490$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7141986

 $00:52:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.134$  OK. That was wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7141986

 $00:52:50.134 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.940$  For those of you who are online,

00:52:51.940 --> 00:52:55.036 please use the Q& amp; A function to to bring

your

NOTE Confidence: 0.858748585714286

 $00:52:55.036 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.430$  questions and I think Jeff. Jeff, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77555748

 $00:52:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.397$  Ohh uh you can have a microphone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800516585

 $00:53:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.418$  I think it's here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

00:53:03.990 --> 00:53:06.270 And and maybe I'll just start

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

00:53:06.270 --> 00:53:07.896 with Nadia, Dimitrova asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:07.896 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.494$  Do you see evidence of extrachromosomal

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:10.494 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.904$  DNA circles and FA tumors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:12.904 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.380$  explain the amplifications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.588$  we haven't really looked at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00{:}53{:}16.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.767$  but that would be a good idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.306$  We do see a lot of tandem duplications

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.725$  in the in the especially Mick and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

 $00:53:24.725 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.772$  other areas that would explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.77809263625

00:53:26.772 --> 00:53:29.090 the amplifications as well. Jeff

 $00:53:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.334$  yeah. So that was a great talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00{:}53{:}30.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.608$  incredibly clear and insightful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

00:53:31.608 --> 00:53:32.876 I really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00:53:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.656$  I really like that last diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00:53:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.088$  I know it was meant diagrammatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00:53:36.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.720$  at least the way you showed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00:53:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.869$  but it can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

00:53:38.869 --> 00:53:40.784 That doesn't stop me from

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00{:}53{:}40.784 \to 00{:}53{:}43.897$  asking do you have a way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

00:53:43.897 --> 00:53:45.709 quantify Fanconi anemia pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.815526265714286

 $00{:}53{:}45.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.965$  function on that X axis or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:53:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.108$  That's a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:53:49.108 --> 00:53:50.938 that's a really good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00{:}53{:}50.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.126$  So we we have some way of doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:53:54.126 --> 00:53:56.620 within the Franconia population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:53:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.545$  so something that I haven't talked about.

00:53:58.550 --> 00:54:01.304 We have. The registry has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00{:}54{:}01.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.674$  amazing and we sequence now

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:03.674 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.368$  pretty much everybody who we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:54:06.370 --> 00:54:10.010 The DNA for to look for phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:54:10.010 --> 00:54:11.240 genotype correlations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00.54:11.240 \longrightarrow 00.54:13.700$  there are a lot of phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.286$  genotype correlations that we can tease

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:16.286 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.898$  out and we've started to describe them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.596$  And the function we can at the lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00{:}54{:}22.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.411$  end it's hard to tease it out but

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:25.411 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.693$  you can definitely do that with

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:27.693 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.753$  number of foci with ubiquitination

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00{:}54{:}29.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.976$  of fancd 2 and Frank I it's not great

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:32.976 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.771$  I think we need a better assay for

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:54:35.771 --> 00:54:38.903 for doing that on the top end I think

 $00:54:38.903 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.810$  when we have like what we see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.010$  we think fully functional pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:54:45.010 --> 00:54:47.480 It's really difficult to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.198$  whether it's 95 or or 90%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:54:51.198 --> 00:54:55.490 So we haven't really been able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:54:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.282$  But as you saw from the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:54:57.282 --> 00:54:59.016 part of my talk, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00{:}54{:}59.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01.067$  even a little bit of DNA damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00{:}55{:}01.067 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}55{:}02.882$  can lead to chromosome breakage

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:55:02.882 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.398$  like the ones that we induce when

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

00:55:05.398 --> 00:55:07.002 we don't have a LDH 9A1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:55:07.002 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.737$  So I think there might be ways of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.667696745

 $00:55:09.737 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.209$  doing better assay development for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8930388

 $00:55:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.360$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8326386

 $00:55:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.628$  So if I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.832$  ask a question. So one of the things

 $00:55:24.832 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.444$  we're noticing in the virtual tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:26.444 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.565$  board that we do for these young adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00{:}55{:}28.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.757$  with head neck cancer is that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.708$  really not at all responsive to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:33.708 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.477$  checkpoint inhibition which is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:35.477 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.397$  been brought forward as as something

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

00:55:37.397 --> 00:55:39.477 that might not be so DNA damaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00{:}55{:}39.480 \to 00{:}55{:}42.189$  And I wonder if you could speculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:42.189 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.259$  about that both from the perspective of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.891$  Sort of this global picture you've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:46.891 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.439$  talking about with structural variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.079$  not some so many single nucleotide variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00{:}55{:}51.080 \to 00{:}55{:}53.336$  But also, is there anything that came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:53.336 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.040$  in your gene signatures that would speak to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

00:55:56.040 --> 00:55:58.070 I saw NSDD one which sometimes is

 $00:55:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.520$  associated with immune exclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

 $00:55:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.752$  Are you getting a picture from the mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.846759041333333

00:56:01.752 --> 00:56:03.819 of what the immune status might be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.110$  So from the mutations we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.140$  do we do see deletions in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.800$  A message. Will say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

00:56:17.800 --> 00:56:21.664 But frankly the the genome instability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:21.664 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.856$  probably has a big part in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00{:}56{:}26.856 {\:{\text{--}}}{\:{\text{>}}}\ 00{:}56{:}29.340$  inability to have immune response right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.893$  So we are very much interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:31.893 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.989$  that and we are starting to to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:33.989 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.104$  at that the tumor microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00{:}56{:}36.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38.339$  in these tumors in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00{:}56{:}38.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.212$  thinking that it's it will be a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

00:56:41.212 --> 00:56:43.949 model for for understanding that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00:56:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.430$  So we'll, we'll see.

 $00:56:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.918$  But it definitely looks like it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

 $00{:}56{:}46.918 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}56{:}51.580$  would be too more suppressive, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86615868

00:56:51.580 --> 00:56:51.930 Tommy. NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:05.190 --> 00:57:07.976 Yes. So that's an interesting question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:07.976 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.661$  there is some some data in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:11.661 --> 00:57:14.370 that implicates mitochondrial DNA damage and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.750$  Fanconi function within the mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00{:}57{:}16.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.648$  I'm not sure how the fund Fanconi

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:19.650 \dashrightarrow 00:57:22.968$  proteins would get into the mitochondria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.148$  but it's something that we have not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:26.150 --> 00:57:29.120 Explored. It would be so that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.269$  I'm sorry, the question was about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00{:}57{:}31.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}33.034$  mitochondrial damage and whether there

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00{:}57{:}33.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.618$  is increased mitochondrial damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.880$  We we haven't really explored that.

 $00:57:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.690$  Um, and there would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:41.690 --> 00:57:45.069 Well, it's it, it would be doable, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:45.069 --> 00:57:47.461 I mean you just we would have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:47.461 --> 00:57:49.809 look at mitochondria specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.996$  The audio. That's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:52.996 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.837$  A lot of these, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:54.837 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.399$  A lot of these are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

00:57:57.399 --> 00:57:58.253 detoxification enzymes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.208$  Not all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887544583809524

 $00:57:59.208 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.609$  but many of them are within the mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674478053333333

 $00:58:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.368$  The spermine? Yep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307271

 $00:58:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.470$  Right. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.098$  Right. Yeah. So there is a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.782$  a lot that we could explore in in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:22.782 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.371$  disease and mitochondrial function

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:24.371 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.621$  definitely has been brought up as as one

00:58:27.621 --> 00:58:29.889 of the places where we should,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.918$  we should look more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

00:58:33.920 --> 00:58:36.496 There was one question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:36.496 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.824$  is there a bias toward either focal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:39.824 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.585$  ARM level copy number alterations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.618$  both equally in FA tumors when compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:45.618 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.460$  to HPV negative head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.440$  So we see, we see both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

00:58:50.440 --> 00:58:54.146 I don't know if if we really compare them

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:54.146 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.240$  so we can say whether it's equal or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:58:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.384$  but we see, we see we see some ARM

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:59:01.384 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.270$  level copy number alterations as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00{:}59{:}04.270 --> 00{:}59{:}06.430$  But majority I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876613792857143

 $00:59:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.950$  are the focal type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67607196

 $00:59:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.050$  OK, yes. It seems that.

 $00:59:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.288$  Particular these metabolic enzymes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541407036

 $00:59:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.520$  Essentially necessary to collect cells of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.42764593028

 $00:59:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.910$  dehydrogenases ID. Also very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.505000965

 $00:59:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.760$  Biosynthesis inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76317863875

00:59:42.030 --> 00:59:43.734 Do you think, well, what are your insights

NOTE Confidence: 0.712604940909091

 $00:59:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.904$  on a particular reason why blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.712604940909091

 $00:59:45.904 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.240$  cells would be more susceptible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

00:59:50.760 --> 00:59:53.250 Right. So one possibility is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $00:59:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.319$  they are really not more susceptible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $00:59:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.018$  they are just the there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $00{:}59{:}58.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}59.944$  fewer of them and they exhaust

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $00:59:59.944 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.116$  faster than any other stem cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:02.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.040$  right, so that that's let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:04.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.960$  leave that as a possibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:05.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.215$  The other is we know for formaldehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01{:}00{:}11.215 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.625$  That. Very high levels of formal

 $01:00:15.625 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.870$  formaldehyde can be formed close to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:18.870 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.582$  DNA during the differentiation process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:21.582 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.568$  So one hypothesis is that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:26.568 --> 01:00:29.458 having differentiation that happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:29.458 --> 01:00:32.888 during very few replication cycles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:32.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.090$  and that vulnerability of two

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:36.090 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.650$  formaldehyde is very high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:38.650 --> 01:00:40.740 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:40.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.610$  So that's a possibility in coratina sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:43.610 --> 01:00:46.779 when we do an assay for for example

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:46.779 --> 01:00:50.223 whether ADH two or ADH 5 or important

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:50.223 --> 01:00:52.738 in with human characteristics sides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01{:}00{:}52.740 \to 01{:}00{:}55.806$  there doesn't seem to be that dependency

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:00:55.806 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.896$  within the fungi 2 or frank a negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:00:58.900 --> 01:01:01.665 But we when we start playing with

 $01:01:01.665 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.108$  lipids metabolism they show up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:04.108 --> 01:01:08.468 And then it makes sense because it's the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:01:08.470 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.030$  the lipid metabolism is very important in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:01:11.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.020$  in the as a barrier in correcting the sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:14.020 --> 01:01:15.320 So some of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:15.320 --> 01:01:19.560 I I do believe that some of it will come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:01:19.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.690$  specific functions or a particular stem cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:22.690 --> 01:01:24.550 Um, and I think just more

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:01:24.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.910$  needs to be done to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:01:26.910 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.060$  to understand that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

 $01:01:29.060 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.780$  the challenges is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:30.780 --> 01:01:34.217 It's very hard to identify how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:34.217 --> 01:01:37.311 of these aldehydes are present and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:37.311 --> 01:01:40.365 especially within the DNA it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:40.365 --> 01:01:43.790 very difficult to to quantify these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923196818333333

01:01:43.790 --> 01:01:45.590 But it's an I think it's

01:01:45.590 --> 01:01:46.960 a very interesting area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698426

01:01:49.730 --> 01:01:54.170 OK, Ryan. Yeah, great talk about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698426

01:01:54.170 --> 01:01:55.839 This morning, your copy number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698426

 $01:01:55.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.160$  your copy number, application

NOTE Confidence: 0.698426

01:01:57.160 --> 01:01:59.228 analysis. Have you ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

 $01:01:59.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.472$  have you looked at the sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

 $01:02:00.472 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.200$  of those copy numbers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

01:02:01.200 --> 01:02:02.796 Are they mutating in each copy number?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

 $01:02:02.800 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.850$  And what do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

01:02:03.850 --> 01:02:04.690 driving that copy number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

 $01:02:04.690 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.009$  especially the amplification?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67262543

 $01{:}02{:}07.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}08.678$  Do you think it's like a DNA repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

01:02:08.690 --> 01:02:11.090 pathway, like pull Theta

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

 $01:02:11.090 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.419$  or something like that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

 $01:02:12.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.570$  Yes. So let me just,

 $01:02:13.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.126$  I don't know if I left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

01:02:16.130 --> 01:02:18.770 Oh, I can't escape, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

01:02:18.770 --> 01:02:23.243 Well, I won't try to find slides for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

 $01:02:23.250 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.754$  So we as far as you mean like

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

01:02:26.754 --> 01:02:29.679 point mutations within the within

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

 $01:02:29.679 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.626$  the amplified regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6206159728

 $01:02:31.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.087$  we don't really see any kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:02:36.390 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.743$  Aggregation of mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

01:02:37.743 --> 01:02:39.547 like at the junctions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:02:39.550 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.980$  Let's say we've looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01{:}02{:}41.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.988$  Um, and the way I some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

01:02:45.988 --> 01:02:47.659 amplifications occur through

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

01:02:47.659 --> 01:02:50.499 probably or are consistent with

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

01:02:50.499 --> 01:02:54.004 let's say that with stalling at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:02:54.004 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.860$  at the at the cross link or

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:02:56.954 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.829$  some other lesion and reversal?

 $01:02:59.830 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.113$  So we do see these inversions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01{:}03{:}03.113 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}06.305$  that are associated would be expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:06.305 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.130$  to be associated with replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:09.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.596$  Some of them are tandem duplications

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

01:03:11.596 --> 01:03:14.878 and I think a nice system to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:14.878 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.745$  this out is actually in a system

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:17.745 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.610$  where you have tester sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:20.610 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.959$  and stalling through tester that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01{:}03{:}24.959 \longrightarrow 01{:}03{:}26.842$  that would be one place where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:26.842 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.022$  could model some of these, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

01:03:29.022 --> 01:03:30.833 I guess I was thinking of all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:30.833 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.690$  reversion mutations that arise

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01{:}03{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}33.790$ like in Fanconi anemia perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.827191321428571

 $01:03:33.790 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.830$  you know the copy

NOTE Confidence: 0.773251861111111

 $01:03:34.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.988$  numbers. They're sampling different

01:03:35.988 --> 01:03:37.540 genetic states so they can, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

01:03:37.550 --> 01:03:39.950 know, sort of revert to a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:03:39.950 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.870$  I have a lot to say about the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:03:41.870 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.024$  the copy, not the the reversions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:03:44.024 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.685$  in Fanconi because we have a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01{:}03{:}46.685 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}48.995$  another paper that's coming soon on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

01:03:48.995 --> 01:03:51.549 mosaicism in the blood and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:03:51.549 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.460$  these mutations are actually somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:03:54.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.441$  recombination events that are UMPD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

01:03:58.441 --> 01:04:01.069 their uniparental disomy events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:01.070 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.174$  So there are, yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:02.174 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.830$  there are point mutations and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

01:04:03.886 --> 01:04:05.728 are other things happening you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:05.730 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.310$  splice site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:08.310 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.650$  New splice site mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:09.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.990$  and things like that,

 $01{:}04{:}10.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}15.031$  but a lot of them are are full uniparental

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01{:}04{:}15.031 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.319$  disomy through mitotic recombination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:18.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.050$  Which I think is interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:20.050 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.210$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:21.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.633$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88679877

 $01:04:22.633 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.479$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.606702535

01:04:26.530 --> 01:04:28.710 Um, for the fellows I think you're.